Source details

Journal of Physics: Conference Series

Scopus coverage years: from 2005 to Present

ISSN: 1742-6588 E-ISSN: 1742-6596

Subject area: Physics and Astronomy: General Physics and Astronomy

Source type: Conference Proceeding

View all documents > | Set document alert | Save to source list | Source Homepage

CiteScore 2020
0.7

SJR 2020
0.210

SNIP 2020
0.464

CiteScore | CiteScore rank & trend | Scopus content coverage

Improved CiteScore methodology

CiteScore 2020 counts the citations received in 2017-2020 to articles, reviews, conference papers, book chapters and data papers published in 2017-2020, and divides this by the number of publications published in 2017-2020. Learn more >

CiteScore 2020

$$0.7 = \frac{52{,}411 \text{ Citations } 2017 - 2020}{72{,}842 \text{ Documents } 2017 - 2020}$$

Calculated on 05 May, 2021

CiteScoreTracker 2021

$$0.8 = \frac{72{,}838 \text{ Citations to date}}{96{,}860 \text{ Documents to date}}$$

Last updated on 06 March, 2022 • Updated monthly

CiteScore rank 2020

Category	Rank	Percentile
Physics and Astronomy └ General Physics and Astronomy	#191/233	18th

View CiteScore methodology > | CiteScore FAQ > | Add CiteScore to your site

Journal of Physics: Conference Series • *Open Access* • Volume 1088 • 2018 • Article number 012051 • 6th South East Asia Design Research International Conference, SEA-DR IC 2018 • Banda Aceh, Aceh Province • 27 June 2018 through 28 June 2018 • Code 140087

Document type
Conference Paper • *Bronze Open Access* • *Green Open Access*

Source type
Conference Proceedings

ISSN
17426588

DOI
10.1088/1742-6596/1088/1/012051

The teacher's mathematical literacy for the change and relationship problems on the PISA adaptation test

Anggoro A.Y. , Julie H., Sanjaya F., Rudhito M.A.

Save all to author list

[a] Sanata Dharma University, Jl. Affandi Tromol Pos 29, Sleman, Yogyakarta, 55002, Indonesia

Abstract

There is evidence suggesting that teachers are one of the factors influencing student's performance in solving mathematical problems. However, the study on Indonesian teacher's ability to solve PISA's mathematical problems is inadequate. Therefore, this study aimed to examine Indonesian teachers' ability in solving PISA-adapted mathematics problems for the topic of change and relationship. This study employed a case study research design involving seven mathematics teachers from various schools in Yogyakarta. They were required to solve PISA-adapted mathematics problems classified into four areas, namely Change and relationship, Space and Shape, Uncertainty, and Quantity. The results showed that: (1) all teachers could solve the first level problem, (2) all teachers could solve the first problem at the third level, (3) five teachers (71.43 %) could solve the second problem at the third level, (4) two teachers (28.57 %) could solve a third problem at the third level, (5) six teachers (85.71 %) could solve the fourth problem at the third level, (6) four teachers (57.14 %) could solve the first problem at

the fourth level, and (7) Six teachers (85.71 %) could solve the second problem at the fourth level. © 2018 Institute of Physics Publishing. All rights reserved.

Indexed keywords

SciVal Topics

Metrics

Funding details

About Scopus

What is Scopus

Content coverage

Scopus blog

Scopus API

Privacy matters

Language

日本語に切り替える

切换到简体中文

切換到繁體中文

Русский язык

Customer Service

Help

Tutorials

Contact us

ELSEVIER

Journal of Physics: Conference Series

COUNTRY	SUBJECT AREA AND CATEGORY	PUBLISHER	H-INDEX
United Kingdom Universities and research institutions in United Kingdom	Physics and Astronomy Physics and Astronomy (miscellaneous)	IOP Publishing Ltd.	85

PUBLICATION TYPE	ISSN	COVERAGE	INFORMATION
Conferences and Proceedings	17426588, 17426596	2005-2020	Homepage How to publish in this journal jpcs@ioppublishing.org

SCOPE

The open access Journal of Physics: Conference Series (JPCS) provides a fast, versatile and cost-effective proceedings publication service.

Join the conversation about this journal

Quartiles

SJR



Total Documents



Total Cites Self-Cites



Citations per document

External Cites per Doc Cites per Doc



% International Collaboration



Citable documents Non-citable documents



Cited documents Uncited documents



Journal of Physics: Conference Series

Q4 Physics and Astronomy (miscellaneous) best quartile

SJR 2020 0.21

powered by scimagojr.com

← Show this widget in your own website

Just copy the code below and paste within your html code:

```
<a href="https://www.scimag
```

Metrics based on Scopus® data as of April 2021

Z **Zainab** 4 weeks ago

hello, is the IOP Journal of Physics: Conference Series of this journal listested in scopus?

reply

SCImago Team

Melanie Ortiz 4 weeks ago

Dear Zainab, thank you very much for your comment. We suggest you consult the Scopus database directly. Keep in mind that the SJR is a static image (the update is made one time per year) of a database (Scopus) which is changing every day.
Best Regards, SCImago Team

D **Dr Girisha A** 1 month ago

Dear sir,
Please inform me, whether Journal of Physics: Conference Series 1767 (2021) 012011 is rated in Q3 0r Q4.

reply

SCImago Team

Melanie Ortiz 4 weeks ago

Dear Dr Girisha,
Thank you for contacting us. Please see comments below.
Best Regards, SCImago Team

N **narasiman** 2 months ago

Dear sir,

The 6th South East Asia Design Research International Conference (6th SEA-DR IC)

IOP Conf. Series: Journal of Physics: Conf. Series **1088** (2018) 011001 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1088/1/011001

The 6th South East Asia Design Research International Conference (SEA-DR IC) 2018

R Johar[1], C Morina[1], Anwar[1], Mailizar[1], Elizar[1], C Khairunnisak[1], R C I Prahmana[2], W Artika[1], L Vitoria[1], L Khairi[1], S Maulina[1] and M Ulfa[1]

[1]Syiah Kuala University, Banda Aceh, 23111, Indonesia
[2]Universitas Ahmad Dahlan, Jl. Pramuka Kav. 5, Yogyakarta 55161, Indonesia

E-mail: rahmahjohar@fkip.unsyiah.ac.id

Preface

The South East Asia Design Research (SEA-DR) as a forum of design research in collaboration with Master Program of Mathematics Education, Syiah Kuala University, organized the 6th SEA-DR conference with the theme "Inspiring students to learn: Fostering innovative teaching and learning of science, mathematics and technology".

This conference was an excellent opportunity for academics, researchers, teachers and students to share knowledge, experiences and research findings as well as to inspire the best practice of development research in the field of teaching mathematics, science, and technology.

We had four keynote speakers that were Prof. Berinderjeet Kaur, Prof. Maarten Dolk, Prof. Lilia Halim, and Dr. John Willison. We also had ten invited speakers and four keynote speakers in workshop sessions. Furthermore, there were 181 papers, including 148 oral presentation and 33 posters presentations. The 6th SEA-DR conference successfully attracted delegates from many countries. There were seven countries participating in this conference, including: Singapore, the Netherlands, Denmark, Australia, Malaysia, Brunei Darussalam, and Indonesia.

Finally, we would like to extend our gratitude for everyone involved for their contribution in the conference.

The Committee of 6th South East Asia Design Research International Conference (SEA-DR IC) 2018

Steering Committee
Prof. Dr. Ir. Samsul Rizal, M. Eng (Rector of Syiah Kuala University)
Prof. Dr. Djufri, M. Si (Dean of FKIP Syiah Kuala University)
Prof. Dr. Maarten Dolk
Prof. Dr. R.K Sembiring
Dr. Y. Marpaung
Prof. Dr. Zulkardi, M. I. Kom, M.Sc.
Prof. Dr. Sutarto Hadi, M.Si., M. Sc.
Prof. Dr. Dian Armanto, M.Pd, M.Sc.
Prof. Dr. Ahmad Fauzan, M.Pd, M. Sc.
Prof. Dr. Turmudi, M.Ed, M.Sc.
Dr. M. Ikhsan, M.Pd. (Head of Mathematics Education Department of Syiah Kuala Univesity)
Prof. Berinderjeet Kaur
Prof. Lilia Halim

Organizing Committee
Conference Chair : Dr. Rahmah Johar, M.Pd.
Conference Co-Chair : Dr. Anwar, M.Pd.
Secretary : Dr. Mailizar, M.Ed.
Vice Secretary : Elizar, Ph.D.
Treasurer : Dr. Cut Morina Zubainur, M.Pd.
Vice Treasurer : Suhartati, M.Pd.

Scientific Committee
Scientific Committee Chair : Prof. Dr. Marwan, M. Si
Scientific Committee Member :
Prof. Maarten Dolk
Prof. Rohaida Mohd. Saat
Prof. Dr. Musri Musman, M.Sc.
Prof. Dr. Ratu Ilma Indra Putri, M.Si.
Prof. Dr. Muchlisin Z.A, M.Sc.
Prof. Dr. Adlim, M.Sc.
Prof. Dr. Ahmad Fauzan, M.Sc.
Dr. Cathy Wissehr
Dr. Wanty Widjaja
Dr. Abdul Halim Abdullah
Dr. Ariyadi Wijaya, M.Sc.
Dr. Al Jupri, M.Sc.
Dr. Tatag Yuli Eko Siswono, M.Pd.
Dr. Yenita Roza, M.Sc.
Dr. Shintia Revina, M.Sc.
Dr. Neni Mariana, M.Sc.
Dr. Rooselina Ekawati, M.Sc.
Dr. Nasrullah Idris, M.Eng.
Dr. Hongki Julie, M.Pd.
Dr. Taufik Fuadi Abidin, M.Tech.
Dr. Rully Charitas Indra Prahmana

Dr. Supriatno, M.Si.
Dr. Said Munzir, M.Eng.Sc.
Dr. Suhartono, M.Sc.
Aysenur Alp
Zarlaida Fitri, M.Sc.
Veronika Fitri Rianasari, M.Sc.
Meliasari., M.Sc.
Zetra Hainul Putra, M.Sc.
Destina Wahyu Winarti, M.Sc.
Fridgo Tasman, M.Sc.
Fatimatul Khikmiyah, M.Sc.
Achmad Badrun Kurnia, M.Sc.
Mulia Putra, M.Pd., M.Ed.
Rita Novita, M.Pd.
Bustang Buhari, M.Sc.
Intan Kemala Sari, M.Pd.

Keynote Speakers

Prof. Berinderjeet Kaur	National Institute of Education, Singapore
Prof. Maarten Dolk	Utrecht University, the Netherlands
Prof. Lilia Halim	University Kebangsaan Malaysia, Malaysia
Dr. John Willison	the University of Adelaide, Australia

Table of contents

Volume 1088

September 2018

Previous issue Next issue

The 6th South East Asia Design Research International Conference (6th SEA-DR IC)27–28 June 2018, Banda Aceh, Indonesia

Accepted papers received: 17 August 2018

Published online: 19 October 2018

Open all abstracts

Preface

Papers

The integration of science and math

Y Fitria, Y Helsa, H Nirwana and A P Zulkarnaini

+ Open abstract View article PDF

OPEN ACCESS 012042

The practicality of the quadratic function module by utilizing Autograph Software and Angry Birds game

C M Zubainur, Suhartati and Iwannitona

+ Open abstract View article PDF

OPEN ACCESS 012043

Development of algebra test questions based on Bloom's taxonomy

M Husna, R Johar, Hajidin and Mailizar

+ Open abstract View article PDF

OPEN ACCESS 012044

Developing LTBI for addition and multiplication rules in probability theory with realistic mathematics education

H Julie

+ Open abstract View article PDF

OPEN ACCESS 012045

Developing a physics module based on the local wisdom of Hulu Sungai Tengah regency to train the *murakata* character

S Hartini, M F Isnanda, M Wati, M Misbah, S An'nur and S Mahtari

+ Open abstract View article PDF

OPEN ACCESS 012046

The development of learning resources through Benthic species study in mangrove ecosystem Reuleung Leupung for invertebrate zoology learning

M Ali S, Supriyatno, M D Asiah, M Saputri, A Mursawal and Zulfikar

+ Open abstract View article PDF

OPEN ACCESS 012047

PISA-like mathematics problems using the context of athletics in Asian Games 2018

I Pratiwi, R I I Putri and Zulkardi

+ Open abstract View article PDF

OPEN ACCESS 012048

Learning direct proportion by using the context of *timpan* recipes

S F Zulfa, C M Zubainur and T P Aridin

+ Open abstract View article PDF

OPEN ACCESS 012049

Developing mathematics teaching tool using ELPSA

E Gradini and F Bahri

+ Open abstract View article PDF

OPEN ACCESS 012050

The effectiveness of learning instruments on the topic of the set using problem-based learning model at Islamic junior high school in Pekanbaru

Sakur, A Murni and R D Anggraini

+ Open abstract View article PDF

OPEN ACCESS 012051

The teacher's mathematical literacy for the change and relationship problems on the PISA adaptation test

A Y Anggoro, H Julie, F Sanjaya and M A Rudhito

+ Open abstract View article PDF

OPEN ACCESS 012052

Junior high school mathematics teachers' pedagogical content knowledge in teaching of polyhedra

Ma'rufi, M Ilyas and Salwah

+ Open abstract View article PDF

OPEN ACCESS 012053

The effect of creative problem-solving learning model using geometry transformation book based on Al-Qur'an on students' van Hiele thinking level and learning outcome

F Kristanti, C Ainy and S Shoffa

+ Open abstract View article PDF

OPEN ACCESS 012054

Gender differences of mathematical critical thinking skills of secondary school students

Mawaddah, A Ahmad and M Duskri

+ Open abstract View article PDF

OPEN ACCESS 012055

Ethnomathematics analysis on Jambi plait art as the mathematics learning resources

Kamid, A Wandari and Rohati

+ Open abstract View article PDF

The teacher's mathematical literacy for the change and relationship problems on the PISA adaptation test



IOP Conf. Series: Journal of Physics: Conf. Series **1088** (2018) 012051 doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1088/1/012051

The teacher's mathematical literacy for the change and relationship problems on the PISA adaptation test

A Y Anggoro, H Julie, F Sanjaya and M A Rudhito
Sanata Dharma University, Jl. Affandi Tromol Pos 29, Sleman, Yogyakarta 55002 Indonesia

E-mail: yudhianggoro@usd.ac.id

Abstract. There is evidence suggesting that teachers are one of the factors influencing student's performance in solving mathematical problems. However, the study on Indonesian teacher's ability to solve PISA's mathematical problems is inadequate. Therefore, this study aimed to examine Indonesian teachers' ability in solving PISA-adapted mathematics problems for the topic of change and relationship. This study employed a case study research design involving seven mathematics teachers from various schools in Yogyakarta. They were required to solve PISA-adapted mathematics problems classified into four areas, namely Change and relationship, Space and Shape, Uncertainty, and Quantity. The results showed that: (1) all teachers could solve the first level problem, (2) all teachers could solve the first problem at the third level, (3) five teachers (71.43 %) could solve the second problem at the third level, (4) two teachers (28.57 %) could solve a third problem at the third level, (5) six teachers (85.71 %) could solve the fourth problem at the third level, (6) four teachers (57.14 %) could solve the first problem at the fourth level, and (7) Six teachers (85.71 %) could solve the second problem at the fourth level.

1. Introduction

Mathematical and pedagogy abilities of primary teachers were directly and positively related to their students' achievement [1,2]. Teachers' perception also significantly correlates with the students' knowledge [1,2]. The teachers' perception in this study was defined as (1) the paradigm of teachers of mathematics teaching and learning process, and (2) teachers' concern of students' mathematics achievement is closely related to teachers' mathematical knowledge [1,2]. Teachers' paradigm on the settlement of mathematical models and learning organization supported teacher mastery of the mathematics knowledge and the pedagogy [1,2]. Thus, one of the determinants of students' success in solving the PISA test is teachers' ability in managing the mathematics teaching and learning process and solving mathematical problems.

In 2015, Indonesia followed the PISA test for the fifth time and the ranking Indonesia for PISA tests were 63 for mathematics, 62 for science, and 64 for reading from 70 countries. These results generally improved, especially for mathematics, and scientific literacy. In the PISA test at 2012, ranking literacy in mathematics and science was 65 and 64, while the areas of reading literacy in 61 of 65 countries. The average score on the PISA tests at 2015 were as follows 386 for math, 403 for science, and 397 for reading. The average score on the PISA tests at 2012 were as follows 375 for math, 382 for science, and 396 for reading [3,4]. PISA test involved four content namely (1) the

quantity, (2) space and shape, (3) change and relationship, and (4) uncertainty and data [3, 4, 5]. There were six levels in the PISA questions related to mathematical literacy of students [1].

In 2017, Hongki et al. [6] revealed that 55.56 % of junior high school students achieved level 5 for change and relationship problem. Furthermore, 77.78 % of junior high school students achieved level 2 for change and relationship problem. These results lead us to the question: how is the teacher's mathematical literacy ability for the change and relationship problems?

Currently, studies that related to teacher's abilities in solving PISA-adapted mathematics problems are considered limited. Therefore, the objective of this paper was to describe the junior high school teacher ability for the PISA adaptation test in the quantity, space and shape, change and relationship, and uncertainty domain. This paper only describes the teachers' ability to solve the adaptation PISA test in the change and relationship domain.

If a student is equipped with mathematical literacy skills, he/she would understand the role of mathematics in his/her life [2,7,8]. Mathematical literacy is an individual's ability to identify and understand the role of mathematics in the world, to make an accurate assessment, use and involves mathematics in various ways to fulfill the individual needs as a reflective, constructive and filial citizen [5,8]. The following competencies would form the mathematical literacy skills, namely: (1) the mathematical thinking and reasoning competence, (2) the logical argument competence, (3) the mathematical communicating competence, (4) the problem model competence, (5) the proposing and solving problem competence, (6) the representing idea competence, and (7) the using of symbol and formal language competence [5,8].

Mathematical literacy was important because in the 21st century humans not only required a content knowledge, but also required skills that called as 21st century skills. The 21st skills include critical thinking and problem solving, creativity and innovation, communication and collaboration, flexibility and adaptability, initiative and self-direction, social and cross-cultural, productivity and accountability, leadership and responsibility, and information literacy [8,9]. Mathematical literacy became one of the components necessary to build 21st century skills [5].

2. Method

This research was design research. The goal of this study was to describe teachers' mathematical literacy for the change and relationship problem on PISA adaptation test. This goal was achieved by the following procedures:



Figure 1. Research procedures.

This procedures followed research steps introduced by Akker [10]. The PISA adaptation test consisted of three change and relationship problems, four space and shape problems, two uncertainty problems, and four quantity problems. The subjects of this research were seven junior high school teachers in Yogyakarta and surrounding areas. The school was selected randomly, and each subject was the best teacher from the selected schools.

3. Results and discussion

This graph shows how the speed of a racing car varies along a flat 3 kilometer track during its second lap.



a. Where was the lowest speed recorded during the second lap?
   A. at the starting line. C. at about 1.3 km.
   B. at about 0.8 km. D. halfway around the track.
b. What can you say about the speed of the car between the 2.6 km and 2.8 km marks?
   A. The speed of the car remains constant. C. The speed of the car is decreasing.
   B. The speed of the car is increasing. D. The speed of the car cannot be determined from the graph.

Figure 2. Problem 1.

3.1. The teacher's answer for problem 1a

According to the graph presented in Figure 1, horizontal axis represented the distance along the track, and vertical axes represent cars' speed. Therefore, the lowest speed is represented as the deepest valley on the graph. Thus, the answer to the question is C. All teachers answered the question correctly. They can answer questions involving familiar contexts where all relevant information is presented, and the questions are clearly defined. Thus, we can conclude that all or 100% of teachers were in the first level.

3.2. The teacher's answer for problem 1b

At the interval (2.6, 2.8), the graph was increasing monotone. Thus, the answer is B, because the speed of the car was increasing. All teachers answered the question correctly. They can answer questions involving familiar contexts where all relevant information is presented, and the questions are clearly defined. Thus, all or 100% teacher are in the first level. The following was the example of the teacher's answer for problem 1a and 1b.



Figure 3. The example of the teacher's answer for problem 1a and 1b.

People living in an apartment building decide to buy the building. They will put their money together in such a way that each will pay an amount that is proportional to the size of their apartment. For example, a man living in an apartment that occupies one fifth of the floor area of all apartments will pay one fifth of the total price of the building.

a. There were three apartments in the building. The largest, apartment 1, has a total area of 95 m^2. Apartments 2 and 3 have areas of 85 m^2 and 70 m^2 respectively. The selling price for the building is 30 billion rupiah. How much should the owner of apartment 2 pay? Show your work.
b. Circle Correct or Incorrect for each of the following statements:

Statement	Correct / Incorrect
A person living in the largest apartment will pay more money for each square meter of his apartment than the person living in the smallest apartment.	Correct / Incorrect
If we know the areas of two apartments and the price of one of them we can calculate the price of the second.	Correct / Incorrect
If we know the price of the building and how much each owner will pay, then the total area of all apartments can be calculated.	Correct / Incorrect
If the total price of the building were reduced by 10%, each of the owners would pay 10% less.	Correct / Incorrect

Figure 4. Problem 2.

3.3. Teacher's answer for problem 2a

Generally, all teachers used the same technique to solve this problem. First, they counted the total area of the apartment, that is 95 m^2 + 85 m^2 + 70 m^2 = 250 m^2. After that, by using worth comparison, they counted the price of apartment 2. The result was IDR 10.200.000.000 or some teacher simply wrote 10.2 M. From this solution, we knew that teachers can interpret agreement between apartment buyer and seller and represent it by using comparison. Thus, we can conclude that all or 100% teacher was in the level 3.

Table 1. Teacher's answer of problem 2a.

Statement	Answer
A person living in the largest apartment will pay more money for each square meter of his apartment than the person living in the smallest apartment.	Incorrect
If we know the areas of two apartments and the price of one of them we can calculate the price of the second.	Correct
If we know the price of the building and how much each owner will pay, then the total area of all apartments can be calculated.	Incorrect
If the total price of the building were reduced by 10%, each of the owners would pay 10% less.	Correct

3.3.1. Teacher's answer for problem 2b.1. Four teachers answered "incorrect" for question 2b.1 and three teachers answered "correct" for this problem. Thus 57.14% teachers were in the level 4 because they can construct and communicate the reasons why they answer "incorrect" based on their interpretation of proportional understanding. About 42.86% teacher could not be leveled.

3.3.2. Teacher's answer to problem 2b.2. Five teachers answered "correct" for question 2b.2, one teacher answered "incorrect" for this problem and one teacher did not answer the question. Thus 71.43% of teachers were in the level 3 because they can communicate their interpretation of given

information in the problem, the result of their thinking, and the reason for their answer. About 14.29 % teacher could not be leveled, and 14.29% teacher did not answer the question.

3.3.3. Teacher's answer for problem 2b.3. Two teachers answered "incorrect" for question 2b.3, four teachers answered "correct" for this problem, and one teacher did not answer the question. Thus 28.57% of teachers were in the level 3 because they can communicate their interpretation of given information in the problem, the result of their thinking, and the reason for their answer. About 57.14% teacher could not be leveled, and 14.29% teacher did not answer the question.

3.3.4. Teacher's answer for problem 2b.4. **S**ix teachers answered "correct" for question 2b.4, and one teacher answered "incorrect" for this problem. Thus 85.71% teachers were in the level 4 because they can construct and communicate the reasons why they answer "correct" based on their interpretation of proportional understanding. About 14.29% teacher did not answer the question.

In 1998 the average height of both young males and young females in the Netherlands is represented in this graph. According to this graph, on average, during which period in their life are females taller than males of the same age?



Figure 5. Problem 3.

3.4. Teacher's answer to problem 3

From Figure 5, we knew that the graph of the average height of young males in 1998 is above the graph of the average height of young females 1998 before 11 years old or after 13 years old. It means that at that period, males are taller than females of the same age. During period 11 – 13 years old, the graph of the average height of young males in 1998 is under the graph of the average height of young females in 1998. It means that at that period females are taller than males of the same age. Five teachers answer the question correctly. They claim that females are taller than male in the period 11 – 13 years old. Therefore, those five teachers were in the third level or 85.71% of the teachers are in the third level because they can communicate their interpretation of given information in the problem, the result of their thinking, and the reason of their answer. One teacher gave a different answer. She stated that females are taller than male at the age of 11 and 14 years old. Unfortunately, she didn't give any reason for her statement. Therefore, we can't level this teacher. The following is the example of the teacher's answer:



Figure 6. The teacher's answer to problem 3.

The result obtained by the teachers could be summarized in Table 2:

Table 2. The teachers' ability for the change and relationship problems for the PISA adaptation test.

Problem	Teacher's Achievement Level	Reason	The number of teachers	Percentage
1a	Level 1	Teachers can answer questions involving familiar contexts where all relevant information is present, and the questions are clearly defined.	7	100 %
1b	Level 1	Teachers can answer questions involving familiar contexts where all relevant information is present, and the questions are clearly defined.	7	100 %
2a	Level 3	The teacher can interpret the agreement between apartment buyer and seller and represent it by using comparison.	7	100 %
2b.1	Level 4	Teachers can construct and communicate the reasons why they answer "incorrect" based on their interpretation of proportional understanding.	4	57.14 %
	Could not be leveled	-	3	42.86 %
2b.2	Level 3	Teachers can communicate their interpretation of given information in the problem, the result of their thinking, and the reason for their answer.	5	71.43 %
	Didn't answer the question	-	1	14.29 %
	Could not be leveled	-	1	14.29 %
2b.3	Level 3	Teachers can communicate their interpretation of given information in the problem, the result of their thinking, and the reason for their answer.	2	28.57 %
	Didn't answer the question	-	1	14.29 %
	Could not be leveled	-	4	57.14 %
2b.4	Level 4	Teachers can construct and communicate the reasons why they answer "correct" based on their interpretation of proportional understanding.	6	85.71 %
	Didn't answer the question	-	1	14.29 %
3	Level 3	Teachers can communicate their interpretation of given information in the problem, the result of their thinking, and the reason for their answer.	6	85.71 %
	Could not be leveled	-	1	14.29 %

From the table above, we knew that teacher's ability in solving problem level 1 - 4 is good. Furthermore, from [1,2] we knew that teacher's mathematical abilities were related to student's achievement. These facts were chance for us to upgrade student's literacy ability by choose appropriate pedagogy to teach them.

4. Conclusions

Based on the results, it can be concluded that: (1) the first level one problem could be solved by all teachers; (2) the first level three problem could be solved by all teachers; (3) the second level three problem could be solved by five or 71.43 % teachers; (4) the third level three problem could be solved by two or 28.57 % teachers; (5) the fourth level three problem could be solved by six or 85.71 % teachers; (6) the first level four problem could be solved by four or 57.14 % teachers; (7) the second level four problem could be solved by six or 85.71 % teachers.

Acknowledgments

Our thanks to the Ministry of the Research, Technology and Higher Education has been funding this research so we could finish this research and present this publication through Penelitian Strategis Nasional Institusi Tahun 2018 grant distributed through the Sanata University Dharma.

References

[1] Campbell, Patricia F, et. all. 2014 *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education* **45** 419
[2] Julie H 2017 *International Journal of Science and Applied Science: Conference Series* **1** 55
[3] OECD 2013 *PISA 2012 Results: What students know and can do. Student Performance in mathematics, reading, and science* (Paris: OECD)
[4] OECD 2012 *Assessment Framework. Key Competencies in Reading, Mathematics and Science* (Paris: OECD)
[5] Julie H and Marpaung Y 2012 *Widya Dharma* **23**
[6] Hongki J et. al. 2017 *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* **890** 012089
[7] Christiansen I B 2006 *Pythagoras* **64** 6
[8] Stacey K 2011 *Journal Mathematics Education* **2** 95
[9] Wijaya A 2016 *IndoMS Journal Mathematics Education* **7** 73
[10] Akker, Den J V, Gravemeijer K, McKenney S and Nieveen N 2006 *Educational Design Research* (New York: Taylor and Francis Group)

CERTIFICATE

AWARDED TO

HONGKI JULIE

AS

PRESENTER

IN THE 6th SOUTH EAST ASIA DESIGN RESEARCH INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE

27th - 28th June 2018, Syiah Kuala University
Banda Aceh, Indonesia

Rector of Syiah Kuala University

Prof. Dr. Ir. Samsul Rizal, M.Eng.
NIP. 196208081988031003

Head of Organizing Committee

Dr. Rahmah Johar, M.Pd.
NIP. 197011171998032002

6th SEA-DR IC
SOUTH EAST ASIA DESIGN RESEARCH
INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE