



**Proceeding  
The 6<sup>th</sup> International Seminar on Social Studies  
and History Education  
(ISSSHE) 2021**

**Volume I**

8 November 2021  
Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia

**Promoting Local Wisdom  
and Globalism towards  
Sustainable Development in the  
Pandemic and Post Pandemic Era**

**SCHOOL OF POSTGRADUATE STUDIES**

Study Program of History Education and Study Program of Social Studies Education  
Jln. Dr. Setiabudhi No.229 Bandung 40154 Fax. (022) 2001197

☎ (022) 2001197   ✉ pascasarjana@upi.edu   🌐 <http://sps.upi.edu>

**PROCEEDINGS**  
**The 6th International Seminar on Social Studies and**  
**History Education (ISSHE) 2021**

**"Promoting Local Wisdom and Globalism towards Sustainable  
Development in the Pandemic and Post Pandemic Era"**

Auditorium SPS, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia  
Bandung, 8 November 2021



**SCHOOL OF POSTGRADUATE STUDIES**  
**STUDY PROGRAM OF SOCIAL STUDIES EDUCATION**  
**UNIVERSITAS PENDIDIKAN INDONESIA**

Jln. Dr. Setiabudhi No. 229 Bandung 40154 Tlp. (022) 2001197  
Fax. (022) 2001197 E-Mail: [pascasarjana@upi.edu](mailto:pascasarjana@upi.edu)  
Website: <http://sps.upi.edu>

## **Proceedings**

# **The 6th International Seminar on Social Studies and History Education (ISSSHE) 2021**

## **"Promoting Local Wisdom and Globalism towards Sustainable Development in the Pandemic and Post Pandemic Era"**

Steering committee: Prof. Dr. H. M. Solehuddin, M.A., M.Pd.  
Rector of Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia  
Prof. Dr. H. Syihabuddin, M.Pd.  
Director of School of Postgraduate Studies

Directors : Assoc. Prof. Dr. Erlina Wiyanarti, M.Pd.  
Head of Study Program of Social Studies Education, SPS  
Prof. Dr. Nana Supriatna, M.Ed.  
Head of Study Program of History Education, SPS

Chairperson : Drs. Suwirta, M.Hum.  
Secretary : Labibatussolihah, M.Pd.  
Treasurer : Mina Holilah, M.Pd.  
Program Chair : Nurdiani Fathiraini, S.IP., M.A.

### **Proceeding**

Reviewers : Assoc. Prof. Dr. Erlina Wiyanarti, M.Pd.  
Prof. Dr. Nana Supriatna, M.Ed.

Editors : Assoc. Prof. Dr. Erlina Wiyanarti, M.Pd.  
Prof. Dr. Nana Supriatna, M.Ed.  
Labibatussolihah, S.Pd., M.Pd.  
Nurdiani Fathiraini, S.IP., M.A.  
Nour M. Adriani, S.Hum., M.APS.

Layout : Wahyu Muhammad Ramdhani  
Muhammad Taufan Zaluluddin

Cover & Design : Muhammad Taufan Zaluluddin

First Edition, December 2021

Size: 18 x 25 cm xiv+390 pages

ISBN: 978-602-53954-3-1 (no.jil.lengkap) ISBN: 978-602-53954-4-8 (jil.1)

Published by School of Postgraduate Studies

Study Program of Social Studies Education - Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia

Printed by Rizqi Press, Bandung

## **Professor Syihabuddin**

Director of School of Postgraduate Studies  
Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia

### **Messages from the Director**

By praising and being grateful to God the almighty, I welcome this annual International Seminar on Social Studies and History Education (ISSSHE) in 2021. This activity was initiated by the Study Program of Social Studies Education and the Study Program of History Education, School of Postgraduate Studies, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia. It is the sixth in a series since it was first held in 2015. I hope that this good academic tradition will continue and improve its quality in the future.

The Covid-19 pandemic running for almost two years has resulted in fundamental changes in all aspects of human life. Education, both theoretical and practical, faces great challenges to adapt to the latest dynamic developments, which this generation may never face before. As academics, it is our duty to synergize, collaborate and come up with the best ideas, paradigms, approaches, and solutions towards social recovery in the post-pandemic period by considering the aspect of harmony between humans and the environment as the spirit of the global 2030 Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).

One breakthrough to face the post-pandemic era can depart from the nation's cultural treasures. As the prominent social scientist Ibn Khaldun reveals community evolution, many unique sustainable approaches already exist and are nurtured in each local or indigenous community. Therefore, I welcome the theme, "**Promoting Local Wisdom and Globalism towards Sustainable Development in the Pandemic and Post Pandemic Era**" as a big umbrella for this intellectual activity.

I would like to thank Assoc. Professor Erlina Wiyanarti, Professor Nana Supriatna, and their team for its remarkable effort on this event as well as the best appreciation to the invited keynote speakers for their participation. The School will always be happy to have you here and I hope that we can continue our cooperation better and deeper in the future. I also welcome this proceeding as a product of academic research. We hope that our small contribution today will give a big impact towards society development, now and the future. Thank you very much.

Bandung, 8 November 2021

Prof. Dr. H. Syihabuddin, M.Pd.

**Assoc. Professor Erlina Wiyanarti**

Head of the Study Program of Social Studies Education,  
School of Postgraduate Studies, UPI

## **Welcoming Remarks**

Sustainability is the key to development trends in the 21st century. As a global theme, the active role of all parties, especially educators, academics, and practitioners, is crucial. This strategic position is in line with the SDGs target in 2030. While also struggling to keep the pace on the track, we are facing the latest challenge of a severe health crisis which will undoubtedly affect efforts to achieve the global targets of these nations.

Social Studies Education has a strategic position in pursuing the realization of the SDGs. Universal values such as tolerance, respect, dialogue, and inclusivity are common themes that need to be promoted. However, generalizations also often cause culture and society in the semi- or periphery area, in Wallerstein's (1974) term, to lose direction. On the one hand, they need to encourage progress by adapting to new values and approaches in the name of development. However, the adaptation often caused them to be uprooted from their identity roots for the sake of modernity.

Combining the two contexts of today's world between sustainable development and the Covid-19 Pandemic, we can use the terms of disruption. How can society re-establish new normality in such complex disruption? What is the role of Social Studies Education in ensuring sustainability in education and development? Therefore, we brought the theme "**Promoting Local Wisdom and Globalism towards Sustainable Development in the Pandemic and Post Pandemic Era**" with the hope that it will stimulate critical and brilliant thinking from academics, practitioners, and observers, especially among the educational community regarding potential directions and approaches in dealing with the negative impacts of the crisis.

At this very good moment, I would like to express my highest appreciation to the invited speakers, **Professor Sheng-Ju Chan** from National Chung Cheng University, Taiwan; **Assoc. Professor Nikolee Marie A. Serafico-Reyes** from Philippine Normal University, and **Professor Hiromi Kawaguchi** from Hiroshima University, Japan. My highest regards also to the distinguish speakers **Professor Wasino** from Semarang State University, and **Professor Sapriya** from UPI for their participation. To the committee, who planned and executed this seminar, our partner, **APRIPSI**, most of all, the presenters and participants who have enthusiastically showed up here, my biggest thank you, and please enjoy our event.

Kind regards.

Bandung, 8 November 2021

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Erlina Wiyanarti, M.Pd.

## **Professor Nana Supriatna**

Head of the Study Program of History Education,  
School of Postgraduate Studies, UPI

### **Welcoming Remarks**

The past, present, and future are a causal-effect congruence which, as Carr (1961) stated, is a continuing or endless dialogue. Through history, we are able to access the stockpile of experience and meaning of life as human beings. If our daily lives tend to be preoccupied with pragmatic targets, then dialogue with the past, the history, will open our eyes to transcend material boundaries by absorbing immaterial values, life wisdom from what has been experienced by humans and society before us.

We are living in unfavourable times. In the last twenty years, the world has been hit by two or three global economic crises, several regional wars and conflicts, and two health crises: SARS and Ebola. Now, we are facing another global crisis again of the Covid-19 pandemic. In addition to having a broad impact on educational practice, this situation is expected to be an opportunity for academics, practitioners, and the HEIs to improve themselves and their adaptive capacity to respond to the new challenges constantly emerging.

From a historical perspective, shocks such as crises – in their various forms – are neither strange nor isolated. It is the complexity of space and time that will inevitably occur. Then, what is needed is how humans deal with it: challenge and response (Toynbee, 1946). Here, we bring forward the theme of **“Promoting Local Wisdom and Globalism towards Sustainable Development in the Pandemic and Post Pandemic Era”** as an alternative – even mainstream – for future actions that might be possible in the education community (theoretical and practical development) or society in general.

I would like to express my highest appreciation to the fellow international academics who are invited as our keynote speakers, **Professor Sheng-Ju Chan** from National Chung Cheng University, Taiwan; **Assoc. Professor Nikolee Marie A. Serafico-Reyes** from Philippine Normal University, the Philippines, and **Professor Hiromi Kawaguchi** from Hiroshima University, Japan. Also, my highest regards to distinguish keynote speakers, **Professor Wasino** from Semarang State University, and **Professor Sapriya** from UPI. To the committee, who tirelessly planned and executed this seminar, our partner and association **P3SI**, most of all, the presenters and participants who have enthusiastically participated here, my biggest thank you, and I hope you enjoy our event.

Kind regards.

Bandung, 8 November 2021

Prof. Dr. Nana Supriatna, M.Ed.

## Foreword

This Proceeding is a single publication in two volumes that compiles about fifty-four articles submitted by the presenters at the 6th International Seminar on Social Studies and History Education (ISSSHE) 2021. The committee is proud enough to organizing this event jointly held by Study Program of **Social Studies Education**, Study Program of **History Education**, Indonesia Association of Social Studies Education (**APRIPSI**), and Indonesia Association of History Education Study Program (**P3SI**).

This year, we come up with **“Promoting Local Wisdom and Globalism towards Sustainable Development in the Pandemic and Post Pandemic Era”** as the conference main theme. All the papers are reviewed and classified under the sub-themes as follows:

1. Extracting Local Wisdom as a Source of Learning
2. Model & Media Learning of Social Studies & History Education
3. Globalisation; Globalism; & Beyond in Social Studies & History Education
4. Ecoliteracy & Ethnopaedagogy of Local Wisdom
5. Digital World in Social Studies and History Education

We highly express our best appreciation to any party who help and support this seminar. Notably, the university management, the heads of school and study programs, the invited speakers, guests, presenters and participants. We hope that this proceeding will give us a fresh insight and better knowledge to answer the challenges especially in the field of social studies and history education during this Pandemic and moreover in the Post-pandemic recovery time that will be happened in the near future.

Thank you very much.

Bandung, 8 November 2021

The 6th ISSSHE Organizing Committee



**Schedule of Event**  
**The 6th International Seminar on Social Studies and History**  
**Education (ISSSHE) 2021**

Monday, 8 November 2021

Time	Activity/s	PIC
08.55 - 09.00	<b>Opening</b>	
09.00 - 09.05	Singing <b>National Anthem</b> <i>Indonesia Raya</i>	
09.05 - 09.15	<b>Welcoming Speeches</b> 1. Assoc. Prof. Dr. Erlina Wiyanarti, M.Pd. Head of Study Program of Social Studies Education, SPS UPI 2. Prof. Dr. Nana Supriatna, M.Ed. Head of Study Program of History Education, SPS UPI	Master of Ceremony: Nurdiani Fathiraini, M.A.
09.15 - 09.20	<b>Opening Remarks</b> Prof. Dr. Syihabuddin, M.Pd. Director of School of Postgraduate Studies (SPS) UPI	
09.20 - 09.25	<b>Praying address</b>	
09.25 - 09.30	<b>Introduction for opening lecture</b>	
09.30 - 10.30	<b>Special Opening Lecture</b> 1. <b>Prof. Sheng-Ju Chan, Ph.D.</b> (Graduate Institute of Education, National Chung Cheng University, Taiwan) <b>“Embedding Local Knowledge in Higher Education: A Social Responsibility Perspective”</b> 2. <b>Prof. Dr. Wasino, M.Hum.</b> (Department of History Education, Semarang State University, Indonesia) <b>“Promoting Local &amp; Global Value in Social Studies &amp; History Education in the Time of Pandemic”</b>	Moderator: Mr. Andi Suwirta, M.Hum.
10.30 - 10.50	<b>Discussion – Q&amp;A Session</b>	
10.50 - 10.55	<b>Break</b>	MC



10.55 - 12.30	Parallel Session	Moderator /s
12.30 - 13.00	Break	MC
13.00 - 13.05	Reopening and introduction for keynote panels	Moderator: Mr. Andi Suwirta, M.Hum.
13.05 - 14.35	<b>Keynote Panels</b> 1. <b>Prof. Dr. Hiromi Kawaguchi</b> (Hiroshima University, Japan) 2. <b>Assoc. Prof. Nikolee M. A. Serafico-Reyes, Ph.D.</b> (Philippine Normal University, the Philippines) 3. <b>Prof. Dr. Sapriya, M.Ed.</b> (Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Indonesia)	
14.35 - 15.05	Discussion – Q&A Session	
15.05 -15.10	<b>Closing Remarks</b> Prof. Dr. Nana Supriatna, M.Ed	

# Table of Contents

<b>Messages from the Director</b>	iii
Director of School of Postgraduate Studies Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia Professor Syihabuddin	
<b>Welcoming Remarks</b>	iv
Head of the Study Program of Social Studies Education School of Post-Graduate Studies, UPI Assoc. Professor Erlina Wiyanarti	
<b>Welcoming Remarks</b>	v
Head of the Study Program of History Education School of Post-Graduate Studies, UPI Professor Nana Supriatna	
<b>Foreword from the Committee</b>	vi
<b>Schedule of Event</b>	vii
<b>Table of Contents</b>	ix

## Invited Speakers

<b>Embedding Local Knowledge in Higher Education Curriculum: A Social Responsibility Perspective</b>	1
Professor Sheng-Ju CHAN National Chung Cheng University, Taiwan	
<b>Reflections on Philippine Araling Panlipunan (Social Studies) in the Pandemic Era</b>	13
Assoc. Professor Nikolee Marie A. Serafico-Reyes Philippine Normal University, the Philippines	
<b>Promoting Local and Global Value in Social Studies and History Education in the Pandemic Era to Supporting Education for Sustainable Development</b>	21
Professor Wasino Semarang State University, Indonesia	

**Global Citizenship Education in Social Studies in  
The Pandemic Era** 31

Professor Sapriya  
Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia

**Sub-Theme 1.**

**Extracting Local Wisdom as a Source of Learning**

1. **The Local Wisdom of Trade Tradition among Banjar Community in Building the Spirit of Entrepreneurship as a Social Studies Learning Source** 49  
Alfisyah – Nana Supriatna
2. **The Character Education of Pancasila Values in History Education in Indonesia** 64  
Handry Dwiyana
3. **The Value of Local Wisdom in *Mamanda* Traditional Arts in South Kalimantan** 73  
Faujjan Esa Gumelar – Wawan Darmawan –  
Murdiyah Winarti – Iing Yulianti
4. **The Character Value of “*Culturingsi Bulus Si Rumbuk*” by Willem Iskander in Local History Learning** 81  
Halimahtun Sakdiah
5. **The Values of the *Robo-robo* Tradition of the West Kalimantan Malay Society in Cultural Preservation** 91  
Khairuman
6. **The Spirit of *Wasaka* Values (*Waja Sampai Kaputing*) Urang Banjar in Reducing Moral Degradation** 99  
Muhammad Adhitya Hidayat Putra - Nana Supriatna –  
Erlina Wiyanarti – Muhammad Rezky Noor Handy
7. **The Role of K.H. Abdurrahman Ambo Dalle in the Field of Education and Da'wah at the DDI Mangkoso Islamic Boarding School Barru** 107  
Muhammad Ilham – Corry Iriani R. – Abdul Syukur

- 8. The Values in the Traditional Game of *Bagasing* as Learning Resources in Social Studies Education** 117  
 Muhammad Rezky Noor Handy – Jumriani – Rusmaniah – Mutiani – Syaharuddin – Muhammad Adhitya Hidayat Putra
- 9. The Contribution of Klenteng Soetji Nurani in Cultivating Tolerance for Society** 129  
 Mutiani – Jumriani – Ersis Warmansyah Abbas – Karina M.Mursid – Muhammad Rezky Noor Handy – Syaharuddin
- 10. Historical Literacy through *Bajamba* Eating Tradition as a Strengthening of Character Education** 138  
 Nadia Ramona
- 11. The Local History of Banten Sultanate as a Source of Historical Learning: A Multicultural Education** 147  
 Nuhiyah
- 12. The Use of Tinggihari Site in Lahat as a Source and Medium of Historical Learning in the Pandemic Era** 157  
 Rani Oktapiani
- 13. The Utilization of Siliwangi Division Struggle Monument As a Source of Local Historical Learning during the Covid-19 Pandemic** 163  
 Taofik Hidayat
- 14. Exploring the Values of Local Wisdom of *Angklung Buhun* Arts to Develop the Materials of Ethnic and Cultural Diversity in Social Studies Lessons at Class IV Elementary School** 172  
 Usmaedi – Nana Supriatna – Erlina Wiyanarti – Puji Siswanto
- 15. The Values of Local Wisdom of The Art of *Pupuh* in the *Seren Taun* Ceremony (A Case Study on Class V Students at SDN Cigugur)** 181  
 Nana Sutarna – Kokom Komalasari – Yani Fitriyani

## Sub-Theme 2.

### Model & Media Learning of Social Studies & History Education

1. **The Implementation of Local Wisdom through Historical Sites in History Learning** 191  
Citra Rafika Utari
2. **Utilizing CORE (Connecting, Organizing, Reflecting, Extending) Learning Models for Historical Learning in the Pandemic Era** 199  
Harun Al Rasyid
3. **Integration of Community Activities in The Public Space as a Source of Social Sciences Learning** 208  
Jumriani – Ersis Warmansyah Abbas – Bambang Subiyakto – Muhammad Rezky Noor Handy – Rusmaniah – Mutiani
4. **Activities and Culture of History Learning at SMAN Cikatomas Tasikmalaya Regency since Covid-19 Pandemic** 217  
Iman Nugraha
5. **Integrated Teaching Material Development Model through Blended Learning** 225  
Muhammad Arief Rakhman – Dina Siti Logayah – Sapriya
6. **The Linearity of Teachers and Pedagogic Competency in the Developing the Innovation of History Learning in Vocational Schools during the Pandemic** 243  
Marli Eka Lestari
7. **Think, Talk, Write Learning Model in Historical Learning during the Covid-19 Pandemic** 251  
Muhammad Yardo R.
8. ***Rasan Teambek Anak* Traditional Marriage Values in Empat Lawang Regency as Emancipatory Learning Strategy in Social Studies** 259  
Nawalinsi – Nana Supriatna

- |            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |     |
|------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| <b>9.</b>  | <b>Cooperative Learning in Constructivist History Learning During the Covid-19 Pandemic</b><br>Septiansyah Tanjung                                                                                                                         | 267 |
| <b>10.</b> | <b>Integrating the Local Wisdom Values in Social Studies Education Learning at Aikmel 1 State Junior High School - East Lombok</b><br>Suriadi Ardiansyah – Enok Maryani                                                                    | 275 |
| <b>11.</b> | <b>Improving the Creativity of History Teachers through Past Imagination of the Vernacular Architecture of Kapuas River Bank Society for the Materials of the Indonesian Maritime Kingdom in Islamic Civilization Era</b><br>Yuver Kusnoto | 284 |

### **Sub-Theme 3.**

#### **Globalization, Globalism, & Beyond in Social Studies & History Education**

- |           |                                                                                                                                                                                            |     |
|-----------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| <b>1.</b> | <b>The Local Wisdom of Cireundeu Traditional Village as Food Security Efforts towards SDGs 2030 Success in Social Studies Learning</b><br>Dina Siti Logayah – Mamat Ruhimat – Asep Mulyadi | 291 |
| <b>2.</b> | <b>The Urgency of Social Studies Learning Through Local Wisdom Approach to the Challenge of Borderless Society</b><br>Felia Siska – Nana Supriatna – Irwan – Wibi Wijaya – Trina Febriani  | 301 |
| <b>3.</b> | <b>The Reconstruction of Controversial Issues in Social Studies Learning in the Era of Globalization</b><br>Hasni – Nana Supriatna – Erlina Wiyanarti                                      | 311 |
| <b>4.</b> | <b>Teacher's Response to the Narrative of the Chinese Rebellion in Indonesian History Textbook</b><br>Hendra Kurniawan – Nana Supriatna                                                    | 319 |
| <b>5.</b> | <b>How does Local Wisdom in Social Studies Education Influence the Formation of Social Entrepreneurship in Indonesia?</b><br>Herlina Herlina                                               | 330 |

<b>6.</b>	<b>The Effectiveness of Traffic Code Strategy Based on Local Wisdom of <i>Tat Twam Asi</i></b>	<b>341</b>
	M. A. Suprpta – Ni K. A. Evaria	
<b>7.</b>	<b>The Nationalism of Students during the Covid-19 Pandemic</b>	<b>351</b>
	Tsabit Azinar Ahmad – Erlina Wiyanarti	
<b>8.</b>	<b>Digital History Resources: Optimization for a Meaningful Learning</b>	<b>359</b>
	Labibatussolihah – Ayi Budi Santosa – Nurdiani Fathiraini	
<b>9.</b>	<b>The Internalization of Kanekes Community’s Ecological Awareness as Local History</b>	<b>368</b>
	Rikza Fauzan – Erlina Wiyanarti	
<b>10.</b>	<b>Internationalization of Educational Human Resources in Indonesia from Foreign Language Attainment Policy Perspective: A Historical Review</b>	<b>377</b>
	Nour Muhammad Adriani – Labibatussolihah	





# Teacher's Response to the Narrative of The Chinese Rebellion in Indonesian History Textbook

**Hendra Kurniawan**

hendrak@upi.edu

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia

Sanata Dharma University

**Nana Supriatna**

nanasup@upi.edu

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia

**Abstract:** This study analyzes the teacher's response to the Chinese Rebellion narrative in the Indonesian History textbook for class XI SMA based on the 2013 Curriculum published by the Ministry of Education and Culture of the Republic of Indonesia in 2017. This study uses a qualitative descriptive method. The data sources are five history teachers who use the textbooks in the history lessons they manage. The data source in this study is not to represent the population but to represent the information through the purposive sampling technique. Data were collected through interviews supported by a literature study. The data were analyzed with a hermeneutic approach to interpreting it to conclude. As a result, history teachers who became research informants considered the Chinese Rebellion narrative critical, especially diction and aspects of value internalization. For this reason, some teachers are negotiating by using narratives from other learning sources as a comparison. Some are opposed to reinterpreting the narrative based on their perspective and method. Apart from informants, it is also possible that history teachers who feel that the narrative is appropriate will tend to be hegemonic-dominant.

**Keywords:** teacher response, history textbook, the Chinese Rebellion narrative.



## Introduction

The Indonesian History textbook for class XI SMA published by the Ministry of Education and Culture of Indonesia in 2017 is based on the 2013 Curriculum. In this book, Basic Competencies 3.2. Analyzing the strategy of the Indonesian people's resistance to European colonization (Portuguese, Spanish, Dutch, English) up to the 20th century is outlined in the subject of "War Against Colonialism and Imperialism." One of the historical events studied was the Chinese resistance to the VOC (*Vereenigde Oostindische Compagnie*). In the textbook, the narrative of this event is entitled "The Chinese Rebellion" (Sardiman & Lestariningsih, 2017). In some historiographies, the event is also referred to as the Muara Angke Tragedy. This political turmoil was followed by Geger Pacinan or the war between the Chinese-Javanese alliance and the Dutch VOC. This event is considered a major conflict that occurred in almost entire Java during the period of 1740-1743 and resulted in weakening the VOC military capacity in the archipelago. It also significantly influenced further historical developments especially in local politics (Daradjadi, 2013; Rimmelink, 2002; Wijayakusuma, 2005).

The historical writings of the Chinese rebellion had been lost for a while before they reappeared in textbooks. This reality is inseparable from changes in the political regime in Indonesia that affect policies in the national curriculum. Due to political reasons, changes in the curriculum have become a natural dynamic in many countries (Wu, 2020; You, Lee, & Craig, 2019). Chinese-related history was no longer taught during the New Order era, especially after the *Sejarah Nasional Indonesia* (SNI), the official history encyclopedia, was published in 1975 (Kwartanada, 2020). In the name of assimilation, the Chinese contribution to Indonesian history was eliminated. It was only during the Reformation period, through the 2013 Curriculum, that this topic reappeared. In fact, during the time of President Sukarno, material presenting Chinese contributions in history textbooks was not uncommon (Pane, 1956; Sanusi, 1955; Sundhoro, 1969; Suparno, 1960).

Over three decades of loss of this material in history textbooks have missed generations studying these events. Students and teachers under the age of 50 also consider it "new" material. Many teachers were not aware of this material in textbooks or the need for this topic to be taught. Moreover, it turns out that not all Indonesian History textbooks currently circulating contain narratives of



Chinese resistance to the VOC. So the existence of this material in the Indonesian History textbook published by the ministry is an exciting phenomenon. The collective memory of the people during the New Order period strongly influenced the view of this event, especially the perspectives of textbook writers and teachers who shaped students' knowledge.

This study highlights the teacher's response to the Chinese Rebellion narrative in the Indonesian history textbook from the ministry. Understanding these historical events becomes an essential basis for knowing the teacher's perception or view of the narrative built in the textbook. This identification becomes the basis for the teacher in conveying the learning narrative, which can be classified according to the methods used. This teacher's response cannot be separated from the nature of history education which plays a vital role in internalizing the values through meaningful learning for students.

## **Methods**

This study used the descriptive qualitative method. The method was chosen because the data collected were in words, sentences, or pictures that had a more significant meaning than just the presentation of numerical data (Lincoln & Guba, 1985; Sutopo, 2006). The sources for this study were five history teachers from five different schools as informants. This data source does not represent the population but represents the information through the purposive sampling technique (Creswell, 2013). Informants are considered to have in-depth information related to the problem and can be trusted to be a solid source of data (Sutopo, 2006). The informants have been using the history textbook in learning published by the ministry in 2017.

Data were collected through unstructured interviews or in-depth interviewing of the five informants using open-ended interview questions (Sutopo, 2006). The informants' views were dug in depth to obtain complete information regarding their responses to the Chinese Rebellion narratives in the textbooks. The literature study includes the textbook in question, other supporting books, and relevant scientific journal articles. Data analysis is inductive, so it does not intend to prove or test hypotheses but draw conclusions from all data found in the field (Sutopo, 2006). The data were analyzed using a hermeneutic approach to interpret the contents of the text and the results of interviews with informants (Moleong, 2012). Then, the analysis is presented to be concluded.



## Findings

*Teacher's View of Narrative.* The narration about the Chinese Rebellion in the History textbook for first-semester class XI, published by the ministry in 2017, cannot be separated from the fact that it has a significant impact on national history. So, it should be studied at schools (Supriatna, 2008). Moreover, the political changes after the Reformation provided a strong background for the emergence of this text because, during the New Order era, there were discriminatory views and treatment against the Chinese. This text was written by Sardiman, A.M. and Amurwani Dwi Lestariningsih. Brief explanation compared to other materials in the same sub-chapter: Aceh Versus Portuguese and VOC, Maluku Take Up Arms, Sultan Agung Versus J.P. Coen, the Banten Resistance, the Gowa, the Riau People Take Up Arms, and the Prince Mangkubumi and Mas Said Resistance.

Based on a survey of several history teachers conducted at random, it turns out that the use of textbooks in history learning is very diverse. Only some use textbooks published by the ministry. Some use the textbook as the only primary learning resource, and some add textbooks from private publishers as companions. In comparison, some of them do not use textbooks from the ministry. However, they only use textbooks from private publishers, usually sold in the book market as compulsory learning resources. This dynamic is interesting to be investigated further related to the reasons for choosing a textbook, its use, and learning outcomes from using the textbook.

Teachers who did not use textbooks from the ministry did not present any material on Chinese uprising in their class. Although some were able to explain – even in passing – these historical events, they admitted that they had never brought it up in classroom. The reason is simple but intriguing; the material is not taught because it is not found in their textbooks. They said that only other materials – which must be taught – were often not delivered to students according to the teacher. Teachers view the textbooks used as basic guidelines that refer to the curriculum. Everything must be presented thoroughly from A to Z. This finding is one of the thorny problems of history teachers in dealing with curriculum hegemony (Supriatna, 2007).

This finding confirms that the position of textbooks is still dominant in learning in schools. History teachers still rely on textbooks. Most feel that the material contained in the textbook – regardless of the publisher – is an official



description resulting from a formal interpretation of the applicable curriculum. Teachers feel they are not authorized to reduce or add other material even though it is beneficial for students. The teacher's view that the curriculum is oriented to the transmission of knowledge is firm. On the other hand, history textbooks also have a political aspect. History textbooks are an essential part of the education system in any country because they play a significant role as guardians of ideology and shapers of national identity (Huntington & Won, 2020; Kochar, 2008; Pavlick, 2019; Purwanta, Santosa, & Haryono, 2015; Qazi & Shah, 2019; Wang, 2016).

The results of a subsequent survey of five history teachers who used this textbook published by the ministry, one person admitted to making it the leading textbook used predominantly in learning. The other four teachers used textbooks from the ministry with other textbooks and modules as companions. Some of the accompanying textbooks mentioned by the teacher were published by private parties, namely Erlangga Publisher and Intan Pariwara Publisher. The consideration is that the textbooks are available online and can be downloaded freely by students making them accessible and inexpensive. Meanwhile, the teacher prepares the modules independently or jointly in the *Musyawarah Guru Mata Pelajaran (MGMP)* at the local or foundation level.

The narrative presented in history textbooks is an official history that presents a single perspective and is propaganda (Hsiao, 2005; Kello & Wagner, 2017; Maposa & Wassermann, 2014). Government-issued textbooks will give more substantial legitimacy to the messages built in them as school history that must be taught in schools. So the Chinese Rebellion narrative contained in the textbook published by the ministry can be ascertained to contain certain discourses outlined or at least agreed upon by the government and the author of the book. The five teachers who used the textbooks had critical views and attitudes towards the Chinese Rebellion narrative.

Two main things are in the spotlight of the teacher, namely the problem of diction and the internalization of values. One of the awkward dictions appears in the title of the text until the entire content that structures the text from beginning to end is "rebellion". The teacher criticizes this diction from the point of view of historical writing, which is Indonesia-centric. The term "resistance" or "taking up arms" – as is also used to narrate other similar events in this textbook – is considered more appropriate. The mention of



rebels or traitors for the fighters is a Neerlandocentric point of view (Mulyana & Darmiasti, 2009). The diction of “rebellion” shows uncertainty in placing the Chinese as historical actors, which if viewed as part of the Indonesian nation, of course, what they do is also part of the nation's struggle.

In addition, two history teachers have problems with “Cina (read. China)” term. They refer to Presidential Decree No. 12 of 2014, which changed the designation of “Cina” to “Tionghoa” to refer to people or communities and “Tiongkok” (Indonesian version of “Zhong Guo”, China original name) to refer to the state. According to them, this text does not heed the rules by retaining the Chinese designation. The use of the term Chinese – regardless of whether there is an intentional negative meaning – has historically been politically derogatory and contains hateful connotations that are not in line with the spirit of diversity (Lembong, 2011; Suryadinata, 2002).

The 2013 Curriculum seeks to respond to the dynamics of political change that is more democratic and does not discriminate against the Chinese. The 2013 Curriculum inclusively encourages the inclusion of the role of the Chinese as a marginal group in learning Indonesian history. However, the author of the text *The Chinese Rebellion* seems to be still in the shadow of the hegemony of majority group power. Indeed, not all generations have accepted this change openly, including teachers and textbook writers who have matured with the discourse on the history of New Order production. In contrast to students who are the post-New Order generation. They are more ready to accept new views and discourses. Moreover, this generation is classified as a digital native who can access various information.

The teacher is also observant – by realizing the central vision of historical education – in questioning the aspects of the values that will be instilled in the narrative of the Chinese Rebellion. The teacher feels that the cognitive aspect in the form of facts of events chronologically dominates, but almost no narratives related to the values aspect are found. The incident of the Chinese Rebellion mainly shows the multicultural aspect of National History. Every element of the nation from various regions, tribes, ethnicities, and religions have a role in the nation's struggle. However, it was not fulfilled because of the narrow meaning as a curriculum demand. Even aspects of values such as courage, patriotism, and nationalism shown by the Chinese community until their movement received support from the Islamic Mataram court were also



not touched. In the end, the Chinese Rebellion text represents the Chinese community but at the same time marginalizes it (Segall et.al., 2018).

*Types of Teacher Responses to Narratives.* Hall (1999) explains three different positions taken by the audience (recipients) in decoding the meaning of a text.

1. Dominant-hegemonic position occurs when the receiver is in a dominant point of view. There will be minor misunderstanding and miscommunication in this position because the sender and receiver of the message share a standard view of rules, assumptions, and culture. This position will allow the transmission of ideas to be best understood.
2. A negotiating position is when most of the message is understood. However, the receiver is in a negotiating position that does not have to submit to the hegemony of the message sender's point of view. The closeness of the recipient to the domination of society enables him to decode the message adequately. However, the recipients of the message can interpret it from a personal point of view.
3. Opposition position when the receiver decodes the message based on his own beliefs or community and more often sees other meanings that the message does not want. In this position, there is a rejection by the recipient based on a certain critical point of view so that he chooses to interpret or interpret it himself.

Based on the interviews, the five history teacher informants had the same view that the narrative was problematic. However, there is a difference in dealing with it. One teacher is in a negotiating position, and the other four are in opposition. None of the informants belonged to a dominant-hegemonic position. However, apart from the informants, there may be history teachers who are in a dominant-hegemonic position. The history teacher in this position will take for granted the narrative of the Chinese Rebellion and the discourse constructed by that narrative. There is no conflict with the teacher because he is entirely submissive to the textbook. The teacher in this position does not allow students to have a dialogue criticizing the material being studied. In this situation, the transmission of textbook ideology will occur optimally.

The negotiating position occurs because of the teacher's proximity to contemporary issues and the tendency of views that develop in society. Even





though they understand the meanings that are marginalized or hidden in the text, the teacher responds carefully and compromisingly. In addition to presenting narratives from textbooks published by the ministry, teachers also use textbooks published by Intan Pariwara as a comparison. The narrative in this textbook is entitled “Chinese Resistance” (Rokhmah, Kusumaningrum, & Persada, 2021). This narrative describes the facts of events chronologically, but the diction used is much different from the narrative in the ministry textbooks. By being faced with two different narratives, students are expected to be critical and creative by constructing their understanding and values.

The oppositional or counter-position taken by the other four history teachers can be described as a form of rejection towards the Chinese Rebellion narrative. The teacher has a certain critical point of view, so he chooses to interpret the event himself. This attitude cannot be separated from teachers who feel that the narrative holds problems, so that it needs to be deconstructed. The method used by these teachers is to convey the narratives they have compiled from other sources to their students. The teacher, with his narrative, directs students to find the expected values such as tolerance, multiculturalism, and integration. However, the teacher's efforts were minimal to encourage students to deconstruct the narrative and construct a new narrative jointly. As a result, this method does not develop students' critical power and limits divergent thinking skills.

## **Conclusion**

The narrative of the Chinese Rebellion in the Indonesian History textbook published by the ministry in 2017 opens room for criticism. Teachers criticize two main things, namely, the problem of diction and the internalization of values. The diction of "rebellion" shows that the Chinese as historical actors have not been seen as part of the history of the Indonesian struggle. Meanwhile, the term “Cina” does not follow the current social development dynamics and the official regulations which considering for usage of another term of “Tionghoa”. Furthermore, by realizing the central vision of history education, the teacher questions the value aspects instilled by the narrative, which is dominated by exposure to the facts of events in chronological order.

Based on this perception, the teacher takes a negotiating and oppositional stance. Teachers with a negotiating response try to compromise and deal with them carefully, using other learning resources as comparisons. By being



confronted with two or more different narratives, students' critical and creative thinking skills develop through understanding and values for themselves. The opposition teacher rejects and deconstructs the narrative by conveying that he composed himself from other sources. With the new narrative that he compiled, the teacher directs students to find the expected value. This method does not develop students' critical power and limits students' divergent thinking skills. Apart from the informants, teachers who consider the Chinese Rebellion narrative appropriate will tend to be hegemonic-dominant.

## References

### *Books*

- Creswell, J. W. (2013). *Penelitian Kualitatif dan Desain Riset: Memilih di antara Lima Pendekatan*. (S. Z. Qudsy, Ed.) (3rd ed.). Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar.
- Daradjadi. (2013). *Geger Pacinan 1740-1743: Persekutuan Tionghoa-Jawa Melawan VOC*. Jakarta: Penerbit Buku Kompas.
- Kochar, K. (2008). *Pembelajaran Sejarah*. Gramedia Widiasarana Indonesia.
- Lembong, E. (2011). *Istilah "Cina", "China", dan "Tionghoa": Tinjauan Historis dan Masalah Penggunaannya Dewasa Ini*. Jakarta: Yayasan Nabil.
- Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1985). *Naturalistic Inquiry*. California: Sage Publications, Inc.
- Moleong, L. J. (2012). *Metodologi Penelitian Kualitatif*. Bandung: PT Remaja Rosdakarya.
- Mulyana, A., & Darmiasti. (2009). *Historiografi di Indonesia: Dari Magis-Religius Hingga Strukturalis*. Bandung: PT Refika Aditama.
- Pane, S. (1956). *Sedjarah Indonesia II*. Djakarta: Perpustakaan Perguruan Kementerian P. P. dan K.
- Rommelink, W. (2002). *Perang Cina dan Runtuhnya Negara Jawa 1725-1743*. Yogyakarta: Penerbit Jendela.
- Rokhmah, E. F., Kusumaningrum, A., & Persada, S. S. (2021). *Buku Interaktif Sejarah Indonesia untuk SMA/MA*. Klaten: Penerbit Intan Pariwara.
- Sanusi, A. (1955). *Sedjarah Indonesia untuk Sekolah Menengah II* (7th ed.). Bandung: Pustaka "Pakuan."
- Sardiman, A. M., & Lestariningsih, A. D. (2017). *Sejarah Indonesia SMA/MA/SMK/MAK Kelas XI Semester 1*. Jakarta: Kementerian Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan.
- Sundhoro. (1969). *Sedjarah Indonesia II untuk Sekolah Menengah Tingkat Atas Gaja Baru dan jang Sederadjat*. Djakarta: P.P. Adil.



- Suparno. (1960). *Sedjarah Indonesia III: Achir V.O.C. hingga Pemerintahan Inggris*. Djakarta: Sari Pers.
- Supriatna, N. (2007). *Konstruksi Pembelajaran Sejarah Kritis*. Bandung: Historia Utama Press.
- Suryadinata, L. (2002). *Negara dan Etnis Tionghoa: Kasus Indonesia*. Jakarta: Pustaka LP3ES Indonesia.
- Sutopo, H. B. (2006). *Metodologi Penelitian Kualitatif: Dasar Teori dan Terapannya dalam Penelitian* (2nd ed.). Surakarta: UNS Press.
- Wijayakusuma, H. (2005). *Pembantaian Massal 1740: Tragedi Berdarah Angke*. Jakarta: Putaka Populer Obor.

#### *Book Chapters*

- Hall, S. (1999). Encoding, Decoding. In S. During (Ed.), *The Cultural Studies Reader* (2nd ed., pp. 507–517). London & New York: Routledge.
- Hsiao, Y.-M. (2005). Taiwanese Students' Understanding of Differences in History Textbook Accounts. In R. Ashby & et al. (Eds.), *Understanding History: Recent Research in History Education* (pp. 49–61). London and New York: Routledge Falmer-Taylor & Francis Group.
- Kello, K., & Wagner, W. (2017). History Teaching as “Propaganda”? Teachers’ Communication Styles in Post-Transition Societies. In C. Psaltis, M. Carretero, & S. Cehajic-Clancy (Eds.), *History Education and Conflict Transformation: Social Psychological Theories, History Teaching, and Reconciliation* (pp. 201–230). Switzerland: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Segall, A., Trofanenko, B. M., & Schmitt, A. J. (2018). Critical Theory and History Education. In S. A. Metzger & L. M. Harris (Eds.), *The Wiley International Handbook of History Teaching and Learning* (pp. 283–309). New York: Wiley Blackwell.

#### *Journals*

- Huntington, A., & Won, C. (2020). What It Means to be Korean: National Identity in North and South Korean Elementary Textbooks 1960–2019. *Comparative Education*, 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03050068.2020.1812237>
- Kwartanada, D. (2020). Diingat Sekaligus Dihapuskan: “Cina” dalam Memori Kolektif dan Historiografi Orde Baru. *Prisma: Jurnal Pemikiran Sosial Ekonomi*, 39(2), 12–33.
- Maposa, M. T., & Wassermann, J. (2014). Historical Literacy in a Context of Patriotic History: An Analysis of Zimbabwean History Textbooks. *Africa Education Review*, 11(2), 254–274. <https://doi.org/10.1080/18146627.2014.927160>
- Pavlick, J. (2019). Reproducing Patriotism: An Exploration of Freedom in US History Textbooks. *Discourse & Society*, 30(5), 482–502. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0957926519855787>



- Purwanta, H., Santosa, H. H., & Haryono, A. (2015). Wacana Identitas Nasional pada Buku Teks Pelajaran Sejarah di Inggris dan Indonesia. *Patrawidya*, 16(3), 345–362.
- Qazi, M. H., & Shah, S. (2019). A Study of Bangladesh's Secondary School Curriculum Textbooks in Students' National Identity Construction in an Overseas Context. *Asia Pacific Journal of Education*, 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02188791.2019.1671806>
- Supriatna, N. (2008). Dekonstruksi Sejarah Perang Kerajaan-Kerajaan Islam di Asia Tenggara dalam Pedagogi Sejarah. *Historia: Jurnal Pendidikan Sejarah*, IX(2), 103–120.
- Wang, D. (2016). Learning or Becoming: Ideology and National Identity in Textbooks for International Learners of Chinese. *Cogent Education*, 3(1140361), 1–16. Retrieved from <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2016.1140361>
- Wu, W. (2020). Politics, Textbooks, and the Boundary of 'Official Knowledge': The Case of Liberal Studies in Hong Kong, Pedagogy, Culture & Society, 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14681366.2020.1765846>
- You, J., Lee, H., & Craig, C. J. (2019). Remaking Textbook Policy: Analysis of National Curriculum Alignment in Korean School Textbooks. *Asia Pacific Journal of Education*, 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02188791.2019.1572591>