



# PROCEEDINGS

## INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON THEOLOGY, RELIGION, CULTURE, AND HUMANITIES

*"Re-Imagining Theology, Religion, Culture,  
and Humanities Studies for Public Life"*

Yogyakarta-Indonesia, March 28<sup>th</sup> -29<sup>th</sup>, 2023

# PROCEEDINGS

INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON THEOLOGY,  
RELIGION, CULTURE, AND HUMANITIES

*"Re-Imagining Theology, Religion, Culture, and Humanities  
Studies for Public Life"*

Faculty of Theology, Universitas Sanata Dharma  
Yogyakarta-Indonesia, March 28 -29 , 2023



SANATA DHARMA UNIVERSITY PRESS



## PROCEEDINGS

International Conference on Theology, Religion, Culture, and Humanities  
“Re-Imagining Theology, Religion, Culture, and Humanities Studies for  
Public Life”

Copyright ©2023 Faculty of Theology Universitas Sanata Dharma Yogyakarta

### Editors:

Nikolas Kristiyanto  
Agus Widodo  
Ag. Tri Edy Warsono

### Reviewer:

Zorica Maros Ph.D  
Dr. Dominic S. Irudayaraj, SJ  
Dr. Indra Sanjaya  
Dr. J.B. Heru Prakosa, SJ  
Dr. J. Haryatmoko  
Dr. Mateus Mali, CSsR  
Dr. Fl. Hasto Rosariyanto, SJ  
Dr. C.B. Kusmaryanto, SCJ

### Cover Illustration:

Fidelis Awang Bisono

### Layout:

Fidelis Awang Bisono  
Sumarno

### Electronic (e-Book)

ISBN: 978-623-143-00706 (PDF)  
EAN: 9-786231-430076

First Printing, June 2023

xiv+358 p.; 21x29,7 Cm.

### PUBLISHED BY:



SANATA DHARMA UNIVERSITY PRESS  
Lantai 1 Gedung Perpustakaan USD  
Jl. Affandi (Gejayan) Mrican, Yogyakarta 55281  
Telp. (0274) 513301, 515253; Ext. 51513;  
Fax (0274) 562383  
Website: [www.sdupress.usd.ac.id](http://www.sdupress.usd.ac.id)  
e-Mail: [publisher@usd.ac.id](mailto:publisher@usd.ac.id)



Sanata Dharma University Press Member of APPTI  
(Affiliation of University Publishers in Indonesia)  
APPTI Member Number: 003.028.1.03.2018

All Rights Reserved.

Reproduction of this work in any form and by any means, including photocopying,  
is prohibited without written permission from the person responsible for writing and the publisher.

### ORGANIZING COMMITTEE

#### Steering Committee:

Prof. Dr. E.P.D. Martasudjita, Pr  
Dr. C.B. Mulyatno, Pr  
Paulus Bambang Irawan, SJ., S.T.D

#### Chair of Organizing Committee:

Nikolas Kristiyanto SJ.,S.S.,S.T.B, S.S.L

#### Secretaries:

Dr. F.Purwanto, SCJ  
Dr. Bobby Steven O.T, MSF., S.S.L  
Indriyani Budi Utami

#### Treasures:

Dr.theol. Yohanes Subali, Pr  
Benedicta Wenny Dwi Andriani

#### Conference Managers

A. Galih Arga Wiwin A., Pr., M.A., S.T.D  
Dr.theol. D.Bismoko Mahamboro, Pr  
Bernardus Dirgaprimawan, SJ., M.A., S.S.D  
Dr. M. Joko Lelono, Pr.,M.Hum

#### Proceedings and Conference Book Managers:

Dr. Agus Widodo, Pr., M.Hum.  
Dr. Agustinus Tri Edy Warsono, Pr  
Sumarno

#### Social Media & IT:

Fidelis Awang Bisono

#### Logistics Managers:

F.X. Sunardi  
Antonius Jumadi  
Felix Purohito

#### Documentation Manager:

Y.Tri Narwoko

## **Preface: Greetings from the Chair**

Traditional religious dynamics in the public sphere no longer appear black and white, but rather as a richly colored reality that invites further interpretation. In the western hemisphere, various sociological studies show convincing predictions about the decline in affiliation with traditional religions, particularly among young people. Religion is no longer “the sacred canopy” and the final reference for interpreting human life’s struggles. However, in some other parts of the world (particularly Asia) religion appears to be very dominant, if not to say it is intrusive. Religion remains the main reference when people talk about *res publica*. When religion is still valued as the dominant reference, the challenge is to figure out how religion can avoid falling into internal debates about focusing on individual yet personal piety. The richness of religious traditions should be reinterpreted so that religion can contribute to the growth of collective determination to answer pressing public issues, such as the environmental crisis, conflict and migration, the dominance of economic sphere on the dynamics of politics and communal life, corruption, the widespread demand to recognize personal rights that have not been recognize in traditional societies.

This international conference focused on interdisciplinary reinterpretation of traditions – both religious traditions and religious traditions in encounter with local traditions – so that religion can emerge as a positive force for various life projects involving all people with good will.

The committee would like to express its gratitude to the plenary speakers, parallel session presenters, and all participants for their profound enthusiasm in the 1<sup>st</sup> Theo-iCon. The discussion, ideas, and thoughts in this online conference have engraved a beauteous mark in the history that our yearning for the meaning of religious life in the midst of a plural society is continuously sought after.

Yogyakarta, 28 March 2023

**Nikolas Kristiyanto S.J., S.S., S.T.B., S.S.L.**

Committee Chair



# Address of The Dean

On behalf of the academic community, Faculty of Theology, Sanata Dharma University, I would like to dedicate many thanks to the important individuals involved as speakers and motivators in this International Seminar:

1. First, my gratitude goes to His Eminence, Dr. Luis Antonio Gokim Cardinal Tagle who gave the opening words for this International Seminar.
2. thanks to the Rector of Sanata Dharma University, Rev. Fr. Albertus Bagus Laksana, Ph. D who gave the opening remarks
3. thank you Prof. Dr. Felix Korner, SJ, Berlin Germany
4. thank you Dr. Joel Casimiro Pinto, Instituto Superior de Filosofia e De Teologia Dom Jaime, Timor Leste (member of the Franciscan Order)
5. thanks Dr. Dominic S. Irudayaraj, SJ, Instituto Pontificio Biblico, Rome-Italy
6. I would also like to thank Dr. Rogel Anecito L. Abais, S.T.D, Loyola School of Theology, Ateneo de Manila University (Philippines).
7. My dear Zorica Maros, Ph.D, Catholic Faculty of the University of Sarajevo (Bosnia and Herzegovina).
8. Next, I welcome my colleague, Dr. Dionesius Bismoko Mahamboro.

The theme of our Seminar is Re-Imagining Theology, Religion, Culture, and Humanities for Public Life. This theme illustrates the concern of the faculty of theology of Sanata Dharma University to contribute to the development of human quality from a theological perspective with an interdisciplinary approach. This seminar is designed as a starting point to develop an academic climate imbued with the spirit of collaboration, creation and contribution to humanity. We will hold similar seminars every March. We invite lecturers, academics, students and all individuals who are committed and open to working together in developing the quality of life together.

Thanks to Father Niko Kristiyanto, SJ and all the committee members who worked diligently to prepare and organize this Seminar.

Congratulations on conducting the Seminar. May your involvement in this International Seminar move many people to work together in developing collaborative works that contribute to society. .

Thank you

Dr. Carolus B. Mulyatno

Dean of Faculty of Theology

# Welcome Speech

Your Excellency, Luis Antonio Cardinal Tagle,

Distinguished international speakers,

Respected presenters and participants,

The dean and faculty members of the Wedabhakti Pontifical Faculty, the School of Theology of Sanata Dharma University,

The members of the Conference committee,

Good evening or morning

On behalf of Sanata Dharma University, I welcome you to this important and timely international Conference, The Theo-iCon International Conference, with a very stimulating theme: “Re-Imagining Theology, Religion, Culture and Humanities for Public Life.”

I am happy to be here with you. I myself am a theologian, and I want to place the significance of this Conference within the dynamics of religions and society in our world today.

As we all know, our contemporary world is witnessing the changing and dynamic role of religion. In my view, there are at least three most important phenomena or trends in the changing role of religion in our contemporary world that we need to pay attention to:

- 1) There has been the phenomenon of privatization of religion, not only in the Western world, but also in different segments of Asian societies, where religion is practiced within the confines of private and inner life of the individuals, severed from its social and political connections. What is lost as well is the cosmic dimension, since religion tends to be understood mainly as purely spiritual that occurs in the inner life of the individuals. God’s presence in the innermost part of the individual is prized, while the presence of God in



the cosmos and the community tends to be forgotten or neglected. In this regard, religion tends to be “internalized.” Part of this privatization of religion is the popularity of “spirituality of success” and “feel good spirituality” where religious doctrines and practices are turned into a mere psychological technique geared toward a private sense of being happy that too often proves to be fleeting.

- 2) Ironically, this privatization of religion goes along with the politicization of religion in different parts of the world, especially in the Middle East that also spills over to some radical groups in South and Southeast Asia. In this regard, religion has become part of extremely narrow identity politics. As a result public and political life is “imbued with religion” without the presence of real spirituality, morality and public theology. Religious identity tends to morph into a mere activism, marked by tribalistic communalism without real and inclusive community.
- 3) The third phenomenon to reckon with is the iconoclastic religious ideology, a militant understanding of religion against culture, resulting in the loss of a real sense of history and connection to the past, as well as to materiality, including culture. In this framework religion tends to be understood as a “pure entity”, purified from the larger and rich culture, both locally and globally. Religious life is impoverished since deeper religious attitudes are often rooted in culture. This rootedness makes the practice of religion firmer and long lasting.

Over against these clusters of challenges, we need to re-imagine religion, theology, and culture for the common good, for our public life. Thus, our conference is very timely.

Here I want to stress the importance of public theology, a kind of theology that has been drawing our attention the last few years. Generally speaking, public theology is a name for a theology that retains its confessional character to a certain degree, yet is done and geared explicitly and largely toward the common good. In the Christian context, “public theology refers to the church reflectively engaging with those within and outside its institutions on issues of common interest and for the common good”<sup>1</sup> Public theology exists in many diverse forms and varieties. And our Conference will enrich this further.

---

<sup>1</sup> Sebastian Kim and Katie Day (eds.), *A Handbook of Public Theology* (Leiden, Boston: Brill, 2017), 2.

Harold Brietenberg understands public theology this way:

Public theology is thus theologically informed public discourse about public issues, addressed to the church, synagogue, mosque, temple or other religious body, as well as the larger public or publics, argued in ways that can be evaluated and judged by publicly available warrants and criteria.<sup>2</sup>

Furthermore, public theology is interdisciplinary, self-critical, constructive, and done in a socially interactive manner, as to engage with the public and with citizens who have agency in the creation of the common good.

In the context of building up Asian public theology, Felix Wilfred argues:

Asian public theological reflection needs to be open-ended and should begin from the world. It will endeavour to respond with others to the question and issues thrown up from the life-situation of the people and societies. Such a theology can be characterised as public theology which needs to be promoted increasingly.<sup>3</sup>

Furthermore for a public theology to emerge, Wilfred argues for a change in the Asian theological method:

This methodology can be characterised as dialogical and open-ended, experimental and transformation-oriented. The integral character of Asian theologising has come out also in the fact that it does not rely simply on reason. The reason is not the sole instrument. Theology involves other faculties and dimensions of human life. The sources of this Asian theologising include the religious traditions of the neighbours of other faiths, the riches of cultures as well as the new forces at work in the life of the Asian peoples.<sup>4</sup>

---

<sup>2</sup> E. Harold Brietenberg, Jr. 'To Tell the Truth: Will the Real Public Theology Please Stand Up,' *Journal of the Society of Christian Ethics*, 23:2 (2003), 66; quoted in Kim and Day, *A Handbook of Public Theology*, 4; for an interesting discussion on public theology, interfaith perspectives and religious education, see Manfred L. Pirner, Johannes Lähnemann, Werner Haussmann, and Susanne Schwarz (eds.), *Public Theology, Religious Diversity, and Interreligious Learning: Contributing to the Common Good Through Religious Education* (Routledge, 2018).

<sup>3</sup> Felix Wilfred, "Asian Theological Ferment (For Doing Theology in Contemporary Indonesia: Interdisciplinary Perspectives)," *International Journal of Indonesian Philosophy & Theology* 2020, Vol. 1(2): 79.

<sup>4</sup> Wilfred, "Asian Theological Ferment," 78.

So, echoing all these important features of public theology, I wish you a stimulating Conference. I am sure this Conference will enrich the process of reimagining religion, theology, culture and humanities for our troubled world.

Thank you very much. God bless us all.

Albertus Bagus Laksana, SJ., Ph.D.

President of Sanata Dharma University

# Content

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| Preface: Greetings from the Chair -----  | iii |
| Address of The Dean -----  | v   |
| Welcome Speech -----   | vii |
| Content -----  | xi  |
| Church-Building as a Means of Communication in a Multicultural Society -----<br><i>Dionius B. Mahamboro</i>  | 1   |
| How Can Religions Shape the World? -----<br>Christianity and Islam Inspiring Pluralist Societies<br><i>Felix Korner, SJ</i>  | 11  |
| Reimagining Collective Identities in Migration:-----<br>A Biblical Perspective on Cultural Memories<br><i>Rogel Anecito L. Abais, SJ</i>   | 21  |
| Re-Imagining Human Person: -----<br>From Autonomy to Theonomy A Fundamental key<br>to understanding Christian Humanism<br><i>Joel Casimiro Pinto, OFM</i>  | 27  |
| Understanding the Curse Against Enemies in Psalm 137 -----<br>Through Structural Analysis as a Psalm of Lament and its Insight<br>on Interpreting Violence in the Bible<br><i>Bobby Steven Octavianus Timmerman</i>  | 37  |
| Religion Education in Indonesian High School: -----<br>Moral Contestation between Spirituality and Moral Piety<br><i>Hastangka, Benny Widaryanto, Yuyun Libriyanti</i>   | 53  |
| Striving for Emancipatory Human Being-----<br>Through Religiosity Education In Sekolah Eksperimental Mangunan<br><i>Laurentia Yunitri Moelyowardani, Fransisca Mbawo, RMI, Kartika Kirana</i>  | 65  |
| Christ the Pioneer of Transcendent Womanhood -----<br><i>Demitria Selvita Alvianey</i>   | 81  |
| Competence of Communicating Catechists in the Post-Truth Era -----<br><i>Kasmir Nema, Theresia Yovita Cendana Sari</i>   | 91  |
| Contextual Pneumatology: -----<br>Pneumatology in the Challenges of Post-Truth and Digital Media<br><i>Hastuti Anak Ampun, Aditya Relliantoko, Dominikus Setio Haryadi,<br/>Hari Gunawan Wibisono, Simplisius Yopi Sabatudung, Tamar,<br/>Heru Prakosa, SJ</i> | 111 |

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| The Role of Religious Education in Promoting Religious Pluralism -----<br><i>Bernardus Agus Rukiyanto, Maria Wilfrida Meli Amatnua</i>  | 125 |
| Indo-European Women and Evangelism -----<br>in Central Java during the 19th Century<br><i>Max Rooyackers</i>  | 139 |
| Re-Imagining Indonesian Young Queer Faith Amidst Violence -----<br><i>Vania Sharleen Setyono, Handi Hadiwitanto</i>   | 151 |
| Developing Narrative Thinking in Children:-----<br>A Critical Study on J.S. Bruner’s Narrative Thinking Patterns<br><i>Aditya Relliantoko, Andreas Gandhi Raka, Bernadus Singgih Guritno</i>  | 169 |
| Pursuing the Spirit of the Culture of Care in Catholic Schools-----<br><i>B. Agus Rukmono, Marselinus Dhewardari, David Juliawan Ndruru,<br/>Jakobus Aditya Christie Manggala, Stephen Gale,<br/>Fl. Hasto Rosariyanto, SJ</i>  | 181 |
| Pastoral Communication in the Digital Age: Realities and Response -----<br><i>Kasmir Nema</i>   | 191 |
| Pate Nalan: Mediatization Of Social Cohesion -----<br>Struktural Functionalism Perspective<br><i>Anselmus Dorewoho Atasoge, Dominikus Doni Ola,<br/>Adison Adrianus Sihombing</i>   | 211 |
| The Meaning of Pilgrimage Activities on the Face of Jesus' Mercy -----<br>for the Life of the Local Community<br><i>Benedictus Aditama, C.B. Mulyatno, Demitria Selvita Alvianey</i>  | 227 |
| The Praxis Model of Lay Pastoral Ministry of San Juan Parish -----<br>in Dialogue with Lamaholot Culture<br><i>Hendrikus Febrianto Fernandez, C.B. Mulyatno</i>   | 237 |
| New Ministerial of Lay in Catholic Church -----<br>A Case Study in Congregation of Our Lady of Charity of<br>The Good Shepherd Regarding Lay Mission Partner<br><i>Fransiskus Purwanto, SCJ, Christian Hoper. SP, SCJ</i>   | 251 |
| Katekese Umat: Memperkuat Iman - Kearifan Lokal-----<br>Perspektif Tradisi Bahaum Dayak Kanayatn<br><i>Theresia Yovita Cendana Sari, Adison Sihombing</i>   | 269 |
| Digesting Theosis with the Concept of “Ngonangi” Ki Ageng Suryomentaram-----<br><i>Tri Ratno Wahono</i>   | 287 |
| Shaping Student Character Through A Culture Of Dialogue: -----<br>Experiences From Catholic Educational Institutions In Yogyakarta<br><i>Aloysius Gonzaga Ristata Kusnar Prabawa,<br/>Stefanus Krisna Bayu Sulisty, Benedictus Adiatma Murti Wibowo,<br/>Benediktus Tri Widiatmaka, Paulus Bambang Irawan, SJ</i> | 307 |

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| Menyoal Identitas Perempuan: Analisa Identitas Sosial-----<br>Perempuan Sirofenisia dalam Mrk 7:24-30<br><i>Agustinus Kartono</i>  | 315 |
| Pemaknaan atas Kerjasama Formator Seminari Mertoyudan -----<br>dengan Keluarga Seminaris Medan Madya untuk mendampingi Seminaris<br>dalam Proses Electio: Pendekatan Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis<br><i>Dominikus Setio Haryadi</i>                    | 331 |
| A Culture Of Encounter As A Response To Identitarian Populism-----<br>In Pluralistic Indonesia<br><i>Anita Anastasya Br Sembiring, Anita Stephanie F. Warow,<br/>Antonius Bagas Prasetya Adi Nugraha, Erni Dameria Simare-mare,<br/>Paulus Bambang Irawan S.J.</i> | 347 |
| Closing Statement from the Steering Committee -----  | 357 |





International Conference on Theology, Religion, Culture, and Humanities  
Re Imagining Theology, Religion, Culture, And Humanities for Public Life  
<https://e-conf.usd.ac.id/index.php/theoicon/>  
Faculty of Theology, Universitas Sanata Dharma Yogyakarta

# The Role of Religious Education in Promoting Religious Pluralism

<sup>1.a.\*</sup> Bernardus Agus Rukiyanto

<sup>1.b</sup> Maria Wilfrida Meli Amatnua

<sup>1</sup>Universitas Sanata Dharma Yogyakarta

<sup>a</sup> [rukya@usd.ac.id](mailto:rukya@usd.ac.id)

<sup>b</sup> [amatnuatwilf2016@gmail.com](mailto:amatnuatwilf2016@gmail.com)

\*Corresponding Author

## Abstract

*Given the fact that Indonesian people adhere to various religions and beliefs, an acceptance of religious pluralism is needed. Religious education has an important role to educate students to promote religious pluralism. The goal of this research is to develop religious education for young people amidst the challenges of radicalism and religious fundamentalism. The specific target to be achieved through this research is to get a picture of the role of religious education in promoting religious pluralism. This research applied quantitative method. The research sample was limited to Sanata Dharma University students in Yogyakarta. The result of this study showed that the role religious education in universities to enhance religious pluralism, as well as the pluralist attitude of students, had very good category. The students were taught to become more open to believers of other religions because some lecturers invited students to engage in dialogue or to visit Islamic boarding schools (pesantren), churches, Hindu's temple, Buddhist's temple (vihara) or Confucians temple (klenteng). The role of religious education to enhance pluralist attitude can be improved. The result of this study could become a means to find ways to enhance religious pluralism among*



*young people. Moreover, the result of this study could become a model for educators to educate young people to enhance religious pluralism.*

**Keywords:** *religious education, religious pluralism, tolerance, university students, Indonesia.*

## Introduction

Education in Indonesia is constantly changing and developing. The goal of education is that every student is able to become a person with qualified personality and being responsible for his future. The purpose of education is for the growth and development of the whole person in order to become a person who is mature, and is able to face problems and conflicts in everyday life. Education produces individuals who are more humane, useful and influential in society, responsible, proactive and cooperative, as well as possessing personalities with noble character (Ryanto, 2002: 3).

The education at Sanata Dharma University, Yogyakarta, emphasizes four basic values as a pillar of education. The first pillar, loving the truth, is a value that encourages the entire academic community to always strive call for truth through teaching and scientific research that is beneficial for scientific advancement, human welfare and environmental sustainability. Loving the truth manifests in curiosity and intellectual imagination in order to achieve the highest scientific expertise and the implementation of tasks correctly (Universitas Sanata Dharma, 2020)

The second pillar, fighting for justice, is a basic value for the developing a society with dignity and equality in front of the Creator. Fighting for justice manifests in taking sides with those who experience injustice, especially those who are poor, marginalized and disabled. This alignment is based on a comprehensive analysis that can be scientifically justified and in accordance with moral and social demands.

The third pillar, respecting diversity, is a basic value for improving the quality of human relations for the creation of an open and democratic society. Respect for diversity manifests in recognition of the diversity of cultural backgrounds, rich talents, the uniqueness of each person, a willingness to seek cross-scientific cooperation so as to create a creative, productive and critical intellectual community.

The fourth pillar, upholding the nobility of human dignity, is a basic value that reflects sensitivity to basic problems of humanity today. This value is developed through various institutional policies and *tridharma* activities that contribute to solving basic humanitarian problems.

To develop the third pillar of education, respecting the diversity, Sanata Dharma University applies Religious Education to promote religious pluralism. Through Religious Education, students are educated to be able to cultivate an attitude of mutual love and mutual respect to develop a pluralist attitude. The pluralist attitude makes possible living with people of different religions. Therefore, the role of religious education is to develop a pluralist attitude. In pluralistic situation, it is necessary to understand and accept a pluralist attitude, an attitude of tolerance.

Sanata Dharma University is a plural campus considering the backgrounds of students who come from various regions, ethnicities, cultures, languages and even religions. Sanata Dharma University educates students to be excellent in knowledge as well as in personality. Through Religious Education Sanata Dharma University teaches students to have a pluralist attitude, not fanatical narrow-minded, so that they can perceive other religions as ways in achieving salvation as well.

In previous researches, the role of religious education is explored by some researchers: Mesirawati Waruwu et al. writes “The Role of Multiple Christian Religious Education in Building Multicultural Community Unity” (2022). It is library research. The results of this study encourage the spirit to build unity and integrity in a pluralistic society. Jonel Ene and Juliana Barna write about “Religious Education and Teachers’ Role in Students’ Formation towards Social Integration” (2015). This study concludes that Christian faith and religious education have been a coagulant factor of social and cultural continuity. Jenifer Pelupessy Wowor researches “The Role of Religious Education in Promoting Religious Freedom” (2016). This study concludes that religious education has a crucial role in promoting a sustainable environment for religious freedom in a religiously pluralistic society. Hujair Sanaky researches “The Role of Religious Education in Forming Tolerant Individuals” (2017). Sanaky concludes that religious education should enable the growth of the brotherhood in unity, so community can jointly build a new world civilization that is more meaningful for all humanity. It will all be possible if the design of religious education accommodates pluralist and tolerant outlook that is balanced with religious teachers who are tolerant and pluralist as well.

Danny Cohen Zada researches Religious pluralism and the transmission of religious values through education (2018). Geir Skeie writes about Plurality and Pluralism in Religious Education (2009). Deflita Lumi writes about The Role of Christian Education Among Religious and Pluralism Society at the Post Truth Era (2020). Maria Yuliastuti researches Religion and Pluralism in Education (2006).

Most of the research that has been done uses the literature study method. This research was very important because it discussed the role of Religious Education in developing religious pluralism, the attitude needed to build an Indonesian nation that is colored by various religions and beliefs. This research applied quantitative research

method, deepened by interviews to obtain accurate data. The goal of this research was to find out how big the role of Religious Education at the University of Sanata Dharma was in promoting religious pluralism for students.

## Literature Review

Religious education is ultimately the attainment of things that are transcendent and the expression of human pursuits, so that all good education can be called religious in nature. There is a specialty in religious education that has a special function in relation to general education. Religious education focuses especially on empowering people in their quest for the transcendent and the ultimate basis of existence. Religious education requires people who are aware of what has been found, relate to what has been found, and express that relationship (Groom, 2010:32).

The role of religious education emphasizes human experience, meaning human experience which can be a meaning in fostering life, so that religious education becomes a role in the process of living the life of every human being. Whereas Divine appreciation is part of the development of life experiences that are lived and lived in the light of the Divine. The process of growth in the life of every human being can be carried out with an awareness of repentance, meaning that the whole life always returns to a meaning of life towards the renewal process of new birth. (Hope S Antone, 2010: 23).

Pluralism is the idea of pluralism, namely awareness of diversity as a necessity that lives and grows in a society (Naben, 1986:232). Pluralism is the state of a pluralistic society related to social and political systems.

From the definition put forward, pluralism is first as a (social) interaction between groups in a society; secondly, it requires respect and tolerance among these groups; third, developing coexistence (co-existence); and fourth, interactions are carried out without the desire for conflict and assimilation. Religious pluralism is the relativity of truth to every religion in the world, as tolerance to maintain inter-religious harmony amid existing diversity. By declaring that all religions are true, the proponents of religious pluralism hope that there will no longer be any religion that claims to be the owner of ultimate truth because in essence, religion is the result of various feelings and experiences of human diversity, so that every religion in this world contains Divine truth. Interreligious chaos occurs because there is no tolerance and mutual understanding between adherents of different religions, so that religious pluralism is the right solution to prevent this conflict and create harmony among human beings in the world. Tolerance is manifested by acknowledging the existence of each religion and not assuming that only their religion is the most correct (Harda Armano, 2010: 37).

According to Zuhairi Misrawi, a pluralist, he said that pluralism is not an understanding that teaches all religions to be the same. However, pluralism emphasizes that in essence religions are different. This difference can be seen in terms of appreciation of religion (shari'a) and more importantly the symbolic and sociological dimensions. The existence of these differences makes pluralism find its relevance to reconcile and build tolerance. He continued, pluralism speaks at the level of facts and reality, not at the theological level. At the theological level, it must be believed that every religion has its own rituals. But at the social level, it takes active involvement among all levels of society to build togetherness (Harda Armayanto, 2014: 329).

A pluralist attitude enables a culture of religious tolerance. Tolerance is an attitude of sharing everything in respect of one another as a whole. Tolerance is an attitude and action in respecting others (Budi Purnomo, 2002: 13-14). Tolerance will manifest itself through acts of appreciation and respect for others. Tolerance leads to respect for other parties in order to create cooperation and harmony in life together (Budi Purnomo, 2002: 18).

Tolerance in religious life is needed in any kind of situation and condition. We are invited to build and develop tolerance in religious life. It is true that religion cannot be tolerated, because religion involves certain dogmas, rites, teachings, and laws. But just as we are invited to hold dialogue between religious communities, we are also called to develop tolerance in religious life (Budi Purnomo, 2002: 20). Tolerance should be seen as a joint effort to cooperate with each other for the sake of realizing a harmonious society and not harming other parties in any form. Tolerance can be described as a dialogue process of sharing views on life, opinions, and general concerns for the realization of a true, just and prosperous society (Chang, 2002:161-164).

A pluralist attitude allows for dialogue between religions. Dialogue comes from the Greek word dialogos which means talk or conversation. (Hardjana, 1993:115). Dialogue can be interpreted as a conversation between two or more people in which there is an exchange of values owned by each party. Furthermore, dialogue is an association between individuals who give themselves to each other and try to know the other party as he is (Hendropuspito, 1983:172).

Interfaith dialogue is an encounter and cooperation that takes place between people of different religions and faiths. Interfaith dialogue can occur between people of different religions and can also occur between people of different churches (Semarang Archdiocese's Council for Pastoral Work, 2014:16). The dialogue that is sought is a dialogue of life and not just a dialogue with words. This live dialogue should be based on truth and honesty (Chang, 2002:164).

In dialogue, adherents of different religions meet and hold joint discussions to seek mutual understanding. The goal is to jointly seek universal truth contained in each

religion. The basis is mutual respect and a willingness to learn from one another. Because both parties are aware that the God they believe in is the Greatest (Hardjana, 1993:115).

Interreligious dialogue will work well if it is experienced as an interpersonal relationship, a deep interpersonal relationship (Budi Purnomo, 2002:24). Dialogue is a positive and constructive relationship between religions. This relationship takes place in relations with individuals and congregations of other religions, which are directed at mutual understanding and mutual enrichment, in obedience to truth and respect for freedom, which also includes witnessing and deepening of each other's religious beliefs. Armada Riyanto, 1995:102).

Interreligious dialogue reflects attitudes, ways of thinking, acting wisely and wisely towards pluralism. Inter-religious dialogue describes the way of religion and the mental attitude of religious people so that inter-religious dialogue focuses on the desire and need to understand each other, understand and share religious experiences with fellow religious people. In interreligious dialogue, there is no ulterior motive to blame, insult, and disbelieve other people's beliefs. However, it places more emphasis on accepting the existence of other people as they are and without aiming to change their beliefs, with the process of knowing each other and understanding the rights of each adherent of a religion (Amin Abdullah in Resta, 2015: 51-53).

Starting from the several opinions regarding the dialogue above, it can be concluded that, with dialogue, religious people prepare themselves to carry out discussions with people of other religions, and with people who have other views about the reality of life. The dialogue is meant to get to know each other and gain new knowledge about each other's dialogue partners' religions. This dialogue will in itself enrich and broaden horizons, with the aim of being able to mutually recognize and accept the existence of diversity or differences that exist as a wealth that is used as the basis for living in harmony and peace in a society.

In the diversity of religious life, of course there is the most beautiful color to carve a story of togetherness. As described above, dialogue is one way to reconcile any differences that exist. Dialogue becomes a way to see every difference as wealth that broadens one's horizons. However, in efforts to dialogue there are various obstacles that cannot be avoided. First, the language barrier. Language becomes a very important element in communication or in this context dialogue. Second, the image of other people is wrong. Before having a dialogue, of course each dialogue participant has a pre-understanding of his dialogue partner. Third, self-defense. Each dialogue participant will certainly defend what he has believed and assume that the other is wrong or not true. This means not accepting teachings or views from dialogue partners (Hendropuspito, 1983:174-175).

Other difficulties that can cause the dialogue to reach a stalemate include, first, the issue of knowledge. Knowledge and understanding of other religions that are balanced often lead to a lack of respect and culminate in mutual suspicion. Second, intolerance. A big difficulty when dealing with dialogue participants who do not have an intolerance. Dialogue will not run smoothly, because there is no attitude of mutual respect and respect for one another. This intolerance is often influenced by political, economic, racial, ethnic and various other inequalities.

Third, lack of confidence in the values of interreligious dialogue. Faced with this difficulty, some participants in the dialogue considered dialogue to be a sign of betrayal of faith. In fact, dialogue is not meant to look for weaknesses in the other party and attract them to change religions. Dialogue is meant to be able to achieve a better attitude of mutual understanding and mutual respect between religious communities (Armada Riyanto, 1995: 117).

Starting from the two figures that the author raised about their opinions about challenges and obstacles in dialogue, it can be said that every struggle to create peace and increase tolerance requires an attitude of openness and mutual acceptance from various religious parties. There is an attitude of openness, in order to be able to understand every thing that is different and there is a sense of mutual acceptance, so that every difference is seen as a wealth.

## Method

This research applied quantitative method, using statistical analysis (Sugiyono, 2015: 7). This study uses a descriptive research method, which describes facts about variables, symptoms, or circumstances (Arikunto, 2013: 234). The author distributed questionnaires about the role of religious education in promoting religious pluralism. The questionnaire used a scale model of one to five. This study used an *ex post facto* research design. This research was conducted in June 2022.

The respondents in this research were students who had taken the Religious Education course at Sanata Dharma University, Yogyakarta. The instrument of the research regarding the role of religious education and religious pluralism totaled 40 questions. Analysis of the validity test showed that all questions were valid. Based on the output in the SPSS program version 23, the results of the Cronbach's Alpha value were 0.963, higher than the Cronbach's Alpha value of 0.70-0.90. Therefore, the data results obtained perfect reliability. The collected data were analyzed and presented in the form of frequency descriptions and statistical descriptions. Frequency descriptions were analyzed using the 2016 Microsoft Excel program, while statistical descriptions were analyzed using SPSS program Version 23.


## Result and Discussion

The overall results

Through a questionnaire distributed to 102 respondents, the overall results were obtained as follows:

### Frequency Description

| Criteria | Interval  | Category  | Frekuensi | %    | Keterangan    |
|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------|---------------|
| 5        | 169 - 200 | Very good | 54        | 52,9 | Very agree    |
| 4        | 137 - 168 | Good      | 46        | 45,0 | Agree         |
| 3        | 105 - 136 | Poor      | 2         | 2    | Less agree    |
| 2        | 73 - 104  | Bad       | 0         | 0    | Disagree      |
| 1        | 40 - 72   | Very bad  | 0         | 0    | Very disagree |



The table and pie chart above showed the overall data: 54 students in the very good category (53%) and 46 students in the good category (45%) and 2 students in the poor category (2%). Therefore, the conclusion showed that Religious Education had a role in promoting religious pluralism.

## Statistical Description

|                    |         |          |
|--------------------|---------|----------|
| N                  | Valid   | 102      |
|                    | Missing | 7        |
| Mean               |         | 179.1275 |
| Std. Error of Mean |         | 1.86996  |
| Median             |         | 183.5000 |
| Mode               |         | 200.00   |
| Std. Deviation     |         | 18.88562 |
| Variance           |         | 356.667  |
| Range              |         | 77.00    |
| Minimum            |         | 123.00   |
| Maximum            |         | 200.00   |
| Sum                |         | 18271.00 |

With the distributed questionnaires, statistical results were obtained using SPSS program version 23.0, the responses totaled 102 students. Responses obtained an average value of 179.1275. The median was 1883.5 and the mode was 200. Meanwhile the highest score obtained was 200 and the lowest score obtained was 123. It could be concluded that the overall results of the role of education in promoting religious pluralism were very good.

### The Role of Religious Education

From the analysis of the frequency descriptions of the subject matter of the role of religious education, most of the results fall into category very good with a total of 56 students and a percentage of 54.9%. 37 people with a percentage of 36.3% fall into category good, 9 people with a percentage of 8.8% fall into category poor. From these results among the 102 respondents, 56 of them had a role in religious education in developing a pluralist attitude. This result was also supported by the mean which showed the number 123.3039. It can be concluded that the overall data on the subject of the role of religious education was very good.

Data on the knowledge of religious education showed that of the 102 respondents, there were still 6 Sanata Dharma University students who were poor in acquiring knowledge of religious education. The average value of the knowledge aspect was 123.309 which showed that this aspect was very good.

Through analysis of the frequent descriptions of the aspects of forming attitudes, the most results fall into category very good with a total of 73 students and a percentage of 71.6%. 25 students fall into category good with a percentage of 24.5%. 4 students fall



into category poor with a percentage of 3.9%. This was supported by the results of the statistical description to get a mean value of 18.4608 with very good criteria. This means that Sanata Dharma University students could form good attitudes.

Through the results of the analysis of the frequent descriptions of the aspect of helping appreciation, it showed that the most results were in category very good with a total of 67 students with a percentage of 65.7%. 28 students fall into category good with a percentage of 27.5%. 7 students were in the category poor with a percentage of 6.9%. This means that 102 respondents 67 people were very good that religious education helps to appreciate their attitudes. This was supported by a mean value of 18.1667 which was in the very good category.

Through the results of frequent descriptive analysis from the aspect of objectives, it showed that the most results were in category very good with a total of 73 students with a percentage of 71.6%. 25 students with a percentage of 24.5% fall into category good. 4 students in category poor with a percentage of 3.9%. This showed that Sanata Dharma University students get good goals in religious education. Supported by a mean value of 18.1961 which was in the very good category.

Through the results of descriptive analysis of the material aspect, it showed that most of the data results fall into category very good out of a total of 51 students with a percentage of 50.0%. 42 students fall into category good with a percentage of 41.2%. 8 students fall into category poor with a percentage of 7.8%. It means that Sanata Dharma University students agreed with the material that has been obtained. This was supported by the mean value of 17.2549 which was in the very good category.

Through the results of descriptive analysis of the process aspect, it showed that the most results fall into category very good with a total of 50 students and a percentage of 49.0%. 26 students fall into category good with a percentage of 25.5%. 20 students fall into category with a percentage of 19.6%. This means that Sanata Dharma University students can apply the process of religious education in their lives. This can be seen by the mean value of 16.2353 which was in the good category.

Through the results of the descriptive analysis of the evaluation aspect, it showed that the most results fall into category very good with a percentage of 51.0% and the number of students was 52. The remaining 37 students fall into category good with a percentage of 36.3%. 12 students fall into category poor with a percentage of 11.8%. This showed that Sanata Dharma University students had the ability in evaluation. This was also supported by a mean value of 17.1667 which was in the very good category.

## Religious Pluralism

From the frequent analysis of the subject matter of pluralist attitudes, the majority of results fall into category very good with a total of 78 students with a percentage of 76.5%. The remaining 21 students with a percentage of 20.6% were in category good, 3 students were in category poor with a percentage of 2.9%. From these results it can be seen that of the 102 respondents, 78 of them had a good pluralist attitude. This can be supported by a mean value of 55.8235 which was in the very good category.

Through the results of a descriptive analysis of the frequency of the aspect of accepting other people's views, the most results were obtained in category very good with a total of 76 students with a percentage of 74.5%. The remaining 24 students fall into category good with a percentage of 23.5%. 2 students were in category poor with a percentage of 2.0%. From these results it can be seen that Sanata Dharma University students accept other people's views well. This result was also supported by a mean value of 18.5784 which was in the very good category.

Through the results of the descriptive frequency analysis from the aspect of respecting the opinions of others, the most results were obtained in category very good with a total of 79 students with a percentage of 77.5%. The remaining 20 students fall into category good with a percentage of 19.6%. 3 students fall into category poor with a percentage of 2.9%. From these results it can be seen that Sanata Dharma University students respect the opinions of others well. This result was also supported by a mean value of 18.5490 which was in the very good category.

Through the results of a descriptive analysis of the frequency of aspects of dialogue with other people, the most results were obtained in category very good with a total of 80 students with a percentage of 78.4%. The remaining 19 students fall into category good with a percentage of 18.6%. 3 students fall into category poor with a percentage of 2.9%. From these results it can be seen that Sanata Dharma University students have the ability to dialogue well with those with other views. This result was also supported by a mean value of 18.6961 in the very good category.

## Interviews

In interviews, students revealed that Religious Education was very beneficial because it taught knowledge to increase their faith. Respondents realized that Religious Education taught them to respect other people's religions. They believed that the teachings in every religion ought to teach good values and how to respect other people's religions. Several respondents revealed that Religious Education helped them to grow in

personality. Those who were previously very introvert, now they could express their ideas to others and certainly made them a better person.

Religious Education encouraged them to appreciate the values of tolerance towards other people's religions and beliefs. One respondent said that an attitude of openness ultimately had a positive impact on himself, namely being able to minimize the spread of hoax issues about a certain religion. By having a high tolerance attitude, they would not easily be able to believe hoax news. They revealed that the role of Religious Education was very important to give good hope and even to bring their lives closer to God as well as to avoid the bad things.

Some students were not disturbed by other people's religious symbols. Several respondents did not mind congratulating other religious holidays as an expression of respect for other people's religions. By learning Religious Education, they discovered the importance of the knowledge of other religions. With good religious knowledge, it was not easy for them to offend or disrespect other people's religions.

The experience of having religious dialogue and visiting places of religious worship could foster a pluralist attitude. They were given a good opportunity to get out of their comfort zone and go to meet and know the life of other religions. They also experienced sharing life together, practicing prayer and meditation together. When we had good intentions, our presence was welcomed and well received. There was mutual openness, so that it was enriched in appreciation. Interreligious dialogue as part of dialogue of life increased pluralist attitudes and concern for one another. By having a dialogue, people were open to sharing experiences of religious life, so that harmony was maintained. By acknowledging and accepting freedom of religion, a pluralist attitude towards others was fostered, without feeling disturbed by differences. This harmony was created because there was openness to build an attitude of dialogue, tolerance, and acceptance of diversity, together hand in hand to support each other in fostering the diversity in Indonesia.

At certain times the lecturer invited guest speakers to develop students' insights into religion and personal character. In the classroom the lecturer uses the method of experience sharing, not only giving dogmatic material, so that the experience of spiritual dryness could also be overcome.

## **Conclusion**

The results of the research showed that Religious Education had important role in promoting religious pluralism. Among the 102 respondents, there were 54 students included in the very good category (52.9%), and 46 students included in the good category (45%). Interviews with several respondents confirmed that religious education

played an important role in developing a pluralist attitude. In Religious Education class, it is necessary to provide opportunities to have interreligious dialogue, to invite religious leaders from different religions, to have joint activities with people of other religions or to visit places of worship of other religions. As a suggestion, this research can be continued by developing course material for Religious Education so that it can be used as a model for other universities in Indonesia.

## References:

- Armada Riyanto, F.X. (1995). *Dialog Agama Dalam Pandangan Gereja Katolik*. Yogyakarta: Kanisius
- Abu Bakar, MS. (2016). *TOLERANSI: Media Komunikasi umat Beragama*. Vol. 8, No. 1, Hlm. 46-47.
- Budi Purnomo, Aloysius. (2002). *Jalan-jalan Toleransi demi Kasih & Keadilan*. Yogyakarta: Kanisius.
- Groome, T.H (2010) *Christian Religious Education*. Penyadur: Stefanus, Daniel. Jakarta: Gunung Mulia. (buku asli diterbitkan pada 1981).
- Hardawiryana, R. (1991). Peranan Gereja dalam masyarakat Plurireligius di Asia, dalam *Orientasi*, no.4. hlm 14 -67.
- Hardjana, AM. (1993). *Penghayatan Agama yang Otentik & Tidak Otentik*. Yogyakarta: Kanisius.
- Hendropuspito, D (1983). *Sosiologi Agama*. Yogyakarta: Kanisius.
- Ionel Ene, Iuliana Barna. *Religious Education and Teachers' Role in Students' Formation towards Social Integration*. In *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, Volume 180, 5 May 2015, Pages 30-35. Downloaded from <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1877042815014123>.
- Parker, Lyn. *Religious Education for Peaceful Coexistence in Indonesia?* In *South East Asia Research*. Volume 22, 2014 - Issue 4 Pages 487-504. Downloaded from <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/10.5367/sear.2014.0231>
- Sanak, Hujair AH. *The Role of Religious Education in Forming Tolerant Individuals*. In *Indonesian Journal of Interdisciplinary Islamic Studies*. Vol. 1, No. 1, September 2017. Downloaded from <https://journal.uui.ac.id/IJIS/article/view/9977/7943>
- Sugiyono. (2015). *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif dan R & D*. Bandung: Alfabeta.
- Suharsimi Arikunto. (2013). *Managemen Penelitian*. Jakarta: PT Rineka Cipta

Universitas Sanata Dharma. (2020). Visi dan Misi. Downloaded from <https://www.usd.ac.id/visimisi.php> on March 2, 2023

Waruwu, Mesirawati, Christian Pungky Wijanarko, Mariani Harmadi. The Role of Multiple Christian Religious Education in Building Multicultural Community Unity. In VOL. 1 NO. 2 (2022): GRAFTA: JOURNAL OF CHRISTIAN RELIGION EDUCATION AND BIBLICAL STUDIES. Downloaded from <https://grafta.stbi.ac.id/index.php/GRAFTA/article/view/19>.

Wowor, Jeniffer Pelupessy. The Role of Religious Education in Promoting Religious Freedom: A Mutual Enrichment Between "My Story," "Your Story," and "Our Stories" The Review of Faith & International Affairs. Volume 14, 2016 - Issue 4: Religion, Law, and Society in Southeast Asia. Downloaded from <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/15570274.2016.1248527>



International Conference on Theology, Religion, Culture, and Humanities  
*Re Imagining Theology, Religion, Culture, And Humanities for Public Life*  
<https://e-conf.usd.ac.id/index.php/theoicon/>  
Faculty of Theology, Universitas Sanata Dharma Yogyakarta

## **Closing Statement from the Steering Committee**

Dear participants of Theo-Icon conference,

We are coming to the finale of our conference. Allow me to summarize several important points from our conversations with the keynote speakers and various group projects in breakout room.

The purpose of this conference is to reinterpret various possible models for religion in engaging the public sphere. Fr Felix helped us to reexamine the phenomenology of testimony as guiding principle for religion to show its role in pluralist society. Islam and Christianity with their “overcoming memories” have valuable resources in providing alternative way to live, grounded in gratitude and promotion of human dignity. Fr Joel Pinto help us to see our Christian anthropological background in challenging a secularized and technological dominance. Fr Dominic help us to reread the bible, especially the prophetic tradition, in responding ecological crisis. With his close experience with the migrants, Fr Rogel Albais share his reflection on collective identities through migration and how biblical perspective could help us to understand cultural memories better. Professor Zorica Maros bring her experience after war in Sarajevo and how theological education could provide spaces in making reconciliation work. Fr Bismoko argues that church buildings and their architecture is a means of communication in this multicultural society.

Our parallel sessions show us a wide spectrum of theological reasoning which is grounded to the life experience of the people. We discuss topics such as: culture of care and dialogue in catholic schools, *sholawatan*, populism, evangelism in 19<sup>th</sup> century Java, theological narrative of Thread-weaving in Southwest Sumba, Pope Francis

humanistic economy, religious agrarianism, and many more. This wide variety of topics shows us the possibility and the challenge for religious studies to make it more relevant to today's world.

Federation of Asian Bishop Conference (FABC) proposed the triple dialogue as a new way of being a church in Asia. This triple dialog begins with a dialogue of life, a day-to-day encounter between ordinary people facing a common problem. FABC argues that two other forms of dialogue (theological and spiritual dialogue) must be started from and aim to this dialogue of life. Theologians (professors and students) are no longer living in the ivory tower of our institution but embrace the reality of the people they serve. As Pope Francis frequently said in *Fratelli Tutti*, our human solidarity is anchored in our willingness to work together across boundaries, to open a new horizon of meaning, to form a new community that respond to the plea of the poor and the cry of the earth.

Following Fr Felix's idea of "overcoming memories", our sacramental celebration is also an invitation to give testimony on our commitment to start and return to daily struggle in making the world better. In diaconal sacrament of ordination, a bishop while giving the bible, say these words to a new deacon:

*Believe what you read,*

*Teach what you believe,*

*Practice what you teach.*

The most challenging-complex-and difficult task after the conference is precisely the last phrase: Practice what you teach. Our complex world is waiting for us, challenging us, but also inviting us to make theology more and more relevant to people's struggles. That is our task ahead.

Therefore, on behalf of the Wedabhakti Pontifical Faculty of Theology Sanata Dharma University, I would like to thank all of you: the committee (especially fr Niko and teams who work tirelessly to make this international conference possible) and to all of you the participant who engage with the speakers in plenary or parallel session.

And may the lord who began a good work in us bring it to completion.

Thank you and God bless you all.

Yogyakarta, 29 March 2023

**Paulus Bambang Irawan, S.J., S.S., M.Hum., S.T.D.**

Steering Committee

PROCEEDINGS

INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON THEOLOGY, RELIGION, CULTURE, AND HUMANITIES

*“Re-Imagining Theology, Religion, Culture, and Humanities Studies for Public Life”*

This proceeding is an effort from various academics and practitioners in the midst of modern society to find the meaning and re-imagine Theology, Religion, Culture, and Humanities Studies for Public Life. From discussions on how religion can reshape our world to become a better world, to re-imagining the foundation of human life that believes in God in the midst of local culture and an increasingly advanced and modern world, even looking back at the history of women, evangelization, and places of worship as a means for humans to find God in the world. In the end, all of these writings are a form of academic reflection of the authors who seek to find God in the midst of today's world.



SANATA DHARMA UNIVERSITY PRESS  
Jl. Affandi, (Gejayan) Mrican, Yogyakarta 55281  
Phone: (0274)513301; Ext.51513  
Web: [sdupress.usd.ac.id](http://sdupress.usd.ac.id); E-mail: [publisher@usd.ac.id](mailto:publisher@usd.ac.id)



ISBN 978-623-143-007-6 (PDF)



9 786231 430076

Filsafat Teologi