

Artikel ICOAIMS

by Apriani Maria

Submission date: 17-Mar-2021 09:48PM (UTC+0700)

Submission ID: 1535377403

File name: Rianasari_2019_J._Phys._Conf._Ser._1366_012083.pdf (631.94K)

Word count: 3600

Character count: 20601

PAPER · OPEN ACCESS

Pre-Service Mathematics Teachers' Knowledge about Higher-Order Thinking Skills

To cite this article: Veronika Fitri Rianasari and Maria Suci Apriani 2019 *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* **1366** 012083

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.

**IOP | ebooks™**

Bringing you innovative digital publishing with leading voices to create your essential collection of books in STEM research.

Start exploring the collection - download the first chapter of every title for free.

Pre-Service Mathematics Teachers' Knowledge about Higher-Order Thinking Skills

Veronika Fitri Rianasari^{1,a}, Maria Suci Apriani^{1,b}

¹Department of Sciences and Mathematics Education, Sanata Dharma University, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

E-mail: ^averonikafitri@usd.ac.id, ^bmaria.suci@usd.ac.id

Abstract. Mathematics learning should be designed to develop students' higher order thinking skills. A deeper understanding of higher-order thinking will support teachers to be able to design mathematics learning that promotes students' higher-order thinking skills. The purposes of this research are to describe pre-service mathematics teachers' knowledge about Higher Order Thinking Skills (HOTS) and their ability in designing HOTS-based problem. The research participants are 9 pre-service mathematics teachers joining profession education for pre-service teachers at Sanata Dharma University. Data sources in this research include a written test about teachers' understanding of HOTS and a written test about teachers' ability to design HOTS-based problem. The analysis of data involved Miles & Huberman model. Qualitative results showed that pre-service mathematics teachers were not able to associate the cognitive demands of HOTS-based problem with the dimension of cognitive processes of Bloom's Revised Taxonomy. Besides, almost all the pre-service teachers were not able to design a non-routine problem, they tended to design a familiar application problem that requires students to memorize facts, concepts, or procedures that have been done before and apply them to the context. Based on the results, can be concluded that pre-service teachers' knowledge about HOTS and their ability to design HOTS-based problem are very low.

1. Introduction

Education has evolved to meet the challenges of the 21st century. There are three main frameworks of 21st century skills, namely 1) learning and innovation skills, 2) life and career skills, and 3) information, media, and technology skills [1]. In Indonesia, these competencies/skills have been adopted by the Curriculum 2013 with the term 4C namely critical thinking, communication skills, creativity and innovation, and collaboration.

Critical thinking constitutes the key aspect to the 21st century skills. To promote students critical thinking, teachers should design instructional learning so that students have to think critically about something. Therefore, one of the abilities that is very important to be considered by teachers in 21st century learning is higher order thinking. Higher order thinking is a way of thinking at a higher level than memorizing. This mental skill was initially determined based on Bloom's Taxonomy which categorized the cognitive domain, from the lowest to the highest, namely knowledge, comprehension, application, analysis, synthesis, and evaluation. This cognitive domain was later revised by Lorin Anderson, David Krathwohl, et al. in 2001 [2]. The order of thinking levels was changed to remembering, understanding, applying, analyzing, evaluating, and creating. The levels of remembering,

understanding and applying are categorized as low-level thinking abilities (LOTS). While analyzing, evaluating, and creating are categorized as high-level thinking skills (HOTS).

HOTS cannot be directly taught to students. Students should be exposed with learning activities that support HOTS development. Therefore, teachers should have adequate competencies to support students' HOTS development by planning, implementing, and evaluating HOTS-oriented learning. A study about Indonesian teachers' understanding of HOTS showed that not all teachers understand HOTS [3]. Moreover the study revealed that the low level of pedagogical abilities relating to HOTS is in line with the low ability of teachers' HOTS.

One of the programs designed by Indonesian government to improve the quality of teachers is Teacher Professional Education Program. The implementation of Teacher Professional Education (PPG) in Indonesia is intended so that teachers have pedagogical competence, personality competence, social competence, and professional competence. Since 2017, teacher candidates with bachelor's degree are allowed to take professional education for pre-service teachers to have the competence and acquire teacher certificate.

Based on the above review, the study that specifically analyzes the ability of teachers in the PPG program to develop HOTS problem is very important. This study can be used as an evaluation of the PPG program so that the quality of this program can continue to be improved for the advancement of national education in Indonesia.

2. Research methodology

This research is a qualitative study. This research aims to investigate pre-service mathematics teachers' knowledge about Higher Order Thinking Skills (HOTS) and their ability in designing HOTS-based problem. The participants were 9 pre-service mathematics teachers joining profession education for pre-service teachers at Sanata Dharma University. They were graduates from seven different institutes of teacher education in Indonesia.

In this study, the pre-service teachers passed two written tests. In the first test, the pre-service teachers were asked about the meaning of higher order thinking skills, the relationship between HOTS and levels of cognitive learning according to the revised version of Bloom's taxonomy, and the characteristics of HOTS-based problem. In the second test, the pre-service teachers were asked to formulate an indicator based on the given competency related to a linear equation system of two variables, design a HOTS-based problem in accordance to the indicator, and also argue about the reason why the proposed problem is a HOTS-based problem.

Data from the tests were analyzed and presented a table to be classified into sub-themes. The analysis of data involved Miles & Huberman model consisting of three flows of activity namely data reduction, data display, and conclusion drawing/verification [4].

3. Research results

Based on the written tests, the researchers describe the results in two parts. The first is about Pre-service mathematics teachers' knowledge about HOTS and the second is about pre-service mathematics teachers' ability in designing HOTS-based problem.

3.1 Pre-service mathematics teachers' knowledge about HOTS

To know the pre-service mathematics teachers' knowledge about HOTS, the researchers delivered a test that consist of three questions. These questions explored teachers' ability in defining HOTS, associating HOTS with revised Bloom's Taxonomy, and explaining the characteristics of HOTS-based problems. The qualitative results of their knowledge about HOTS are as follows:

3.1.1 Teachers' ability in defining HOTS.

To see how deep teachers' understanding about HOTS, teachers attempted to define what HOTS is. Researchers collect the data about teachers' ability in defining HOTS from the test result. These data,

we reduce by coding the data that relevance to the problem and have important information and then display them in the table form. The data display of teachers' ability in defining HOTS can be seen below:

Table 1. Data display of the pre-service mathematics teacher's knowledge in defining HOTS.

| Data | Pre-service Teachers |
|---|------------------------|
| Incorrect HOTS definition | T1, T2, T3, T4, T7, T9 |
| HOTS as student's ability in solving high level problem, and they were able to associate it with the fourth cognitive process dimension in Bloom's Taxonomy (analyse) | T5, T8 |
| HOTS as student's ability in solving high level problem, and they were able to associate with the cognitive process dimension of revised Bloom's Taxonomy (analyse, evaluate, create) | T6 |

Based on Table 1, the researchers conclude by verifying the data with the indicators of teachers' knowledge in defining HOTS. Table 2 shows the conclusion of each teachers' knowledge in defining HOTS:

Table 2. Conclusion of the pre-service mathematics teachers' knowledge in defining HOTS.

| Indicator | T1 | T2 | T3 | T4 | T5 | T6 | T7 | T8 | T9 |
|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| HOTS as student's ability in solving high level problem | - | √ | - | - | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ |
| Associating HOTS with the fourth of cognitive process dimension of Bloom's Taxonomy, namely analyse | - | - | - | - | √ | √ | - | √ | - |
| Associating HOTS with the fifth of cognitive process dimension of Bloom's Taxonomy, namely evaluate | - | - | - | - | - | √ | - | - | - |
| Associating HOTS with the sixth of cognitive process dimension of Bloom's Taxonomy, namely create | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

3.1.2 Teachers' ability in associating HOTS with revised Bloom's Taxonomy. HOTS is very closely related to Blooms' taxonomy. The next question is posed to obtain teachers' understanding about the relation between HOTS and Blooms' taxonomy. Researchers collect the data about teachers' ability in associating HOTS with revised Bloom's Taxonomy. These data, we reduce by coding the data that relevance to the problem and have important information and then display them in the table 3.

Table 3. Data display of the pre-service mathematics teachers' knowledge in associating HOTS with revised Bloom's taxonomy.

| Data | Pre-service Teachers |
|---|----------------------|
| Could not explain the association between HOTS and revised Blooms' taxonomy | T1, T3 |
| Could not mention correctly which are the cognitive process dimension that are used in HOTS. Some teachers mentioned the level of cognitive dimensions used starting from level 3 (apply), there were those who mention from level 5 (evaluate), some even said only levels 4 and 5 | T2, T4, T5, T6, T7 |
| Had been able to see the association between HOTS with Blooms' taxonomy, which was seen from the dimensions of cognitive processes that was starting from level 4. | T8, T9 |

Based on Table 3, the researchers conclude by verifying the data with the indicators of teachers' knowledge in associating HOTS with revised Bloom's Taxonomy. Table 4 shows the conclusion of each teachers' knowledge in associating HOTS with revised Bloom's Taxonomy:

Table 4. Conclusion of the pre-service mathematics teacher's knowledge in associating HOTS with revised Bloom's Taxonomy.

| Indicator | T1 | T2 | T3 | T4 | T5 | T6 | T7 | T8 | T9 |
|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Able to relate HOTS with the fourth cognitive process dimension in Bloom's revised taxonomy, namely analyze | - | √ | - | - | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ |
| Able to relate HOTS with the fifth cognitive process dimension in Bloom's revised taxonomy, namely evaluate | - | √ | - | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ |
| Able to relate HOTS with the sixth cognitive process dimension in Bloom's revised taxonomy, namely create | - | - | - | √ | √ | - | √ | √ | √ |

However, T5, T6, T7 argued that the third cognitive process dimension in Bloom's revised taxonomy, namely apply, include in HOTS cognitive process dimension.

3.1.3 Teachers' ability in explaining the characteristics of HOTS-based problems.

The third question, researchers asked the teachers to explain the characteristics' HOTS-based problems. The data display of teachers' knowledge in explaining the characteristics of HOTS-based problems can be shown on the following table:

Table 5. Data display of the pre-service mathematics teacher's knowledge in explaining the characteristics of HOTS-based problems.

| Data | Pre-service Teachers |
|---|--------------------------------|
| The problems measure high-level thinking skills | T1, T2, T3, T4, T5, T6, T7, T8 |
| The problems are contextual problems | T1, T8, T9 |
| The problems have more than one solution | T2, T3, T4 |

Based on Table 5, the researchers conclude by verifying the data with the indicators of teachers' knowledge in explaining the characteristics of HOTS-based problem. Table 6 shows the conclusion of each teachers' knowledge in explaining the characteristics of HOTS-based problem:

Table 6. Conclusion of the pre-service mathematics teachers' knowledge in explaining the characteristics of HOTS-based problem.

| Indicator | T1 | T2 | T3 | T4 | T5 | T6 | T7 | T8 | T9 |
|--|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| HOTS-based problems measure high-level thinking skills | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | √ | - |
| HOTS-based problems are contextual problems | √ | - | - | - | - | - | - | √ | √ |
| HOTS-based problems have more than one solution | - | √ | √ | √ | - | - | - | - | - |
| HOTS-based problems are not routine problems | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

3.2 Pre-service mathematics teachers' ability in designing HOTS-based problem

To investigate the pre-service mathematics teachers' ability in designing HOTS-based problem, they were asked to formulate an indicator based on the given competency related to a system of two linear equations, design a HOTS-based problem in accordance to the indicator, write several solutions regarding the problem, and also argue about the reason why the proposed problem is a HOTS-based problem.

3.2.1 Teachers' ability in formulating HOTS-based problem. In order to know the pre-service teachers' ability in designing HOTS-based problem, first they were asked to formulate an indicator based on the given competency related to a system of two linear equations. The data display of teachers' knowledge in formulating HOTS-based problem can be showed on the following table:

Table 7. Data display of the pre-service mathematics teachers' ability in formulating HOTS-based problem.

| Data | Pre-service Teachers |
|---|------------------------------------|
| Formulated an indicator that measures the third cognitive process dimension of revised Bloom's Taxonomy (C3) namely apply | T1, T2, T3, T4, T5, T6, T7, T8, T9 |

Designed a problem according to the indicator that measures the third cognitive process dimension of revised Bloom's Taxonomy (C3) namely apply 32
 T1, T2, T3, T4, T5, T6, T8, T9

Designed a problem that measures the fourth cognitive process dimension of revised Bloom's Taxonomy (C4) namely analyse, but the indicator is written in the third level (C3) T7

Based on Table 7, the researchers conclude by verifying the data with the indicators of teachers' knowledge in formulating HOTS-based problem. Table 8 shows the conclusion of each teachers' knowledge in formulating HOTS-based problem:

Table 8. Conclusion of the pre-service mathematics teachers' ability in formulating HOTS-based problem.

| Indicator | T1 | T2 | T3 | T4 | T5 | T6 | T7 | T8 | T9 |
|--|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| Wrote an indicator or that measures the last three cognitive level in revised Bloom's Taxonomy | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Designed a problem according to the last three cognitive level in revised Bloom's Taxonomy | - | - | - | - | - | - | √ | - | - |
| Designed a contextual problem that requires students to analyse, or evaluate, or create | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

3.2.2 Teachers' ability in explaining about the reason why the proposed problem is a HOTS-based problem. After formulating a problem, the pre-service teachers were asked about the reason why the proposed problem is a HOTS-based problem. The results can be showed on the following table.

Table 9. Data display of the pre-service mathematics teachers' explanation about the reason why the proposed problem is a HOTS-based problem.

| Data | Pre-service Teachers |
|---|----------------------|
| The problem is a contextual problem | T8, T9 |
| The problem has several alternative solutions | T1, T3, T4 |
| The problem requires students to interpret answers according to the context | T2, T3, T4, T9 |
| The proposed problem is non-routine problem so that students will try to find solutions | T7 |
| The proposed problem requires students to do problem solving steps | T5, T6, T9 |

Based on Table 9, the researchers conclude by verifying the data with the indicators of teachers' explanation about the reason why the proposed problem is a HOTS-based problem. Table 10 shows the

conclusion of each teachers' explanation about the reason why the proposed problem is a HOTS-based problem.

Table 10. Conclusion of the pre-service mathematics teachers' explanation about the reason why the proposed problem is a HOTS-based problem.

| Indicator | T1 | T2 | T3 | T4 | T5 | T6 | T7 | T8 | T9 |
|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| The problem measures the last three cognitive level in revised Bloom's Taxonomy | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| The problem is a contextual problem | - | √ | √ | - | - | - | - | √ | √ |
| The problem is a non-routine problem | - | - | - | - | - | - | √ | - | - |
| The problem allows students to make different alternative solutions | √ | - | √ | √ | - | - | - | - | - |

4. Discussion

4.1 Pre-service mathematics teacher's knowledge about Higher Order Thinking Skills (HOTS)

Based on the results, it was found that 6 out of 9 pre-service mathematics teachers were unable to understand what HOTS is. From six teachers, some of them defined HOTS as an instrument to test or know the level of thinking of students, others defined HOTS as a demand for high thinking, and the rest of pre-service mathematics teachers defined HOTS as a thinking ability which consists of various levels in solving a problem. Only one pre-service mathematics teacher defined HOTS correctly. She defined HOTS as the students' ability to solve problems to the stage of analytical skills to determine strategies and solutions. She has defined HOTS by associating with the level cognitive process dimension of Bloom's revised taxonomy. The results showed that most of pre-service mathematics teachers joining profession education for pre-service teachers at Sanata Dharma University still misunderstand HOTS. This result in accordance to the research that had been done by [3]. They found that the possibility of teachers to understand the whole concept of HOTS is still lacking

In associating HOTS with revised Bloom's Taxonomy, no more than 50% pre-service mathematics teachers could associate HOTS with Bloom's taxonomy correctly. Only 2 out of 9 pre-service mathematics teachers were able to connect between HOTS and Bloom's taxonomy specifically on the cognitive process dimension starting from C4.

In mentioning the characteristics of HOTS-based problem, 8 out of 9 pre-service teachers mentioned that HOTS-based problem could measure high-level thinking skills and 3 out of 9 pre-service mathematics teachers could mentioned the characteristic of HOTS-based problem was contextual problem. None of the pre-service mathematics teachers mentioned that the HOTS-based problems should be non-routine problems.

4.2 Pre-service mathematics teacher's ability to design HOTS-based problem

The indicators formulated by the pre-service teachers measured the third cognitive process dimension of Bloom's revised taxonomy. It means that the indicators measure middle order thinking skills. Moreover, based on the problem designed, it was found that 8 out of 9 pre-service mathematics teachers designed problems that measure the third level of Bloom's revised taxonomy that is applying information to different domains. The problems formulated by 8 pre-service mathematics teachers are contextual problems that contain all the information needed to solve the problem. These findings show

that the pre-service teachers categorized application problems as HOTS-based problems. There was only 1 pre-service mathematics teacher (T7) who was able to make a problem that measure the fourth cognitive level, which was analyzing the relationship between the form of system of two linear equations and the type of the solutions. But the problem developed by T7 was not a contextual problem.

This research reveals that most of the teachers have difficulties in designing HOTS-based problem. In accordance with this finding, Indonesian teachers have difficulties in designing problem that can promote students' HOTS [5]. This result shows that most of the teachers misinterpret higher-order thinking level of Bloom's Taxonomy. Although Bloom's Taxonomy is one of the pedagogical concept that is very familiar to the pre-service teachers, the concept is not understood deeply. The pre-service teachers also categorized word problem as a problem that measure problem-solving skills and therefore solving word problems are placed at the higher-order thinking level. They did not consider that HOTS can be developed when students encounter unfamiliar problems, uncertain conditions, or new phenomenon where no specific algorithm has been taught to the students. In line with these findings, teachers often misinterpret the concept of higher order thinking skills [6, 7].

5. Conclusion

Qualitative results showed that pre-service mathematics teachers misunderstood HOTS and were not able to associate the cognitive demands of HOTS-based problem with the dimension of cognitive processes of revised Bloom's taxonomy. The low understanding of HOTS of pre-service teachers impacts their ability in designing HOTS-based problem. Almost all the pre-service teachers were not able to design a non-routine problem that requires students to analyze or evaluate or create. They tended to design a familiar application problem that requires students to memorize facts, concepts, or procedures that have been done before and apply them to the context. Based on the results, can be concluded that pre-service teachers' knowledge about HOTS and their ability to design HOTS-based problem are very low.

6. Limitations

There are some limitations in this study. First, pre-service mathematics teachers were restricted to design problems only for linear equations system of two variables. This topic is often taught procedurally, teachers often provide similar word problems, and thus emphasizes lower order thinking. Asking teachers to design problems for other topic might lead to different results. Second, pre-service mathematics teachers were not randomly selected and were restricted to a small group of pre-service mathematics teachers joining profession education for pre-service mathematics teachers in Sanata Dharma University. Result may differ for more diverse sample of pre-service mathematics teachers.

References

- [1] Chu S K W, Reynolds R B, Tavares N J, Notari M and Lee C W Y 2017 *Twenty-First Century Skills and Global Education Roadmaps 21st Century Skills Development Through Inquiry-Based Learning* (Singapore: Springer) pp 17-32
- [2] Anderson L W, Krathwohl D R, Airasian P W, Cruikshank K A, Mayer R E, Pintrich P R, Raths J and Wittrock M C 2001 *A taxonomy for learning, teaching, and assessing: A revision of Bloom's Taxonomy of Educational Objectives (Complete edition)* (New York: Longman)
- [3] Retnawati H, Djidu H, Apino E and Anazifa R D 2018 Teachers' Knowledge About Higher-Order Thinking Skills and its Learning Strategy *Problems of Education in the 21st Century* **76**(2) pp 215-230.
- [4] Miles, M B, Huberman, A M and Saldaña, J 2014. *Qualitative Data Analysis. A Methods Sourcebook 3rd ed* (Sage: London)
- [5] Jailani J, Sugiman S, & Apino E 2017 Implementing the problem-based learning in order to improve the students' HOTS and characters. *Jurnal Riset Pendidikan Matematika* **4**(2) pp 247-259.
- [6] Samo D D 2017 Pre-Service Mathematics Teachers' Conception of Higher-Order Thinking Level

- in Bloom's Taxonomy *Infinity Journal* **6**(2) pp 121-136.
- [7] Thompson T 2008 Mathematics teachers' interpretation of higher-order thinking in Bloom's taxonomy *International electronic journal of mathematics education* **3**(2) pp 96-109.

Artikel ICOAIMS

ORIGINALITY REPORT

24%

SIMILARITY INDEX

18%

INTERNET SOURCES

19%

PUBLICATIONS

4%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

| | | |
|---|---|----|
| 1 | ar.scribd.com Internet Source | 2% |
| 2 | "Research Advances in the Mathematical Education of Pre-service Elementary Teachers", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2018 Publication | 1% |
| 3 | Submitted to Universitas Diponegoro Student Paper | 1% |
| 4 | www.researchgate.net Internet Source | 1% |
| 5 | repository.usp.ac.fj Internet Source | 1% |
| 6 | 2019.fmgtegitimikongresi.com Internet Source | 1% |
| 7 | I Baskoro, H Retnawati. "Analyzing vocational school students' error in solving mathematics problems involving higher order thinking skills", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2019 | 1% |

8

Svatava Janoušková, Pavel Teplý, David Fatka, Milada Teplá, Tomáš Cajthaml, Tomáš Hák. "Microplastics—How and What Do University Students Know about the Emerging Environmental Sustainability Issue?", *Sustainability*, 2020

Publication

1 %

9

mafiadoc.com

Internet Source

1 %

10

R Paradesa. "Pre-service mathematics teachers' ability in solving well-structured problem", *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 2018

Publication

1 %

11

staffnew.uny.ac.id

Internet Source

1 %

12

Submitted to American College of Education

Student Paper

1 %

13

Wardono, S Mariani. "Increased mathematical literacy and HOTS through realistic learning assisted by e-schoology", *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 2020

Publication

1 %

14

www.ee.ucr.edu

Internet Source

1 %

| | | |
|----|---|------|
| 15 | www.moe.gov.my Internet Source | 1 % |
| 16 | Roza Leikin. "Qualities of professional dialogue: connecting graduate research on teaching and the undergraduate teachers' program", International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology, 2007 Publication | <1 % |
| 17 | Rishita Kalyani, Ujwal Gadiraju. "Understanding User Search Behavior Across Varying Cognitive Levels", Proceedings of the 30th ACM Conference on Hypertext and Social Media, 2019 Publication | <1 % |
| 18 | doczz.net Internet Source | <1 % |
| 19 | Kiyoshi Shikino, Claudia A Rosu, Daiki Yokokawa, Shingo Suzuki, Yusuke Hirota, Katsumi Nishiya, Masatomi Ikusaka. "The Flipped Classroom Approach to Improve Fundus Examination Skills for Medical Students: a Mixed-methods Study", Research Square, 2021 Publication | <1 % |
| 20 | repository.tudelft.nl Internet Source | <1 % |

| | | |
|----|---|------|
| 21 | zombiedoc.com Internet Source | <1 % |
| 22 | Raub, Latifah Abdul, Nurbiha A. Shukor, Mohammad Yusof Arshad, and Mohd Shafie Rosli. "An Integrated Model to Implement Contextual Learning with Virtual Learning Environment for Promoting Higher Order Thinking Skills in Malaysian Secondary Schools", <i>International Education Studies</i> , 2015. Publication | <1 % |
| 23 | hdl.handle.net Internet Source | <1 % |
| 24 | www.coloradocollege.edu Internet Source | <1 % |
| 25 | www.readkong.com Internet Source | <1 % |
| 26 | tiikmpublishing.com Internet Source | <1 % |
| 27 | www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov Internet Source | <1 % |
| 28 | Hardi Tambunan. "Impact of Heuristic Strategy on Students' Mathematics Ability in High Order Thinking", <i>International Electronic Journal of Mathematics Education</i> , 2018 Publication | <1 % |

29 Heriana Hartiwi, Anna Yu Kozlova, Fitri Masitoh. "THE EFFECT OF CERTIFIED TEACHER AND PRINCIPAL LEADERSHIP TOWARD TEACHERS' PERFORMANCE", INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF EDUCATIONAL REVIEW, 2020
Publication <1 %

30 worldwidescience.org
Internet Source <1 %

31 Toluk-Ucar, Z.. "Developing pre-service teachers understanding of fractions through problem posing", Teaching and Teacher Education, 200901
Publication <1 %

32 creativecommons.org
Internet Source <1 %

33 moam.info
Internet Source <1 %

34 repositorio.lamolina.edu.pe
Internet Source <1 %

35 Kar, TuÄYrul, Ahmet IÄYÄ±k, M. Fatih Ä–Äšal, Alper Ä±iltaÄY, GÄ¼rsel GÄ¼ler, and Cemalettin IÄYÄ±k. "Prospective mathematics teachers abilitiesâ€™ to construct relations between the different representations of series with complex terms", Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, 2011.
Publication <1 %

36 Sowmya Narayanan, M. Adithan. "Analysis Of Question Papers In Engineering Courses With Respect To Hots (Higher Order Thinking Skills)", American Journal of Engineering Education (AJEE), 2015 $<1\%$
Publication

37 V Murni, J Kurniawan, E Jehadus. "How to develop higher-order thinking skills?", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2020 $<1\%$
Publication

38 id.123dok.com $<1\%$
Internet Source

39 rdw.rowan.edu $<1\%$
Internet Source

40 sinta3.ristekdikti.go.id $<1\%$
Internet Source

41 Tuba Gökçek. "Examining Mathematics Pre-service Teachers' Experiences of School Practicum", Journal of Education and Training Studies, 2018 $<1\%$
Publication

42 Yoshitaka Nakakoji, Rachel Wilson. "Interdisciplinary Learning in Mathematics and Science: Transfer of Learning for 21st Century Problem Solving at University", Journal of Intelligence, 2020 $<1\%$
Publication

43

"Mathematical Modelling and Applications",
Springer Science and Business Media LLC,
2017

Publication

<1 %

44

Hatice Akkoç. "Formative questioning in
computer learning environments: a course for
pre-service mathematics teachers",
International Journal of Mathematical
Education in Science and Technology, 2015

Publication

<1 %

45

P. M. O. N. Pallegama, K. A. M. R. Kumari, D.
M. D. P. M. Dissanayaka, A. V. Y. Ravihansi et
al. "Evaluating Teaching Content and
Assessments based on Learning Outcomes",
2020 2nd International Conference on
Advancements in Computing (ICAC), 2020

Publication

<1 %

46

Sardi, M Rizal, J Mansyur. "Behaviour of
mathematics and physics students in solving
problem of Vector-Physics context", Journal of
Physics: Conference Series, 2018

Publication

<1 %

47

Sri Uchtiawati. "Analysis Certification Teachers
On Teacher Professional Education In
Service", INNOVATION RESEARCH JOURNAL,
2020

Publication

<1 %

Exclude quotes On

Exclude matches Off

Exclude bibliography On

Turnitin Originality Report

Processed on: 17-Mar-2021 21:49 WIB
 ID: 1535377403
 Word Count: 3600
 Submitted: 1

Similarity Index

24%

Similarity by Source

Internet Sources: 18%
 Publications: 19%
 Student Papers: 4%

Artikel ICOAIMS By Apriani
 Maria

2% match (Internet from 05-Apr-2019)

<https://ar.scribd.com/document/325730848/Proceeding-Worskhop-ICCE-2015-Hangzhou-Chinna>

1% match (publications)

["Research Advances in the Mathematical Education of Pre-service Elementary Teachers", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2018](#)

1% match (student papers from 06-Nov-2017)

[Submitted to Universitas Diponegoro on 2017-11-06](#)

1% match (Internet from 18-Jan-2021)

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/324923893_Teachers'_knowledge_about_higher-order_thinking_skills_and_its_learning_strategy

1% match ()

[Dorovolomo, Jeremy, Waqairatu, S., Kuma, Clayton, Rore, Alice, Maiwaikatakata, Temalesi. "Hesitance to seek assistance in certain areas of university life", 2017](#)

1% match (Internet from 11-Nov-2020)

<http://2019.fmgtegitimikongresi.com/?s=999>

1% match (publications)

[I Baskoro, H Retnawati. "Analyzing vocational school students' error in solving mathematics problems involving higher order thinking skills", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2019](#)

1% match (publications)

[Svatava Janoušková, Pavel Teplý, David Fatka, Milada Teplá, Tomáš Cajthaml, Tomáš Hák. "Microplastics—How and What Do University Students Know about the Emerging Environmental Sustainability Issue?", Sustainability, 2020](#)

1% match (Internet from 26-Aug-2020)

https://mafiadoc.com/pre-service-mathematics-teachers-conception-of_5c3a980d097c4706308b45c4.html

1% match (publications)

[R Paradesa. "Pre-service mathematics teachers' ability in solving well-structured problem", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2018](#)

| |
|---|
| <p>1% match (Internet from 16-Jul-2020) http://staffnew.uny.ac.id/upload/132255129/penelitian/7%20PEC%20Teacher%20HOTS%20Heri%20Retnawati-H%20Djidu-E%20Apino-K%20Kartianom-RD%20Anazifa-2018.pdf</p> |
| <p>1% match (student papers from 07-Dec-2020) Submitted to American College of Education on 2020-12-07</p> |
| <p>1% match (publications) Wardono, S Mariani. "Increased mathematical literacy and HOTS through realistic learning assisted by e-schoology", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2020</p> |
| <p>1% match () http://www.ee.ucr.edu/~stan/courses/ee120a/sequential.pdf</p> |
| <p>1% match (Internet from 21-Nov-2020) https://www.moe.gov.my/menumedia/media-cetak/penerbitan/jurnal/3858-jurnal-penyelidikan-pendidikan-jilid-21-2020/file</p> |
| <p>< 1% match (publications) Roza Leikin. "Qualities of professional dialogue: connecting graduate research on teaching and the undergraduate teachers' program", International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology, 2007</p> |
| <p>< 1% match (publications) Rishita Kalyani, Ujwal Gadiraju. "Understanding User Search Behavior Across Varying Cognitive Levels", Proceedings of the 30th ACM Conference on Hypertext and Social Media, 2019</p> |
| <p>< 1% match (Internet from 04-Dec-2020) https://doczz.net/doc/148942/isbn-978-602-73404-1-1</p> |
| <p>< 1% match (publications) Kiyoshi Shikino, Claudia A Rosu, Daiki Yokokawa, Shingo Suzuki, Yusuke Hirota, Katsumi Nishiya, Masatomi Ikusaka. "The Flipped Classroom Approach to Improve Fundus Examination Skills for Medical Students: a Mixed-methods Study", Research Square, 2021</p> |
| <p>< 1% match (Internet from 27-Apr-2019) https://repository.tudelft.nl/islandora/object/uuid:bf53169e-2c5c-44b3-8918-7a7b250ff911/datastream/OBJ/download</p> |
| <p>< 1% match (Internet from 13-Nov-2020) https://zombiedoc.com/vol-25-s-sept-2017.html</p> |
| <p>< 1% match (publications) Raub, Latifah Abdul, Nurbiha A. Shukor, Mohammad Yusof Arshad, and Mohd Shafie Rosli. "An Integrated Model to Implement Contextual Learning with Virtual Learning Environment for Promoting Higher Order Thinking Skills in Malaysian Secondary Schools", International Education Studies, 2015.</p> |
| <p>< 1% match () Kleynhans, Andries Mentz. "The design of an undergraduate chiropractic curriculum", 1998</p> |
| <p>< 1% match (Internet from 31-Mar-2020)</p> |

<https://www.coloradocollege.edu/other/assessment/how-to-assess-learning/learning-outcomes/blooms-revised-taxonomy.html>

< 1% match (Internet from 14-Jul-2020)

<https://www.readkong.com/page/teachers-knowledge-about-higher-order-thinking-skills-and-6245792>

< 1% match (Internet from 07-Apr-2020)

https://tiikmpublishing.com/data/conferences/doi/future_edu/26307413.2019.2107.pdf

< 1% match ()

[Beiko, Robert G, Hamilton, Nicholas. "Phylogenetic identification of lateral genetic transfer events", BioMed Central](#)

< 1% match (publications)

[Hardi Tambunan. "Impact of Heuristic Strategy on Students' Mathematics Ability in High Order Thinking", International Electronic Journal of Mathematics Education, 2018](#)

< 1% match (publications)

[Heriana Hartiwi, Anna Yu Kozlova, Fitri Masitoh. "THE EFFECT OF CERTIFIED TEACHER AND PRINCIPAL LEADERSHIP TOWARD TEACHERS' PERFORMANCE", INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF EDUCATIONAL REVIEW, 2020](#)

< 1% match (Internet from 16-Mar-2020)

<https://worldwidescience.org/topicpages/h/higher+order+systems.html>

< 1% match (publications)

[Toluk-Ucar, Z.. "Developing pre-service teachers understanding of fractions through problem posing", Teaching and Teacher Education, 200901](#)

< 1% match ()

[Nguyen, Viet Anh, Hardy, Damien, Puaut, Isabelle. "Cache-Conscious Offline Real-Time Task Scheduling for Multi-Core Processors", LIPICs - Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics. 29th Euromicro Conference on Real-Time Systems \(ECRTS 2017\), 2017](#)

< 1% match (Internet from 12-Nov-2020)

https://moam.info/the-2nd-international-seminar-on-educational-_5985fe881723ddcc692ecf8c.html

< 1% match ()

[Cortés Avendaño, Paola Marlene. "Producción de tanasa de Aspergillus niger mediante un sistema de fermentación por adhesión a superficie \(FAS\)", Universidad Nacional Agraria La Molina, 2015](#)

< 1% match (publications)

[Kar, TuÄYrul, Ahmet IÄYÄ±k, M. Fatih Ä-Äşal, Alper Ä±ltaÄY, GÄ¼rsel GÄ¼ler, and Cemalettin IÄYÄ±k. "Prospective mathematics teachers abilitiesâ€™ to construct relations between the different representations of series with complex terms", Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, 2011.](#)

< 1% match (publications)

[Sowmya Narayanan, M. Adithan. "Analysis Of Question Papers In Engineering Courses With Respect To Hots \(Higher Order Thinking Skills\)", American Journal](#)

[of Engineering Education \(AJEE\), 2015](#)

< 1% match (publications)

[V Murni, J Kurniawan, E Jehadus. "How to develop higher-order thinking skills?", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2020](#)

< 1% match (Internet from 12-May-2020)

<https://id.123dok.com/document/6qm71v4q-penerapan-analisis-pohon-regresi-pada-data-perlindungan-sosial.html>

< 1% match (Internet from 16-Jul-2020)

<https://rdw.rowan.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=2198&context=etd&httpsredir=1&referer=>

< 1% match (Internet from 29-Jul-2020)

<http://sinta3.ristekdikti.go.id/authors/detail?id=6190349&view=overview>

< 1% match (publications)

[Tuba Gökçek. "Examining Mathematics Pre-service Teachers' Experiences of School Practicum", Journal of Education and Training Studies, 2018](#)

< 1% match (publications)

[Yoshitaka Nakakoji, Rachel Wilson. "Interdisciplinary Learning in Mathematics and Science: Transfer of Learning for 21st Century Problem Solving at University", Journal of Intelligence, 2020](#)

< 1% match (publications)

["Mathematical Modelling and Applications", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2017](#)

< 1% match (publications)

[Hatice Akkoç. "Formative questioning in computer learning environments: a course for pre-service mathematics teachers", International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology, 2015](#)

< 1% match (publications)

[P. M. O. N. Pallegama, K. A. M. R. Kumari, D. M. D. P. M. Dissanayaka, A. V. Y. Ravihansi et al. "Evaluating Teaching Content and Assessments based on Learning Outcomes", 2020 2nd International Conference on Advancements in Computing \(ICAC\), 2020](#)

< 1% match (publications)

[Sardi, M Rizal, J Mansyur. "Behaviour of mathematics and physics students in solving problem of Vector-Physics context", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2018](#)

< 1% match (publications)

[Sri Uchtiawati. "Analysis Certification Teachers On Teacher Professional Education In Service", INNOVATION RESEARCH JOURNAL, 2020](#)

< 1% match (publications)

[The Second Handbook of Research on the Psychology of Mathematics Education, 2016.](#)

[Journal of Physics: Conference Series PAPER • OPEN ACCESS Pre-Service](#)

[Mathematics Teachers](#)' Knowledge about [Higher-Order Thinking Skills To cite this article](#): Veronika Fitri Rianasari [and Maria Suci Apriani 2019 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.](#) 1366 012083 [View the article online for updates and enhancements. This content was downloaded from IP address](#) 202.94.83.81 on 08/11/2019 at 07:12 ICoAIMS 2019 Journal of Physics: Conference Series IOP Publishing 1366 (2019) 012083 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1366/1/012083 [Pre-Service Mathematics Teachers](#) ' Knowledge about [Higher- Order Thinking](#) Skills Veronika Fitri Rianasari^{1,a}, Maria Suci Apriani^{1,b} ¹Department of Sciences and Mathematics Education, Sanata Dharma University, Yogyakarta, Indonesia E-mail: averonikafitri@usd.ac.id, bmaria.suci@usd.ac.id Abstract. Mathematics learning should be designed to develop students' higher order thinking skills. A deeper understanding of higher-order thinking will support teachers to be able to design mathematics learning that promotes students' higher-order thinking skills. The purposes of this research are to describe pre-service mathematics teachers' knowledge about Higher Order Thinking Skills (HOTS) and their ability in designing HOTS-based problem. The research participants are 9 pre-service mathematics teachers joining profession education for pre-service teachers at Sanata Dharma University. Data sources in this research include a written test about teachers' understanding of HOTS and a written test about teachers' ability to design HOTS- based problem. The analysis of data involved Miles & Huberman model. Qualitative results showed that pre-service mathematics teachers were not able to associate the cognitive demands of HOTS-based problem with the dimension of cognitive processes of Bloom's Revised Taxonomy. Besides, almost all the pre-service teachers were not able to design a non-routine problem, they tended to design a familiar application problem that requires students to memorize facts, concepts, or procedures that have been done before and apply them to the context. Based on the results, can be concluded that pre-service teachers' knowledge about HOTS and their ability to design HOTS-based problem are very low.

1. Introduction
Education has evolved to meet the challenges of the 21st century. [There are three main frameworks of 21st century skills](#), namely 1) [learning and innovation skills](#), 2) [life and career skills](#), and 3) [information, media, and technology skills](#) [1]. In Indonesia, these competencies/skills have been adopted by the Curriculum 2013 with the term 4C namely critical thinking, communication skills, creativity and innovation, and collaboration. Critical thinking constitutes the key aspect to the 21st century skills. To promote students critical thinking, teachers should design instructional learning so that students have to think critically about something. Therefore, one of the abilities that is very important to be considered by teachers in 21st century learning is [higher order thinking. Higher order thinking is](#) a way of [thinking](#) at a [higher](#) level than memorizing. This mental skill was initially determined [based on Bloom's Taxonomy](#) which categorized [the cognitive domain, from](#) the [lowest to](#) the [highest](#), namely [knowledge, comprehension, application, analysis, synthesis, and evaluation](#). This [cognitive domain was later revised by Lorin Anderson, David Krathwohl, et al. in 2001](#) [2]. [The order of thinking levels was changed to remembering, understanding, applying, analyzing, evaluating, and creating](#). The [levels of remembering, Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author\(s\) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd](#) 1 ICoAIMS 2019 Journal of Physics: Conference Series IOP Publishing 1366 (2019) 012083 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1366/1/012083 understanding

and applying are categorized as low-level thinking abilities (LOTS). While analyzing, evaluating, and creating are categorized as high-level thinking skills (HOTS). HOTS cannot be directly taught to students. Students should be exposed with learning activities that support HOTS development. Therefore, teachers should have adequate competencies to support students' HOTS development by planning, implementing, and evaluating HOTS-oriented learning. A study about Indonesian teachers' understanding of HOTS showed that not all teachers understand HOTS [3]. Moreover the study revealed that the low level of pedagogical abilities relating to HOTS is in line with the low ability of teachers' HOTS. One of the programs designed by Indonesian government to improve the quality of teachers is Teacher Professional Education Program. The implementation of Teacher Professional Education (PPG) in Indonesia is intended so that teachers have pedagogical competence, personality competence, social competence, and professional competence. Since 2017, teacher candidates with bachelor's degree are allowed to take professional education for pre-service teachers to have the competence and acquire teacher certificate. Based on the above review, the study that specifically analyzes the ability of teachers in the PPG program to develop HOTS problem is very important. This study can be used as an evaluation of the PPG program so that the quality of this program can continue to be improved for the advancement of national education in Indonesia. 2. Research methodology This research is a qualitative study. This research aims to investigate pre-service mathematics teachers' knowledge about Higher Order Thinking Skills (HOTS) and their ability in designing HOTS-based problem. The participants were 9 pre-service mathematics teachers joining profession education for pre-service teachers at Sanata Dharma University. They were graduates from seven different institutes of teacher education in Indonesia. In this study, the pre-service teachers passed two written tests. In the first test, the pre-service teachers were asked about the meaning of higher order thinking skills, the relationship between HOTS and levels of cognitive learning according to the revised version of Bloom's taxonomy, and the characteristics of HOTS-based problem. In the second test, the pre-service teachers were asked to formulate an indicator based on the given competency related to a linear equation system of two variables, design a HOTS-based problem in accordance to the indicator, and also argue about the reason why the proposed problem is a HOTS-based problem. Data from the tests were analyzed and presented in a table to be classified into sub-themes. The analysis of data involved Miles & Huberman model consisting of three flows of activity namely data reduction, data display, and conclusion drawing/verification [4]. 3. Research results Based on the written tests, the researchers describe the results in two parts. The first is about Pre-service mathematics teachers' knowledge about HOTS and the second is about pre-service mathematics teachers' ability in designing HOTS-based problem. 3.1 Pre-service mathematics teachers' knowledge about HOTS To know the pre-service mathematics teachers' knowledge about HOTS, the researchers delivered a test that consist of three questions. These questions explored teachers' ability in defining HOTS, associating HOTS with revised Bloom's Taxonomy, and explaining the characteristics of HOTS-based problems. The qualitative results of their knowledge about HOTS are as follows: 3.1.1 Teachers' ability in defining HOTS. To see how deep teachers' understanding about HOTS, teachers attempted to define what HOTS is. Researchers collect the data about teachers' ability in defining HOTS from the test result. These data, 2 ICoAIMS 2019 Journal of Physics: Conference Series IOP Publishing

1366 (2019) 012083 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1366/1/012083 we reduce by coding the data that relevance to the problem and have important information and then display them in the table form. The data display of teachers' ability in defining HOTS [can be seen below: Table 1](#). [Data](#) display of the pre-service mathematics teacher's knowledge in defining HOTS. Data Pre-service Teachers Incorrect HOTS definition HOTS as student's ability in solving high level problem, and they were able to associate it with the fourth cognitive process dimension in Bloom's Taxonomy (analyse) HOTS as student's ability in solving high level problem, and they were able to associate with the [cognitive process dimension of revised Bloom's Taxonomy](#) (analyse, evaluate, create) T1, T2, T3, T4, T7, T9 T5, T8 T6 Based on Table 1, the researchers conclude by verifying the data with the indicators of teachers' knowledge in defining HOTS. Table 2 shows the conclusion of each teachers' knowledge in defining HOTS: [Table 2](#). Conclusion of the [pre-service mathematics teachers'](#) knowledge in defining HOTS. [Indicator T1 T2 T3 T4 T5 T6 T7 T8 T9](#) HOTS as student's ability in solving high level problem - √ - - √ √ √ √ √ Associating HOTS with the fourth of [cognitive process dimension of Bloom's](#) - - - - √ √ - √ - [Taxonomy](#), namely analyse Associating HOTS with the fifth of [cognitive process dimension of Bloom's Taxonomy](#), namely - - - - - √ - - - evaluate Associating HOTS with the sixth of [cognitive process dimension of Bloom's Taxonomy](#), namely - - - - - - - - create 3.1.2 Teachers' ability in associating HOTS with revised Bloom's Taxonomy. HOTS is very closely related to Blooms' taxonomy. The next question is posed to obtain teachers' understanding about the relation between HOTS and Blooms' taxonomy. Researchers collect the data about teachers' ability in associating HOTS with revised Bloom's Taxonomy. These data, we reduce by coding the data that relevance to the problem and have important information and then display them in the table 3. 3 ICoAIMS 2019 Journal of Physics: Conference Series IOP Publishing 1366 (2019) 012083 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1366/1/012083 Table 3. Data display of the pre-service mathematics teachers' knowledge in associating HOTS with revised Bloom's taxonomy. Data Pre-service Teachers Could not explain the association between HOTS and revised Blooms' taxonomy Could not mention correctly which are the cognitive process dimension that are used in HOTS. Some teachers mentioned the level of cognitive dimensions used starting from level 3 (apply), there were those who mention from level 5 (evaluate), some even said only levels 4 and 5 Had been able to see the association between HOTS with Blooms' taxonomy, which was seen from the dimensions of cognitive processes that was starting from level 4. [T1, T3 T2, T4, T5, T6, T7 T8, T9](#) Based on Table 3, the researchers conclude by verifying the data with the indicators of teachers' knowledge in associating HOTS with [revised Bloom's Taxonomy](#). [Table 4](#) shows [the](#) conclusion of each teachers' knowledge in associating HOTS with [revised Bloom's Taxonomy: Table 4](#). Conclusion of [the](#) pre-service mathematics teacher's knowledge in associating HOTS with revised Bloom's Taxonomy. [Indicator T1 T2 T3 T4 T5 T6 T7 T8 T9](#) Able to relate HOTS with the fourth [cognitive process dimension in Bloom's](#) revised [taxonomy](#), namely analyze - √ - - √ √ √ √ √ Able [to](#) relate HOTS with the fifth [cognitive process dimension in Bloom's](#) revised [taxonomy](#), namely evaluate - √ - √ √ √ √ √ √ Able [to](#) relate HOTS with the sixth [cognitive process dimension in Bloom's](#) revised [taxonomy](#), namely create - - - √ √ - √ √ √ However, T5, T6, T7 argued that the third [cognitive process dimension in](#) Bloom' [revised taxonomy](#), namely apply, include in HOTS cognitive process dimension. 3.1.3 Teachers' ability in explaining the characteristics of HOTS-based problems.

The third question, researchers asked the teachers to explain the characteristics' HOTS-based problems. The data display of teachers' knowledge in explaining the characteristics of HOTS-based problems can be shown on the following table: 4 ICoAIMS 2019 Journal of Physics: Conference Series IOP Publishing 1366 (2019) 012083 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1366/1/012083 Table 5. Data display [of the pre-service mathematics](#) teacher's knowledge [in](#) explaining [the](#) characteristics [of](#) HOTS-based problems. Data The problems measure high-level thinking skills The problems are contextual problems The problems have more than one solution Pre-service Teachers [T1, T2, T3, T4, T5, T6, T7, T8 T1](#), T8, T9 [T2, T3, T4](#) Based on Table 5, the researchers conclude by verifying the data with the indicators of teachers' knowledge in explaining the characteristics of HOTS-based problem. Table 6 shows the conclusion of each teachers' knowledge in explaining the characteristics of HOTS-based problem: Table 6. Conclusion [of the pre-service mathematics teachers'](#) knowledge [in](#) explaining [the](#) characteristics [of](#) HOTS-based problem. [Indicator T1 T2 T3 T4 T5 T6 T7 T8](#) T9 HOTS-based problems measure high-level thinking skills HOTS-based problems are contextual problems HOTS-based problems have more than one solution HOTS-based problems are not routine problems $\checkmark \checkmark - - \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark - - - - - \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark - - - - -$

3.2 [Pre-service mathematics teachers' ability in](#) designing HOTS-based [problem To](#) investigate the [pre-service mathematics teachers' ability in](#) designing HOTS-based [problem](#), they were asked [to](#) formulate an indicator based on the given competency related to a system of two linear equations, design a HOTS-based problem in accordance to the indicator, write several solutions regarding the problem, and also argue about the reason why the proposed problem is a HOTS-based problem. 3.2.1 Teachers' ability in formulating HOTS-based problem. In order to know the pre-service teachers' ability in designing HOTS-based problem, first they were asked to formulate an indicator based on the given competency related to a system of two linear equations. The data display of teachers' knowledge in formulating HOTS-based problem can be showed on the following table: Table 7. Data display [of the pre-service mathematics teachers'](#) ability [in](#) formulating HOTS-based problem. Data Pre-service Teachers Formulated an indicator that measures the third [cognitive process dimension of revised Bloom's Taxonomy](#) (C3) namely [apply T1, T2, T3, T4, T5, T6, T7, T8, T9](#) 5 ICoAIMS 2019 Journal of Physics: Conference Series IOP Publishing 1366 (2019) 012083 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1366/1/012083 Designed a [problem](#) according to [the](#) indicator that measures [the third cognitive process dimension of revised Bloom's Taxonomy](#) (C3) namely [apply](#) Designed a problem that measures the fourth [cognitive process dimension of revised Bloom's Taxonomy](#) (C4) namely analyse, but the indicator is written in the third level (C3) [T1, T2, T3, T4, T5, T6, T8, T9 T7](#) Based on Table 7, the researchers conclude by verifying the data with the indicators of teachers' knowledge in formulating HOTS-based problem. Table 8 shows the conclusion of each teachers' knowledge in formulating HOTS-based problem: Table 8. Conclusion of the pre-service mathematics teachers' ability in formulating HOTS-based problem. [Indicator T1 T2 T3 T4 T5 T6 T7 T8](#) T9 Wrote an indicator that measures the last three [cognitive level in revised Bloom's Taxonomy](#) Designed a [problem according to the last three cognitive level](#) in revised [Bloom's Taxonomy](#) Designed a contextual problem that requires students to analyse, or evaluate, or create $- - - - - \checkmark - - - - -$ 3.2.2 Teachers' ability in explaining about the reason why the proposed problem is a HOTS-based problem. After formulating a problem, the pre-service teachers were asked

about the reason why the proposed problem is a HOTS-based problem. The [results can be](#) showed on [the following table. Table 9](#). Data display [of the pre-service mathematics teachers'](#) explanation [about the](#) reason why [the](#) proposed problem is a HOTS-based problem. Data Pre-service Teachers

The problem is a contextual problem The problem has several alternative solutions The problem requires students to interpret answers according to the context The proposed problem is non-routine problem so that students will try to find solutions The proposed problem requires students to do problem solving steps T8, [T9 T1, T3](#), T4 [T2](#), T3, [T4](#), T9 T7 [T5, T6, T9](#)

Based on Table 9, the researchers conclude by verifying the data with the indicators of teachers' explanation about the reason why the proposed problem is a HOTS-based problem. Table 10 shows the 6 ICoAIMS 2019 Journal of Physics: Conference Series IOP Publishing 1366 (2019) 012083 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1366/1/012083 conclusion of each teachers' explanation about the reason why the proposed problem is a HOTS-based problem. Table 10. Conclusion of the [pre-service mathematics teachers'](#) explanation [about the](#) reason why [the](#) proposed problem is a HOTS-based problem. Indicator [T1 T2 T3 T4 T5 T6 T7 T8 T9](#) The [problem](#) measures [the](#) last three [cognitive level in revised Bloom's Taxonomy](#) The problem is a contextual problem [The problem is a non-routine problem](#) The problem allows students to make different alternative solutions - - - $\sqrt{\quad}$ - - - - - - - $\sqrt{\quad}$ $\sqrt{\quad}$ - - - - $\sqrt{\quad}$ $\sqrt{\quad}$ - - - - - $\sqrt{\quad}$ - - - $\sqrt{\quad}$ $\sqrt{\quad}$ - - - - - 4. Discussion 4.1 Pre-service mathematics teacher's knowledge about Higher [Order Thinking Skills \(HOTS\)](#) [Based on the results, it](#) was found [that](#) 6 out of 9 pre-service mathematics teachers were unable to understand what HOTS is. From six teachers, some of them defined HOTS as an instrument to test or know the level of thinking of students, others defined HOTS as a demand for high thinking, and the rest of pre-service mathematics teachers defined HOTS as a thinking ability which consists of various levels in solving a problem. Only one pre-service mathematics teacher defined HOTS correctly. She defined HOTS [as the](#) students' [ability to solve problems](#) to [the](#) stage of analytical skills to determine strategies and solutions. She has defined HOTS by associating with the level cognitive process dimension of Bloom's revised taxonomy. [The results showed that](#) most of [pre-service mathematics teachers](#) joining profession education [for pre-service teachers at](#) Sanata Dharma [University](#) still misunderstand HOTS. This result in accordance to the research that had been done by [3]. They found that [the possibility of teachers to understand the whole](#) concept [of HOTS is still lacking](#) In associating HOTS with revised Bloom's Taxonomy, no more than 50% pre-service mathematics teachers could associate HOTS with Bloom's taxonomy correctly. Only 2 [out of 9 pre-service mathematics teachers were able to](#) connect between HOTS and Bloom's taxonomy specifically on the cognitive process dimension starting from C4. In mentioning the characteristics of HOTS-based problem, 8 out of 9 pre-service teachers mentioned that HOTS-based problem could measure high-level thinking skills and 3 out of 9 [pre-service mathematics teachers](#) could [mentioned the](#) characteristic [of](#) HOTS-based problem was contextual problem. None of the [pre-service mathematics teachers mentioned that](#) [the](#) HOTS-based problems should be non-routine problems. 4.2 Pre-service mathematics teacher's ability to design HOTS-based problem The indicators formulated by the [pre-service teachers](#) measured [the third](#) cognitive process dimension [of](#) Bloom's revised taxonomy. It means that the indicators measure middle order thinking skills. Moreover, based on the problem designed, it was found that 8 out of 9 pre-service mathematics teachers designed problems that measure the third [level of Bloom's](#)

revised [taxonomy that is](#) applying information [to](#) different domains. The problems formulated by 8 pre-service mathematics teachers are contextual problems that contain all the information needed to solve the problem. [These findings](#) show 7 ICoAIMS 2019 Journal of Physics: Conference Series IOP Publishing 1366 (2019) 012083 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1366/1/012083 [that the pre-service teachers](#) categorized application problems as HOTS-based problems. There was only 1 pre-service mathematics teacher (T7) who was able to make a problem that measure the fourth cognitive level, which was analyzing the relationship between the form of system of two linear equations and the type of the solutions. But the problem developed by T7 was not a contextual problem. This research reveals that most of the teachers have difficulties in designing HOTS-based problem. In accordance with this finding, Indonesian teachers have difficulties in designing problem that can promote students' HOTS [5]. This result showed that most of the [teachers misinterpret higher-order thinking level of Bloom's Taxonomy](#). Although [Bloom's Taxonomy is one of the pedagogical concept that is very familiar to the pre-service teachers](#), the concept is [not understood deeply](#). The pre-service teachers also categorized word problem as a problem that measure problem-solving skills and therefore solving word problems are placed at the higher-order thinking level. They did not consider that HOTS can be developed when students encounter unfamiliar problems, uncertain conditions, or new phenomenon where no specific algorithm has been taught to the students. In line with these findings, teachers often misinterpret the concept of higher order thinking skills [6, 7].

5. Conclusion Qualitative results [showed that pre-service mathematics teachers](#) misunderstood HOTS and [were not able to](#) associate [the](#) cognitive demands [of](#) HOTS-based problem with the dimension of cognitive processes of revised Bloom's taxonomy. The low understanding of HOTS of [pre-service teachers](#) impacts [their ability in](#) designing HOTS-based problem. [Almost all the pre-service teachers were not able to](#) design a non-routine problem that requires students to analyze or evaluate or create. They tended to design a familiar application problem that requires students to memorize facts, concepts, or procedures that have been done before and apply them to the context. [Based on the results, can be concluded that](#) pre-service [teachers' knowledge about HOTS](#) and [their ability to](#) design [HOTS-based](#) problem are very low.

6. Limitations There are some limitations [in this study](#). First, [pre-service mathematics teachers](#) were restricted [to](#) design problems only for linear equations system of two variables. This topic is often taught procedurally, teachers often provide similar word problems, and thus emphasizes lower order thinking. Asking teachers to design problems for other topic might lead to different results. Second, pre-service mathematics teachers were not randomly selected and were restricted to [a small group of pre-service mathematics teachers](#) joining profession [education for pre-service mathematics teachers in](#) Sanata Dharma University. Result may differ for more diverse [sample of pre-service mathematics teachers](#).

References [1] Chu S K W, Reynolds R B, Tavares N J, Notari M and Lee C W Y 2017 Twenty-First Century Skills and Global Education Roadmaps 21st Century Skills Development Through Inquiry- Based Learning (Singapore: Springer) pp 17-32 [2] Anderson L W, Krathwohl D R, Airasian P W, Cruikshank K A, Mayer R E, Pintrich P R, Raths J and Wittrock M C 2001 A taxonomy for learning, teaching, and assessing: A revision of Bloom's Taxonomy of Educational Objectives (Complete edition) (New York: Longman) [3] Retnawati H, Djidu H, Apino E and Anazifa R D 2018 Teachers' Knowledge About Higher-Order Thinking Skills and its Learning Strategy Problems of

Education in the 21st Century 76(2) pp 215-230. [4] Miles, M B, Huberman, A M and Saldaña, J 2014. Qualitative Data Analysis. A Methods Sourcebook 3rd ed (Sage: London) [5] Jailani J, Sugiman S, & Apino E 2017 Implementing the problem-based learning in order to improve the students' HOTS and characters. Jurnal Riset Pendidikan Matematika 4(2) pp 247- 259. [6] Samo D D 2017 Pre-Service Mathematics Teachers' Conception of Higher-Order Thinking Level 8 ICoAIMS 2019 Journal of Physics: Conference Series IOP Publishing 1366 (2019) 012083 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1366/1/012083 in Bloom's Taxonomy Infinity Journal 6(2) pp 121-136. [7] Thompson T 2008 Mathematics teachers' interpretation of higher-order thinking in Bloom's taxonomy International electronic journal of mathematics education 3(2) pp 96-109. 9