



# Source details

## Physical Review D

Formerly known as: Physical Review D - Particles, Fields, Gravitation and Cosmology

Years currently covered by Scopus: 1989, from 2001 to 2003, 2008, from 2015 to 2025

Publisher: American Physical Society

ISSN: 2470-0010 E-ISSN: 2470-0029

Subject area: Physics and Astronomy: Nuclear and High Energy Physics

Source type: Journal

CiteScore 2024

9.0



SJR 2024

1.458



SNIP 2024

1.225



[View all documents >](#)

[Set document alert](#)

[Save to source list](#)

[CiteScore](#) [CiteScore rank & trend](#) [Scopus content coverage](#)

CiteScore 2024 ▼

$$9.0 = \frac{152,127 \text{ Citations } 2021 - 2024}{16,922 \text{ Documents } 2021 - 2024}$$

Calculated on 05 May, 2025

CiteScoreTracker 2025 ⓘ

$$7.1 = \frac{103,158 \text{ Citations to date}}{14,439 \text{ Documents to date}}$$

Last updated on 05 May, 2025 • Updated monthly

## CiteScore rank 2024 ⓘ

| Category                        | Rank  | Percentile |
|---------------------------------|-------|------------|
| Physics and Astronomy           |       |            |
| Nuclear and High Energy Physics | #7/91 | 92nd       |

[View CiteScore methodology >](#) [CiteScore FAQ >](#) [Add CiteScore to your site](#)

---

## About Scopus

[What is Scopus](#)

[Content coverage](#)

[Scopus blog](#)

[Scopus API](#)

[Privacy matters](#)

## Language

[日本語版を表示する](#)

[查看简体中文版本](#)

[查看繁體中文版本](#)

[Просмотр версии на русском языке](#)

## Customer Service

[Help](#)

[Tutorials](#)

[Contact us](#)

---

## ELSEVIER



[Terms and conditions](#) ↗ [Privacy policy](#) ↗ [Cookies settings](#)

All content on this site: Copyright © 2025 Elsevier B.V. ↗, its licensors, and contributors. All rights are reserved, including those for text and data mining, AI training, and similar technologies. For all open access content, the relevant licensing terms apply.

We use cookies to help provide and enhance our service and tailor content. By continuing, you agree to the use of cookies ↗.









# Physical Review D

|  |   |   |  |
|--|---|---|--|
| <b>COUNTRY</b><br><br>United States<br><br> Universities and research institutions in United States<br><br> Media Ranking in United States | <b>SUBJECT AREA AND CATEGORY</b><br><br>Physics and Astronomy<br>— Nuclear and High Energy Physics<br>— Physics and Astronomy (miscellaneous) | <b>PUBLISHER</b><br><br>American Physical Society       | <b>SJR 2024</b><br><br><b>1.458</b> <span style="background-color: #76b82a; color: white; padding: 2px 5px;">Q1</span><br><br><b>H-INDEX</b><br><br><b>408</b> |
| <b>PUBLICATION TYPE</b><br><br>Journals  | <b>ISSN</b><br><br>24700010, 24700029   | <b>COVERAGE</b><br><br>1989, 2001-2003, 2008, 2015-2025 | <b>INFORMATION</b><br><br><a href="#">Homepage</a><br><a href="#">How to publish in this journal</a><br><a href="mailto:prd@aps.org">prd@aps.org</a>           |

## SCOPE

Physical Review D (PRD) is a leading journal in elementary particle physics, field theory, gravitation, and cosmology and is one of the top-cited journals in high-energy physics. PRD covers experimental and theoretical results in all aspects of particle physics, field theory, gravitation and cosmology, including: Particle physics experiments, Electroweak interactions, Strong interactions, Lattice field theories, lattice QCD, Beyond the standard model physics, Phenomenological aspects of field theory general methods Gravity cosmology cosmic rays Astrophysics and astroparticle physics General relativity



**Physical Review D**

Q1 Nuclear and High Energy Physics best quartile

SJR 2024 1.46

powered by scimagojr.com

← Show this widget in your own website

Just copy the code below and paste within your html code:

```
<a href="https://www.scimagojr.com" >
```

# PHYSICAL REVIEW D

Articles Published in MAY 2025  
D1

*covering particles, fields,  
gravitation, and cosmology*

*Published by*  
AMERICAN PHYSICAL SOCIETY



Volume 111

Third Series

Number 9

# PHYSICAL REVIEW D

covering particles, fields, gravitation, and cosmology

## LEAD EDITOR

MIRJAM CVETIČ

## CHIEF EDITOR

URS M. HELLER

## SENIOR EDITOR

ANSAR FAYYAZUDDIN

## SENIOR ASSOCIATE EDITORS

RASHMI RAY

ALIN TIRZIU

ROBERT WIMMER

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

PHILIP CHANG

GILLY ELOR

AURÉLIEN HEES

CHRISTOPHER HERZOG

RAJEEV JAIN

BENJAMIN NACHMAN

ROBERT D. PISARSKI

ENRICO RAMIREZ-RUIZ

JOSHUA SAYRE

PANAYIOTIS TZANAVARIS

## SENIOR EDITORIAL ASSISTANT

MARIA POKO

## EDITORIAL BOARD

*Term ending 31 December 2025*

MARTIN BOJOWALD

NATHANIEL CRAIG

CHRISTINE DAVIES

ELIGIO LISI

ELIZABETH WINSTANLEY

*Term ending 31 December 2026*

OFER AHARONY

CLAUDE DUHR

GARY HOROWITZ

PATRICIA L. MCBRIDE

RUTH DURRER

ROBERT WALD

*Term ending 31 December 2027*

JOHN BEACOM

RONG-GEN CAI

MANUELA CAMPANELLI

ALEKSEY CHERMAN

DIEGO GARCIA LAMBAS

DANIEL KASEN

HIRANYA V. PEIRIS

ASHOKE SEN

SCOTT WATSON

Email: [prd@aps.org](mailto:prd@aps.org)

Web: <https://journals.aps.org/prd/>

Submissions:

<https://authors.aps.org/Submissions/>

## American Physical Society

Chief Executive Officer

JONATHAN A. BAGGER

Editor in Chief

ROBERT ROSNER

Chief Publications Officer

RACHEL BURLEY

Director of Publishing

JEFF LEWANDOWSKI

Chief Information Officer

MARK D. DOYLE

Executive Editor

JESSICA THOMAS

One Physics Ellipse  
College Park, MD 20740-3844

Member Subscriptions:  
**APS Membership Department**  
One Physics Ellipse  
College Park, MD 20740-3844  
Phone: (301) 209-3280  
Fax: (301) 209-0867  
Email: [membership@aps.org](mailto:membership@aps.org)

Institutional Subscriptions:  
**APS Subscription Services**  
P.O. Box 41  
Annapolis Junction, MD 20701  
Toll free: (888) 339-9655  
Phone: (301) 617-7809  
Email: [subs@aps.org](mailto:subs@aps.org)

Copyright © 2025 American Physical Society. All rights reserved.

*Physical Review D*<sup>TM</sup> is a trademark of the American Physical Society, registered in the United States, Canada, European Union and Japan.

**Rights and Permissions:** <https://journals.aps.org/copyrightFAQ.html>

**Copying:** No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the written permission of the publisher, except as stated below. Single copies of individual articles may be made for private use or research. Authorization is given to copy articles beyond the use permitted by Sections 107 and 108 of the U.S. Copyright Law, provided the copying fee of \$25.00 per copy per article is paid to the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, USA.

**Reproduction** of an entire article in a reprint collection, or for advertising or promotional purposes, or republication in any form requires permission from APS. Permission must be sought via Aptara's SciPris<sup>TM</sup> platform. To request permission to republish, refer to the Reuse and Permissions link that can be found (online) on each APS article page and provide the requested information.

**Other Use:** Permission is granted to quote from the journal with the customary acknowledgment of the source. Permission must be sought using Aptara's SciPris<sup>TM</sup> platform. To request permission to reproduce a figure, table, or excerpt of an article refer to the Reuse and Permissions link that can be found on each APS article page and provide the requested information. Authorization for private use or research does not extend to systematic or multiple reproduction, to copying for promotional purposes, to distribution in any format, or to republication in any form. In all such cases, specific permission from APS must be obtained.

*Physical Review D* Particles, Fields, Gravitation, and Cosmology [ISSN: 2470-0010 (print), 2470-0029 (online); CODEN PRVDAQ; printed in USA] is published twice a month by the American Physical Society, 100 Motor Parkway, Suite 110, Hauppauge, NY 11788.

For editorial and subscription correspondence,  
please see inside front cover  
(ISSN: 1550-7998)

Postmaster send address changes to:

APS Subscription Services  
P.O. Box 41  
Annapolis Junction, MD 20701

THIRD SERIES, VOLUME 111, NUMBER 9

CONTENTS

D1 MAY 2025

The Table of Contents is a total listing of Parts A and B.

Part A consists of articles 091101–094502, and Part B articles 094503–099902(E)

### PART A

#### LETTERS

|   |         |
|---|---------|
| Search for $D^0$ meson decays to $\pi^+\pi^-e^+e^-$ and $K^+K^-e^+e^-$ final states (13 pages) .....  | L091101 |
| R. Aaij <i>et al.</i> (LHCb Collaboration)  |         |
| Search for resonance-enhanced $CP$ and angular asymmetries in the $\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow p\mu^+\mu^-$ decay at LHCb (14 pages) .....                                  | L091102 |
| R. Aaij <i>et al.</i> (LHCb Collaboration)  |         |
| Calorimetric analysis for long-baseline neutrino experiments (4 pages) .....  | L091301 |
| K. Gallmeister and U. Mosel   |         |
| Study of the light scalar $a_0(980)$ through the decay $D^0 \rightarrow a_0(980)^-e^+\nu_e$ with $a_0(980)^- \rightarrow \eta\pi^-$ (10 pages) .....                    | L091501 |
| M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i> (BESIII Collaboration)   |         |
| Demonstration of collinear factorization breaking due to collinear-to-soft Glauber exchanges for a $2 \rightarrow 3$ exclusive process at leading twist (7 pages) ..... | L091502 |
| Saad Nabeebaccus, Jakob Schönleber, Lech Szymanowski, and Samuel Wallon   |         |
| Illustrating the liquid gas transition of nuclear matter in QCD (6 pages) .....   | L091503 |
| Fei Gao, Yi Lu, Si-xue Qin, Zhan Bai, Lei Chang, and Yu-xin Liu   |         |

#### ARTICLES

|   |        |
|---|--------|
| Measurement of the branching fraction, polarization, and time-dependent $CP$ asymmetry in $B^0 \rightarrow \rho^+\rho^-$ decays and constraint on the CKM angle $\phi_2$ (15 pages) .....             | 092001 |
| I. Adachi <i>et al.</i> (Belle II Collaboration)  |        |
| Novel experimental approach to uncover the nature of cosmic-ray deuterium (15 pages) .....  | 092002 |
| F. Dimiccoli and F.M. Folega  |        |
| Search for inelastic boosted dark matter with the ICARUS detector at the Gran Sasso Underground National Laboratory (17 pages) .....  | 092003 |
| H. Carranza <i>et al.</i> (ICARUS Collaboration at Gran Sasso)  |        |
| Measurement of $CP$ asymmetries in $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow ph^-$ decays (15 pages) .....   | 092004 |
| R. Aaij <i>et al.</i> (LHCb Collaboration)  |        |
| Measurement of the branching fractions of $D^+ \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^-\pi^-$ , $\phi\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$ , $K_S^0K^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$ , $K_S^0K^+\eta$ , and $K_S^0K^+\omega$ decays (11 pages) ..... | 092005 |
| M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i> (BESIII Collaboration)   |        |
| Supernova pointing capabilities of DUNE (24 pages) .....  | 092006 |
| A. Abed Abud <i>et al.</i> (DUNE Collaboration)   |        |
| Observation of the decay $\psi(3686) \rightarrow \Sigma^0\bar{\Sigma}^0\omega$ (11 pages) .....   | 092007 |
| M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i> (BESIII Collaboration)   |        |



2470-0010(20250501)111:9;1-K

(Continued)



This paper was highlighted in the APS publication *Physics* (physics.aps.org).

By suggesting a few manuscripts each week, we hope to promote reading across fields. Please see our Announcement Phys. Rev. D **90**, 010001 (2014).

|  |        |
|--|--------|
| Branching fraction measurement of the decay $B^+ \rightarrow \psi(2S)\phi(1020)K^+$ (15 pages) .....   | 092008 |
| R. Aaij <i>et al.</i> (LHCb Collaboration)   |        |
| Study of light-meson resonances decaying to $K_S^0 K\pi$ in the $B \rightarrow (K_S^0 K\pi)K$ channels (32 pages) .....  | 092009 |
| R. Aaij <i>et al.</i> (LHCb Collaboration)   |        |
| Data-driven model validation for neutrino-nucleus cross section measurements (28 pages) .....  | 092010 |
| P. Abratenko <i>et al.</i> (MicroBooNE Collaboration)  |        |
| Contrastive learning for robust representations of neutrino data (10 pages) .....  | 092011 |
| Alex Wilkinson, Radi Radev, and Saúl Alonso-Monsalve   |        |
| Improved receiver noise calibration for ADMX axion search: 4.54 to 5.41 $\mu\text{eV}$ (13 pages) .....  | 092012 |
| M. Guzzetti <i>et al.</i> (ADMX Collaboration)   |        |
| Feasibility study of measuring $\Lambda^0 \rightarrow n\pi^0$ using a high-granularity zero-degree calorimeter at the future<br>electron-ion collider (14 pages) .....   | 092013 |
| Sebouh J. Paul, Ryan Milton, Sebastián Morán, Barak Schmookler, and Miguel Arratia   |        |
| Measurement of the Higgs boson mass and width using the four-lepton final state in proton-proton collisions<br>at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV (34 pages) .....   | 092014 |
| A. Hayrapetyan <i>et al.</i> (CMS Collaboration)   |        |
| Fine-tuning machine-learned particle-flow reconstruction for new detector geometries in future colliders (17 pages)<br>Farouk Mokhtar, Joosep Pata, Dolores Garcia, Eric Wulff, Mengke Zhang, Michael Kagan, and Javier Duarte     | 092015 |
| Measurement of the ratio of partial branching fractions of inclusive $\bar{B} \rightarrow X_u \ell \bar{\nu}$ to $\bar{B} \rightarrow X_c \ell \bar{\nu}$ and the ratio of their spectra with<br>hadronic tagging (23 pages) ..... | 092016 |
| M. Hohmann <i>et al.</i> (Belle Collaboration)   |        |
| Exclusive semileptonic decays of $D$ and $D_s$ mesons into orbitally and radially excited states of strange and light<br>mesons (22 pages) .....   | 093001 |
| V. O. Galkin and I. S. Sukhanov  |        |
| Improving target neutron momentum reconstruction using MINER $\nu$ A $\pi^0$ data (7 pages) .....  | 093002 |
| R. K. Pradhan, R. Lalnunluanga, and A. Giri  |        |
| Revealing the origin of neutrino masses through displaced shower searches in the CMS muon system (15 pages) .....  | 093003 |
| Wei Liu, Suchita Kulkarni, and Frank F. Deppisch   |        |
| Cabibbo-favored hadronic weak decays of the $\Xi_c$ in the quark model (19 pages) .....  | 093004 |
| Peng-Yu Niu, Qian Wang, and Qiang Zhao   |        |
| Perturbative and nonperturbative QCD corrections in polarized nucleon structure functions and spin asymmetries of<br>nucleons (21 pages) .....   | 093005 |
| F. Zaidi, M. Sajjad Athar, and S. K. Singh   |        |
| Neutrino masses and mixing: Entering the era of subpercent precision (22 pages) .....  | 093006 |
| Francesco Capozzi, William Giarè, Eligio Lisi, Antonio Marrone, Alessandro Melchiorri, and Antonio Palazzo   |        |
| Explicit estimate of charm rescattering in $B^0 \rightarrow K^0 \bar{\ell} \ell$ (8 pages) .....   | 093007 |
| Gino Isidori, Zachary Polonsky, and Arianna Tinari   |        |
| Proton-box contribution to $a_\mu^{\text{HLbL}}$ (9 pages) .....   | 093008 |
| Emilio J. Estrada, Juan Manuel Márquez, Diego Portillo-Sánchez, and Pablo Roig   |        |
| Deep inelastic scattering cross section uncertainties in tau neutrino appearance measurements (12 pages) .....   | 093009 |
| Tetiana Kozynets, Thomas Stuttard, and D. Jason Koskinen   |        |
| Neutrino-nucleus cross section impacts on neutrino oscillation measurements (10 pages) .....   | 093010 |
| Nina M. Coyle, Shirley Weishi Li, and Pedro A. N. Machado  |        |
| Radiative decays of $X(3872)$ in $D\bar{D}^*$ molecule scenario (9 pages) .....  | 094001 |
| Fan Wang, Gang Li, Shi-Dong Liu, and Qi Wu   |        |
| $\phi$ -meson spectroscopy and diffractive production using two Schrödinger-like equations on the light front (15 pages)<br>Bheemsehan Gurjar, Chandan Mondal, and Satvir Kaur   | 094002 |
| Wide-angle pion- $\Delta(1232)$ photoproduction (11 pages) .....   | 094003 |
| P. Kroll   |        |
| Searching for the $2^+$ partner of the $T_{cs0}(2870)$ in the $B^- \rightarrow D^- D^0 K_S^0$ reaction (7 pages) .....   | 094004 |
| Jing Song, Zi-Ying Yang, and Eulogio Oset  |        |

(Continued)



|  |        |
|--|--------|
| Meson-meson molecular binding potentials in the diabatic dynamical diquark model (11 pages) .....  | 094005 |
| Richard F. Lebed and Steven R. Martinez  |        |
| Speed of sound and trace anomaly in a unified treatment of the two-color diquark superfluid, the pion-condensed high-isospin matter, and the 2SC quark matter (12 pages) ..... | 094006 |
| Kenji Fukushima and Shuhei Minato  |        |
| NNLO QCD corrections to unpolarized and polarized SIDIS (26 pages) .....   | 094007 |
| Saurav Goyal, Roman N. Lee, Sven-Olaf Moch, Vaibhav Pathak, Narayan Rana, and V. Ravindran   |        |
| Five-point functions and the permutation group $S_5$ (20 pages) .....  | 094008 |
| Gernot Eichmann and Raul D. Torres   |        |
| Updating TMD parton densities in a proton within the Kimber-Martin-Ryskin approach (16 pages) .....  | 094009 |
| A. V. Kotikov and A. V. Lipatov  |        |
| Soft modes in hot QCD matter (15 pages) .....  | 094010 |
| Jens Braun, Yong-rui Chen, Wei-jie Fu, Fei Gao, Chuang Huang, Friederike Ihssen, Jan M. Pawlowski, Fabian Rennecke, Franz R. Sattler, Yang-yang Tan, Rui Wen, and Shi Yin      |        |
| Gluon gravitational form factors of the proton in a light-front spectator model (15 pages) .....   | 094011 |
| Amrita Sain, Poonam Choudhary, Bheemsehan Gurjar, Chandan Mondal, Dipankar Chakrabarti, and Asmita Mukherjee   |        |
| Basis light-front quantization for the $\Lambda_b$ and $\Sigma_b$ baryons (18 pages) .....   | 094012 |
| Lingdi Meng, Tian-Cai Peng, Zhi Hu, Siqi Xu, Jiangshan Lan, Chandan Mondal, Guo-Li Wang, Xingbo Zhao, and James P. Vary (BLFQ Collaboration)                                   |        |
| Systematic uncertainties from higher-twist corrections in DIS at large $x$ (18 pages) .....  | 094013 |
| Matteo Cerutti, Alberto Accardi, I. P. Fernando, Shujie Li, J. F. Owens, and Sanghwa Park  |        |
| Unified and optimal frame choice for generalized parton distributions (7 pages) .....  | 094014 |
| Jian-Wei Qiu, Nobuo Sato, and Zhite Yu   |        |
| Toward modeling the short-range interactions of hidden and open charm pentaquark molecular states (19 pages) .....   | 094015 |
| Ru Xu, Lu Meng, Hai-Xiang Zhu, Ning Li, and Wei Chen   |        |
| Self-consistent analysis for the $\eta_c \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ process (7 pages) .....   | 094016 |
| Sheng-Quan Wang, Zhu-Yu Ren, Jian-Ming Shen, Xing-Gang Wu, Leonardo Di Giustino, and Stanley J. Brodsky  |        |
| Gluon contribution to the angular momentum distribution of a dressed quark state (25 pages) .....  | 094017 |
| Asmita Mukherjee, Sudeep Saha, and Ravi Singh  |        |
| Clustering logarithms up to six loops (10 pages) .....   | 094018 |
| K. Khelifa-Kerfa   |        |
| Next-to-leading order QCD corrections to $B_c^* \rightarrow J/\psi$ form factors (15 pages) .....  | 094019 |
| Qin Chang, Wei Tao, Zhen-Jun Xiao, and Ruilin Zhu  |        |
| Holographic QCD running coupling constant from the Ricci flow (11 pages) .....   | 094020 |
| Héctor Cancio and Pere Masjuan   |        |
| Relativistic energy-momentum tensor distributions in a polarized nucleon (19 pages) .....  | 094021 |
| Ho-Yeon Won and Cédric Lorcé   |        |
| Constraining the $DDD^*$ three-body bound state via the $Z_c(3900)$ pole (18 pages) .....  | 094022 |
| Hai-Xiang Zhu, Lu Meng, Yao Ma, Ning Li, Wei Chen, and Shi-Lin Zhu   |        |
| Extending the bridge connecting chiral Lagrangians and QCD Gaussian sum rules for low-energy hadronic physics (21 pages) .....   | 094023 |
| Amir H. Fariborz, J. Ho, and T. G. Steele  |        |
| Spectrum and decay properties of the charmed mesons involving the coupled channel effects (11 pages) .....   | 094024 |
| Wei Hao, M. Atif Sultan, and En Wang   |        |
| Critical dynamics of model $H$ within the real-time FRG approach (10 pages) .....  | 094025 |
| Yong-rui Chen, Yang-yang Tan, and Wei-jie Fu   |        |
| QCD moat regime and its real-time properties (20 pages) .....  | 094026 |
| Wei-jie Fu, Jan M. Pawlowski, Robert D. Pisarski, Fabian Rennecke, Rui Wen, and Shi Yin  |        |
| Single spin asymmetry in forward $pA$ collisions from the Pomeron-odderon interference (15 pages) .....  | 094027 |
| Sanjin Benić and Eric Andreas Vivoda   |        |

(Continued)



|   |        |
|---|--------|
| Efficient computation of one-loop Feynman integrals and fixed-branch integrals to high orders in $\epsilon$ (7 pages) .....   | 094028 |
| Rui-Jun Huang, Dong-Shan Jian, Yan-Qing Ma, Dao-Ming Mu, and Wen-Hao Wu   |        |
| Reappraisal of the rho meson in nuclear matter by the inverse QCD sum rules method (16 pages) .....   | 094029 |
| Halil Mutuk   |        |
| Phase stability in the three-dimensional open-source code for the chiral mean-field model (67 pages) .....  | 094030 |
| Nikolas Cruz-Camacho, Rajesh Kumar, Mateus Reinke Pelicer, Jeff Peterson, T. Andrew Manning, Roland Haas, Veronica Dexheimer, and Jaquelyn Noronha-Hostler (MUSES Collaboration)  |        |
| First observation of antiproton annihilation at rest on argon in the LArIAT experiment (10 pages) .....   | 094031 |
| V. Basque, R. Acciarri, J. Asaadi, M. Backfish, W. Badgett, F. Cavanna, W. Flanagan, W. Foreman, R. A. Gomes, E. Gramellini, M. A. Hernandez-Morquecho, J. Ho, E. Kearns, E. Kemp, M. King, T. Kobilarcik, P. Kryczykński, B. R. Littlejohn, A. Marchionni, C. A. Moura, J. L. Raaf, D. Schmitz, M. Soderberg, J. M. St. John, and A. M. Szelc (LArIAT Collaboration) |        |
| ALARIC parton shower for hadron colliders (21 pages) .....  | 094032 |
| Stefan Höche, Frank Krauss, and Daniel Reichelt   |        |
| All-charm tetraquark and its contribution to two-photon processes (16 pages) .....  | 094033 |
| Panagiotis Kalamidas and Marc Vanderhaeghen   |        |
| Convergence properties of the $T'$ -expansion scheme: Hadron resonance gas and the cluster expansion model (11 pages) .....   | 094034 |
| Micheal Kahangirwe, Claudia Ratti, Volodymyr Vovchenko, Irene Gonzalez, and Jorge A. Muñoz  |        |
| QCD corrections for subleading powers in $1/m_b$ for the nonleptonic $b \rightarrow c\bar{c}s$ transition (12 pages) .....  | 094035 |
| Thomas Mannel, Daniel Moreno, and Alexei A. Pivovarov   |        |
| Role of the short-range dynamics in the formation of $D^{(*)}\bar{D}^{(*)}$ and $B^{(*)}\bar{B}^{(*)}$ hadronic molecules (13 pages) .....  | 094036 |
| Nijjati Yalikun, Xiang-Kun Dong, and Ulf-G. Meißner   |        |
| $\phi$ meson in nuclear matter and atomic nuclei (15 pages) .....   | 094037 |
| Arpita Mondal and Amruta Mishra   |        |
| Hydrogenlike molecules composed of $D_1D_1$ , $D_1D_2^*$ , and $D_2^*D_2^*$ (9 pages) .....   | 094038 |
| Hu-Hua He, Mao-Jun Yan, Chun-Sheng An, and Cheng-Rong Deng  |        |
| $P$ -wave single charmed baryons of the $SU(3)$ flavor $\bar{\mathbf{3}}_F$ (16 pages) .....  | 094039 |
| Xuan Luo, Yi-Jie Wang, and Hua-Xing Chen  |        |
| Next-to-leading-order QCD corrections to double prompt $J/\psi$ hadroproduction (10 pages) .....  | 094040 |
| Zhi-Guo He, Xiao-Bo Jin, and Bernd A. Kniehl  |        |
| Accurate and robust methods for direct background estimation in resonant anomaly detection (16 pages) .....   | 094041 |
| Ranit Das, Thorben Finke, Marie Hein, Gregor Kasieczka, Michael Krämer, Alexander Mück, and David Shih  |        |
| Two-photon transition form factor of $\eta_{c,b}(nS)$ and $\chi_{c0,b0}(nP)$ via relativized mock meson states (11 pages) .....   | 094042 |
| Tian-Cheng Ding, Jian Huang, and Muyang Chen  |        |
| Properties of the QCD axion in two-flavor color superconductive matter with massive quarks (17 pages) .....   | 094043 |
| Zhao Zhang and Wenhao Zhao  |        |
| NLO QCD parton shower matching for $pp \rightarrow e^+\nu_e\mu^-\bar{\nu}_\mu\gamma + X$ (11 pages) .....   | 094044 |
| Ivan Rosario, Francisco Campanario, and Simon Plätzer   |        |
| Imprints of QCD cascades in hadron multiplicity distributions (6 pages) .....   | 094045 |
| Maciej Rybczyński and Zbigniew Włodarczyk   |        |
| Revisiting the line shape of $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi\eta$ cross section (13 pages) .....   | 094046 |
| Chang-Man Gan, Jun-Kang He, Wen-Long Sang, and Min-Zhen Zhou  |        |
| Role of $a_0(1710)$ in the $D_s^+ \rightarrow \rho^+\phi, \rho^+\omega$ decays (7 pages) .....  | 094047 |
| Jing Song, Zi-Ying Yang, and Eulogio Oset   |        |
| Critical behavior in the single flavor Thirring model in $(2+1)D$ with Wilson kernel domain wall fermions (10 pages) .....  | 094501 |
| Simon Hands and Jude Worthy   |        |
| Emergent hydrodynamic mode on $SU(2)$ plaquette chains and quantum simulation (14 pages) .....  | 094502 |
| Francesco Turro and Xiaojun Yao   |        |

(Continued)



PART B

|   |        |
|---|--------|
| Path optimization method for the sign problem caused by the fermion determinant ( <i>8 pages</i> ) .....  | 094503 |
| Kazuki Hisayoshi, Kouji Kashiwa, Yusuke Namekawa, and Hayato Takase   |        |
| Quantum sampling on a quantum annealer for large volumes in the strong coupling limit for gauge group $U(3)$ ( <i>11 pages</i> ) .....  | 094504 |
| Jangho Kim, Thomas Luu, and Wolfgang Unger  |        |
| Critical behavior of the Schwinger model via gauge-invariant variational uniform matrix product states ( <i>10 pages</i> ) ....   | 094505 |
| Hirotsugu Fujii, Kohei Fujikura, Yoshio Kikukawa, Takuya Okuda, and Juan W. Pedersen  |        |
| Lattice QCD calculation of the $\eta$ and $\eta'$ meson masses at the physical point using rooted staggered fermions ( <i>15 pages</i> ) .....  | 094506 |
| Willem E. A. Verplanke, Zoltan Fodor, Antoine Gérardin, Jana N. Guenther, Laurent Lellouch, Kalman K. Szabo, Balint C. Toth, and Lukas Varnhorst  |        |
| Quark transverse spin-momentum correlation of the pion from lattice QCD: The Boer-Mulders function ( <i>16 pages</i> ) .....  | 094507 |
| Lisa Walter, Jun Hua, Sebastian Lahrtz, Lingquan Ma, Andreas Schäfer, Hai-Tao Shu, Yushan Su, Peng Sun, Wei Wang, Xiaonu Xiong, Yi-Bo Yang, Jian-Hui Zhang, and Qi-An Zhang (Lattice Parton Collaboration)  |        |
| Hadronic vacuum polarization for the muon $g - 2$ from lattice QCD: Complete short and intermediate windows ( <i>46 pages</i> ) .....   | 094508 |
| Alexei Bazavov, David A. Clarke, Christine T. H. Davies, Carleton DeTar, Aida X. El-Khadra, Elvira Gámiz, Steven Gottlieb, Anthony V. Grebe, Leon Hostetler, William I. Jay, Hwancheol Jeong, Andreas S. Kronfeld, Shaun Lahert, Jack Laiho, G. Peter Lepage, Michael Lynch, Andrew T. Lytle, Craig McNeile, Ethan T. Neil, Curtis T. Peterson, James N. Simone, Jacob W. Sitison, Ruth S. Van de Water, and Alejandro Vaquero (Fermilab Lattice, HPQCD, and MILC Collaborations) |        |
| Roberge-Weiss transition for QCD in a magnetic background ( <i>13 pages</i> ) .....   | 094509 |
| Massimo D'Elia, Lorenzo Maio, Kevin Zambello, and Giuseppe Zanichelli   |        |
| Gluon unpolarized, polarized, and transversity GPDs from lattice QCD: Lorentz-covariant parametrization ( <i>19 pages</i> ) .....   | 094510 |
| Jakob Schoenleber, Raza Sabbir Sufian, Taku Izubuchi, and Yi-Bo Yang  |        |
| Phases of 2D massless QCD with qubit regularization ( <i>29 pages</i> ) .....   | 094511 |
| Hanqing Liu, Tanmoy Bhattacharya, Shailesh Chandrasekharan, and Rajan Gupta   |        |
| One-loop matching factors for singlet quasiparton distribution functions in the hybrid-ratio scheme ( <i>18 pages</i> ) .....   | 094512 |
| Yi-Xian Chen and Jiunn-Wei Chen   |        |
| Lattice QCD calculation of the Compton amplitude subtraction function ( <i>14 pages</i> ) .....   | 094513 |
| K. U. Can, A. Hannaford-Gunn, R. Horsley, P. E. L. Rakow, T. Schar, G. Schierholz, H. Stüben, R. D. Young, and J. M. Zanotti (QCDSF Collaboration)  |        |
| Adiabatic state preparation for digital quantum simulations of QED in $1 + 1D$ ( <i>15 pages</i> ) .....  | 094514 |
| Matteo D'Anna, Marina Krstić Marinković, and Joao C. Pinto Barros   |        |
| Spectral densities from Euclidean lattice correlators via the Mellin transform ( <i>10 pages</i> ) .....  | 094515 |
| Mattia Bruno, Leonardo Giusti, and Matteo Saccardi  |        |
| Finite-temperature phase diagram of the Berenstein-Maldacena-Nastase matrix model on the lattice ( <i>13 pages</i> ) .....  | 094516 |
| Raghav G. Jha, Anosh Joseph, and David Schaich  |        |
| Updated determination of light-cone distribution amplitudes of octet baryons in lattice QCD ( <i>15 pages</i> ) .....   | 094517 |
| G. S. Bali, V. M. Braun, S. Bürger, M. Göckeler, M. Gruber, F. Kaiser, B. A. Kniehl, O. L. Veretin, and P. Wein   |        |
| Influence of center vortices on the overlap quark propagator in dynamical QCD ( <i>16 pages</i> ) .....   | 094518 |
| Adam Virgili, Waseem Kamleh, and Derek B. Leinweber   |        |
| Probing solar heavy neutrinos with heliospheric electrons ( <i>12 pages</i> ) .....   | 095001 |
| Marco Drewes, Jan Heisig, and Valentin Weber  |        |
| Black holes rule out heavy tachyons ( <i>8 pages</i> ) .....  | 095002 |
| Mark P. Hertzberg, Abraham Loeb, and Aidan Morehouse  |        |
| Multistep phase transition and gravitational wave from general $\mathbb{Z}_2$ scalar extensions ( <i>25 pages</i> ) .....   | 095003 |
| Qing-Hong Cao, Katsuya Hashino, Xu-Xiang Li, and Jiang-Hao Yu   |        |
| Probing sub-TeV Higgsinos aided by a machine-learning-based top tagger in the context of trilinear $R$ -parity violating SUSY ( <i>14 pages</i> ) .....   | 095004 |
| Rajneil Baruah, Arghya Choudhury, Kirtiman Ghosh, Subhadeep Mondal, and Rameswar Sahu   |        |
| Probing a low-mass $Z'$ gauge boson at IceCube and prospects for IceCube-Gen2 ( <i>10 pages</i> ) .....   | 095005 |
| Reinaldo Francener, Victor P. Gonçalves, and Diego R. Gratieri  |        |

(Continued)



|   |        |
|---|--------|
| Muon anomalous magnetic moment in the excited fermion paradigm (14 pages) .....   | 095006 |
| M. Rehman, H. Muhammad, O. Panella, and M. E. Gómez   |        |
| Near-quantum-limited axion dark matter search with the ORGAN experiment around 26 $\mu\text{eV}$ (8 pages) .....  | 095007 |
| Aaron P. Quiskamp, Graeme R. Flower, Steven Samuels, Ben T. McAllister, Paul Altin, Eugene N. Ivanov, Maxim Goryachev, and Michael E. Tobar               |        |
| Leptogenesis with Majoron dark matter (14 pages) .....  | 095008 |
| Stephen F. King, Soumen Kumar Manna, Rishav Roshan, and Arunansu Sil  |        |
| Leptonic probes of alternative left-right symmetric models (24 pages) .....   | 095009 |
| Mariana Frank, Benjamin Fuks, Sumit K. Garg, Chayan Majumdar, Poulouse Poulouse, and Supriya Senapati   |        |
| Dark baryon black holes (11 pages) .....  | 095010 |
| Stefano Profumo   |        |
| Angular observables of the four-fold $B \rightarrow K_1(1270, 1400)(\rightarrow VP)\ell^+\ell^-$ decays in and beyond the Standard Model (31 pages) ..... | 095011 |
| Faisal Munir Bhutta, Abdur Rehman, M. Jamil Aslam, Ishtiaq Ahmed, and Saadi Ishaq   |        |
| Triple $Z'$ signatures at $Z$ factories (7 pages) .....   | 095012 |
| Takaaki Nomura and Kei Yagyu  |        |
| General vacuum stability of orbifold gauge breaking and application to asymptotic grand unification (33 pages) .....                                      | 095013 |
| Giacomo Cacciapaglia, Alan S. Cornell, Aldo Deandrea, Wanda Isnard, Roman Pasechnik, Anca Preda, and Zhi-Wei Wang   |        |
| Asymptotic behavior of Lorentz-violating photon fields (22 pages) .....   | 095014 |
| Zhi Xiao and Hao Wang   |        |
| Exploring semirelativistic $p$ -wave dark matter annihilation in minimal Higgs portal near supermassive black hole (15 pages) .....                       | 095015 |
| Chih-Ting Lu, Xiao-Yi Luo, and Zi-Qing Xia  |        |
| Viability of boosted light dark matter in a two-component scenario (26 pages) .....   | 095016 |
| Arindam Basu, Amit Chakraborty, Nilanjana Kumar, and Soumya Sadhukhan   |        |
| Prospects of $Z'$ portal dark matter in $U(1)_{L\mu-L\tau}$ (11 pages) .....  | 095017 |
| Zhen-Wei Wang, Zhi-Long Han, Fei Huang, Yi Jin, and Honglei Li  |        |
| Multicomponent secluded WIMP dark matter and Dirac neutrino masses with an extra Abelian gauge symmetry (16 pages) .....                                  | 095018 |
| Kimy Agudelo, Diego Restrepo, Andrés Rivera, and David Suarez   |        |
| Implications of Higgs mass for hidden sector SUSY breaking (11 pages) .....   | 095019 |
| Howard Baer, Vernon Barger, Jessica Bolich, and Kairui Zhang  |        |
| $B_{(s)} \rightarrow D_{(s)}^{(*)}M$ decays in the presence of final-state interactions (18 pages) .....  | 095020 |
| Albertus Hariwangsa Panuluh, Satoshi Tanaka, and Hiroyuki Umeeda  |        |
| LHC and HL-LHC bounds on visible and invisible $Z'$ decays in the $B-L$ model (7 pages) .....   | 095021 |
| Farinaldo S. Queiroz, Jilberto Zamora-Saa, Ricardo C. Silva, and Y. M. Oviedo-Torres  |        |
| Haloscope searching for dark photons in the $Q$ band with a novel coupling tuning structure (6 pages) .....   | 095022 |
| Yue Yin, Runqi Kang, Man Jiao, and Xing Rong  |        |
| Origin of CKM matrix and natural FCNC suppression in gauge-Higgs unification (17 pages) .....   | 095023 |
| Yutaka Hosotani, Shuichiro Funatsu, Hisaki Hatanaka, Yuta Orikasa, and Naoki Yamatsu  |        |
| New study of the interactions of the axion with mesons and photons using a chiral effective Lagrangian model (13 pages) .....                             | 095024 |
| Enrico Meggiolaro and Mirko Tamburini   |        |
| Noble dark matter: Surprising elusiveness of dark baryons (26 pages) .....  | 095025 |
| Pouya Asadi, Austin Batz, and Graham D. Kribs   |        |
| Search for charged Higgs bosons through vectorlike top quark pair production at the LHC (13 pages) .....  | 095026 |
| A. Arhrib, R. Benbrik, M. Berrouj, M. Boukidi, and B. Manaut  |        |
| Constraining the isotropic $CPT$ -odd coefficients of the standard model extension by a combined DUNE and ESSnuSB analysis (11 pages) .....               | 095027 |
| Luis A. Delgadillo, O. G. Miranda, G. Moreno-Granados, and C. A. Moura  |        |
| Unravelling new physics signals at the HL-LHC with EIC and FPF constraints (13 pages) .....   | 095028 |
| Elie Hammou and Maria Ubiali  |        |

(Continued)



|   |        |
|---|--------|
| Time-delayed gamma-ray signatures of heavy axions from core-collapse supernovae (25 pages) .....  | 095029 |
| Joshua N. Benabou, Claudio Andrea Manzari, Yujin Park, Garima Prabhakar,<br>Benjamin R. Safdi, and Inbar Savoray  |        |
| Direct detection of dark baryons naturally suppressed by $\mathcal{H}$ -parity (21 pages) .....   | 095030 |
| Pouya Asadi, Graham D. Kribs, and Chester J. Hamilton Mantel  |        |
| Dark plasmas in the nonlinear regime: Constraints from particle-in-cell simulations (13 pages) .....  | 095031 |
| William DeRocco and Pierce Giffin   |        |
| Explaining the $B_{d(s)} \rightarrow K^{(*)} \bar{K}^{(*)}$ puzzle via a chiral flip in the $R$ -parity violating MSSM with the seesaw<br>mechanism (16 pages) .....                          | 095032 |
| Min-Di Zheng, Qi-Liang Wang, Li-Fen Lai, and Hong-Hao Zhang   |        |
| Systematic investigation on vector dark matter-nucleus scattering in effective field theories (27 pages) .....  | 095033 |
| Jin-Han Liang, Yi Liao, Xiao-Dong Ma, and Hao-Lin Wang  |        |
| Global neutrino constraints on the minimal $U(1)_{L_\mu-L_\tau}$ model (11 pages) .....   | 095034 |
| Masahiro Ibe, Satoshi Shirai, and Keiichi Watanabe  |        |
| Probing a 2HDM type-I light Higgs state via $H_{SM} \rightarrow hh \rightarrow b\bar{b}\gamma\gamma$ at the LHC (7 pages) .....   | 095035 |
| A. Arhrib, S. Moretti, S. Semrali, C. H. Shepherd-Themistocleous, Y. Wang, and Q. S. Yan  |        |
| Efficient quantum simulation of QCD jets on the light front (26 pages) .....  | 096001 |
| Wenyang Qian, Meijian Li, Carlos A. Salgado, and Michael Kreshchuk  |        |
| Feshbach resonances and dynamics of BPS solitons (12 pages) .....   | 096002 |
| Alberto García Martín-Caro, Jose Queiruga, and Andrzej Wereszczynski  |        |
| $B_c$ , $B_s$ , and $D_s$ production at lepton-hadron colliders (7 pages) .....   | 096003 |
| Qi-Wei Hu, Cong-Feng Qiao, and Li-Ping Sun  |        |
| Summing large Pomeron loops in the saturation region: Dipole-nucleus collision beyond nonlinear equations (12 pages)<br>Eugene Levin  | 096004 |
| Direct expression for one-loop tensor reduction with Lorentz indices via a generating function (28 pages) .....   | 096005 |
| Chang Hu, Yifan Hu, and Jiyuan Shen   |        |
| Condensation and prescaling in spatial Polyakov loop correlations far from equilibrium (12 pages) .....   | 096006 |
| Daniel Spitz, Kirill Boguslavski, and Thimo Preis   |        |
| Combined study of the isospin-violating decay $D_s^* \rightarrow D_s \pi^0$ and radiative decay $D_s^* \rightarrow D_s \gamma$ with intermediate meson<br>loops (14 pages) .....              | 096007 |
| Jun Wang and Qiang Zhao   |        |
| Topological susceptibility in the superconductive phases of quantum chromodynamics: A Dyson-Schwinger<br>perspective (17 pages) .....   | 096008 |
| Fabrizio Murgana, Giorgio Comitini, and Marco Ruggieri  |        |
| Toward quantum tunneling from excited states: Recovering imaginary-time instantons from a real-time analysis (17 pages)<br>Thomas Steingasser and David I. Kaiser                             | 096009 |
| $Q$ -ball perturbations with more details: Linear analysis vs lattice (22 pages) .....  | 096010 |
| Aleksandr Azatov, Quoc Trung Ho, and Mohamed Mahdi Khalil   |        |
| Investigating the Seebeck effect of the QGP medium using a novel relaxation time approximation model (16 pages)<br>Anowar Shaikh, Shubhalaxmi Rath, Sadhana Dash, and Binata Panda            | 096011 |
| Entering the overcritical regime of nonlinear Breit-Wheeler pair production in collisions of bremsstrahlung $\gamma$ -rays and<br>superintense, tightly focused laser pulses (13 pages) ..... | 096012 |
| I. Elsner, A. Golub, S. Villalba-Chávez, and C. Müller  |        |
| Charmful two-body $\Omega_b$ decays in the light-front quark model (12 pages) .....   | 096013 |
| Yan-Li Wang, Yu-Kuo Hsiao, Kai-Lei Wang, and Chong-Chung Lih  |        |
| Viscous effects of a hot QGP medium in a time dependent magnetic field and their phenomenological<br>significance (24 pages) .....  | 096014 |
| Debarshi Dey, Gowthama K. K., Sadhana Dash, and Basanta Kumar Nandi   |        |
| Impact-parameter-dependent solutions to the Balitsky-Kovchegov equation at next-to-leading order (12 pages) .....   | 096015 |
| J. Cepila, J. G. Contreras, M. Matas, and M. Vaculciak  |        |
| Mass spectra and wave functions of toponia (7 pages) .....  | 096016 |
| Guo-Li Wang, Tai-Fu Feng, and Ya-Qian Wang  |        |

(Continued)



CONTENTS - *Continued*

PHYSICAL REVIEW D

THIRD SERIES, VOLUME 111, NUMBER 9

D1 MAY 2025

|  |        |
|--|--------|
| Event generation and consistency tests with sliced Wasserstein distance in high-energy physics (9 pages) ..... | 096017 |
| Chu-Cheng Pan, Xiang Dong, Yu-Chang Sun, Ao-Yan Cheng, Ao-Bo Wang,<br>Yu-Xuan Hu, Hao Cai, and Wei Wang        |        |
| Investigating the nature of $N(1535)$ and $\Lambda(1405)$ in a quenched chiral quark model (13 pages) .....    | 096018 |
| Yue Tan, Zi-Xuan Ma, Xiaoyun Chen, Xiaohuang Hu, Youchang Yang, Qi Huang, and Jialun Ping                      |        |
| Rho-meson screening mass in the presence of strong magnetic fields (9 pages) .....                             | 096019 |
| L. A. Hernández, Juan D. Martínez-Sánchez, and R. Zamora   |        |
| Critical unstable qubits: An application to the $B^0\bar{B}^0$ meson system (24 pages) .....                   | 096020 |
| Dimitrios Karamitros, Thomas McKelvey, and Apostolos Pilaftsis   |        |
| Quasielastic lepton-nucleus scattering and the correlated Fermi gas model (21 pages) .....                     | 096021 |
| Bhubanjyoti Bhattacharya, Sam Carey, Erez O. Cohen, and Gil Paz  |        |
| Optimization and stabilization of functional renormalization group flows (16 pages) .....                      | 096022 |
| Niklas Zorbach, Jonas Stoll, and Jens Braun  |        |
| Systematic study of $D_{s0}^*(2317)$ in quark model (11 pages) .....   | 096023 |
| Yue Tan, Yuheng Wu, Youchang Yang, and Jialun Ping   |        |
| Hamiltonian flow equations in the Daubechies wavelet basis (23 pages) .....                                    | 096024 |
| Mrinmoy Basak and Raghunath Ratabole   |        |
| Homotopy approach for scattering amplitude for running QCD coupling (20 pages) .....                           | 096025 |
| Carlos Contreras, José Garrido, and Eugene Levin   |        |
| Limitations of the paraxial beam model in the study of quantum vacuum signals (11 pages) .....                 | 096026 |
| Felix Karbstein and Fabian Schütze   |        |

**ERRATA**

|   |        |
|---|--------|
| Erratum: Synchrotron radiation of vector bosons at relativistic colliders [Phys. Rev. D <b>91</b> , 033004 (2015)] (1 pages) .....                      | 099901 |
| Kirill Tuchin   |        |
| Erratum: Measurement of the branching fraction of the decay $J/\psi \rightarrow p\bar{p}\eta$ [Phys. Rev. D <b>110</b> , 072021 (2024)] (2 pages) ..... | 099902 |
| M. Ablikim <i>et al.</i> (BESIII Collaboration)   |        |



**$B_{(s)} \rightarrow D_{(s)}^{(*)} M$  decays in the presence of final-state interactions**Albertus Hariwangsa Panuluh<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Satoshi Tanaka<sup>3,†</sup> and Hiroyuki Umeeda<sup>4,5,6,‡</sup><sup>1</sup>*Department of Physics Education, Faculty of Teacher Training and Education,**Sanata Dharma University, Paingan, Maguwohardjo, Sleman, Yogyakarta 55282, Indonesia*<sup>2</sup>*Physics Program, Graduate School of Advanced Science and Engineering, Hiroshima University, 1-3-1 Kagamiyama, Higashi-Hiroshima 739-8526, Japan*<sup>3</sup>*Scientific Computational Division, Nippon Advanced Information Service (NAIS Co., Inc.), 416 Muramatsu, Tokaimura, Naka-gun, Ibaraki 319-1112, Japan*<sup>4</sup>*Center for Theoretical Physics and College of Physics, Jilin University, Changchun, 130012, China*<sup>5</sup>*China Center of Advanced Science and Technology, Beijing 100190, China*<sup>6</sup>*Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China*

(Received 24 March 2025; accepted 22 April 2025; published 15 May 2025)

In light of the recent data for  $\bar{B}_{(s)} \rightarrow D_{(s)}^{(*)} P$  and  $\bar{B}_{(s)} \rightarrow D_{(s)} V$  decays, we perform a model-independent phenomenological analysis in the presence of quasielastic rescattering. With the Wilson coefficients including contributions beyond the standard model, the lifetimes of the  $B$  meson and  $B_d^0 - \bar{B}_d^0$  mixing are investigated to clarify correlations among the observables. We show that parameter regions for quasielastic rescattering, the size of color-suppressed tree amplitudes, and new physics are constrained due to the lifetime data. As a consequence, it is revealed that this scenario can be tested by the future LHCb measurement of the width difference in  $B_d^0 - \bar{B}_d^0$  mixing and semileptonic  $CP$  asymmetry.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.111.095020

**I. INTRODUCTION**

Decays of  $B$  mesons played an important role in testing the standard model (SM), as well as possible new physics (NP) contributions. Of the specific decay modes, non-leptonic channels are rather challenging processes in the context of strong interactions. A theoretical framework for these decays can be given by the QCD factorization (QCDF) approach [1]. In particular, it has been shown that for decays into heavy-light final states, such as  $\bar{B}_d \rightarrow D^+ \pi^-$ , vertex corrections are dominated by hard gluon exchange for large  $m_b$  (see Ref. [2] for the factorization proof in the soft-collinear effective theory). Furthermore, there exist no penguin or annihilation diagrams for the mentioned channel. Owing to this

observation,  $\bar{B}_d \rightarrow D^+ \pi^-$  decay is theoretically more tractable than those for light-light final states.

Recently, it was pointed out [3] that there are discrepancies between the experimental data<sup>1</sup> [6] and the prediction of the QCDF approach, where the theoretical analysis is performed at next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO) [7]. It was also found that subleading power corrections, such as that from the three-particle Fock state of the light meson, etc., are not large enough to explain the data.<sup>2</sup> The mentioned circumstance possibly implies that final-state interactions (FSIs) [9,10] are required for the nonleptonic decays and/or NP contributions are present.

In previous works, FSIs were discussed in the Regge theory [11] and addressed in the QCDF approach [1]. A phenomenological framework incorporating FSIs was given by the quasielastic rescattering discussed in Refs. [12–15]: in the limit of SU(3) symmetry, where

\*Contact author: panuluh@usd.ac.id

†Contact author: tanaka.satoshi.college@gmail.com

‡Contact author: umeeda@jlu.edu.cn

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP<sup>3</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>See Ref. [4] for the recent experimental result. As to the theoretical side, recent discussion for  $B \rightarrow DP$  decays in regards to SU(3) breaking was found in Ref. [5].

<sup>2</sup>In another recent work [8], the analysis was carried out in light-cone QCD sum rules, giving an alternative prediction to the QCDF. While explaining the data within uncertainty, it was commented [8] that the additional investigations are required in view of the limited precision in the nonperturbative input.

mesons in the same flavor multiplet degenerate, FSIs are given by a mixing matrix that acts on the amplitudes with specific final states. An observable effect is a change in the relative phase between the amplitudes with final states lying in different SU(3) multiplets, since mixing between states with different quantum numbers does not occur and thus it only alters the phases. Formulated in this way, the quasielastic rescattering gives a tractable approach for including two-body FSIs.

In Ref. [16], it was shown that, even if the quasielastic rescattering is incorporated, the puzzle for the branching ratios cannot be resolved in a reasonable way, in the sense that color-allowed and color-suppressed processes are not simultaneously explained, with an overall coefficient of the color-suppressed tree amplitude treated as a free parameter. In this circumstance, the possibility that NP is affecting the short-distance Wilson coefficients is not straightforwardly ruled out and was investigated [16] with the FSIs, where parameter regions are more extended as compared to the case without rescattering. See Refs. [17–20] for further studies in the context beyond the SM.

It is worth noting that the aforementioned scenario with NP is supposed to confront constraints from other observables with nonleptonic transitions. This was pointed out in Ref. [3] (see also Ref. [21]), while dedicated numerical results were obtained in Ref. [22]. In particular, the total widths of the  $B$  meson and  $B_d^0 - \bar{B}_d^0$  mixing (see Refs. [23,24] for recent analyses) are considered as constraints on the NP scenario. For the former, a lifetime ratio  $\tau(B^+)/\tau(B_d)$  plays a particularly suitable role, since theoretical uncertainty is better controlled and is characterized by the contribution of Pauli interference.

In this work, we carry out a phenomenological analysis of  $B_{(s)} \rightarrow D_{(s)}^{(*)} M$  in the presence of the quasielastic rescattering and clarify its correlation with  $\tau(B^+)/\tau(B_d)$  and  $B_d^0 - \bar{B}_d^0$  mixing. We show that these observables lead to constraints and/or predictions of the scenario in which rescattering contributions are involved in  $B_{(s)} \rightarrow D_{(s)}^{(*)} M$  decays. In particular, it is demonstrated that some of the model-parameter space is significantly constrained to explain the observables. As a resulting prediction, the width difference ( $\Delta\Gamma_d$ ) and the semileptonic  $CP$  asymmetry ( $\mathcal{A}_{\text{SL}}^d$ ) are evaluated.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, a basic framework for quasielastic rescattering is introduced for  $B \rightarrow DM$  decays. The constraints from branching ratios on the model parameters are obtained in an analytical manner for both  $b \rightarrow c\bar{u}s$  and  $b \rightarrow c\bar{u}d$  transitions. The SU(3) symmetry breaking is considered within the formalism for the latter processes. In Secs. III and IV,  $B$ -meson lifetimes and  $B^0 - \bar{B}^0$  mixing are respectively discussed. In Sec. V, the phenomenological analysis is given for the mentioned observables. The correlation patterns for QCD factorization parameters and the rescattering angle satisfying the phenomenological constraints are obtained numerically. We

show that this scenario can be testable via  $\Delta\Gamma_d$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{\text{SL}}^d$  with future LHCb measurements. Finally, concluding remarks are given in Sec. VI.

## II. $B \rightarrow DM$ DECAYS

In this section, we investigate  $B$ -meson nonleptonic decays into two-body exclusive final states that include a charmed meson. The effective Hamiltonian relevant for  $b \rightarrow c\bar{q}_2q_3$  ( $q_2 = u, c, q_3 = d, s$ ) is given by

$$\mathcal{H}_W = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ V_{cb} V_{q_2q_3}^* \sum_{i=1}^2 c_i Q_i^{\bar{q}_2q_3} - V_{tb} V_{tq_3}^* \left( \sum_{i=3}^6 c_i Q_i^{q_3} + c_8 Q_8^{q_3} \right) \right]. \quad (2.1)$$

The definitions of the operators that appear in Eq. (2.1) are given in Appendix B 1. The radiative QCD corrections to the Wilson coefficient can be obtained in Ref. [25] and references therein, with a certain care of the difference in the notation.

### A. Quasielastic rescattering

Here we recapitulate the FSI discussed in Refs. [12–15]; see also Ref. [16]. Decay amplitudes without FSIs are given by vector notations and classified as  $A_{S,I_z}$ , where  $S$  and  $I_z$  denote the strangeness and the diagonalized component of isospin,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{-1,0} &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^+ K^-) \\ \mathcal{A}(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^0 \bar{K}^0) \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathcal{A}_{1,-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}(\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^+ \pi^-) \\ \mathcal{A}(\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow D^0 K^0) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

The FSIs can be taken into account by the quasielastic scattering; due to  $\bar{3} \times 8 = \bar{15} + 6 + \bar{3}$  for the final state that consists of  $D\Pi$ , where  $\Pi$  is an SU(3) octet state, the rescattering matrix is decomposed as [12–14]

$$\begin{aligned} S^{1/2} &= e^{i\delta_{\bar{15}}} |\bar{15}; a\rangle \langle \bar{15}; a| + e^{i\delta_6} |6; b\rangle \langle 6; b| \\ &+ \sum_{m,n=\bar{3},\bar{3}'} |m; c\rangle \mathcal{U}_{mn}^{1/2} \langle n; c|. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

For the  $\bar{15}$  and 6 terms in Eq. (2.3), in the limit of the flavor symmetry, the final states with definitive quantum numbers such as isospin do not mix under the FSIs and thus the rescattering merely alters the phase of the amplitude. In contrast to this case, for the last term in Eq. (2.3), one needs to take account of the mixing between  $\bar{3}$  and  $\bar{3}'$  states in the presence of the SU(3) singlet state that consists of light flavors accompanied by a  $D$  meson. This is represented as  $2 \times 2$  matrix given by  $\mathcal{U}_{mn}^{1/2}$  in Eq. (2.3).

Incorporating the FSIs, the amplitudes in Eq. (2.2) are modified as

$$\mathcal{A}_{S,I_z}^f = V_{S,I_z}^{-1} S_{S,I_z}^{1/2} V_{S,I_z} \mathcal{A}_{S,I_z}, \quad (2.4)$$

where  $S_{S,I_z}^{1/2}$  represents the rescattering matrix for specific quantum numbers, while  $V_{S,I_z}$  is a diagonal matrix defined by [15,16]

$$V_{-1,0} = \text{diag}(1, 1), \quad V_{1,-1} = \text{diag}\left(1, \frac{f_{D_s} f_\pi}{f_{D^*} f_K}\right). \quad (2.5)$$

Due to Eq. (2.5), SU(3) breaking for the rescattering is included in  $b \rightarrow c\bar{u}d$  via the decay constants, but not in  $b \rightarrow c\bar{u}s$ . If we consider the state with  $S = -1$  and  $I_z = 0$  as an example, the rescattering matrix that mixes  $D^+ K^-$  and  $D^0 \bar{K}^0$  final states can be obtained from components of the SU(3) representations,

$$\bar{15}(S = -1, I = 1): \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|D^+ K^- \rangle + |D^0 \bar{K}^0 \rangle), \quad (2.6)$$

$$6(S = -1, I = 0): \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|D^+ K^- \rangle - |D^0 \bar{K}^0 \rangle), \quad (2.7)$$

without antitriplet states. Likewise, the decomposition of  $S = 1, I_z = -1$  can also be obtained. The above relations are readily solved with respect to  $|D^+ K^- \rangle$  and  $|D^0 \bar{K}^0 \rangle$ . By acting the matrix in Eq. (2.3) on those states for both  $S = -1, I_z = 0$  and  $S = 1, I_z = -1$ , one can obtain [12]

$$S_{-1,0}^{1/2} = S_{1,-1}^{1/2} = \frac{e^{i\delta_{\bar{15}}}}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + e^{i\delta'} & 1 - e^{i\delta'} \\ 1 - e^{i\delta'} & 1 + e^{i\delta'} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \delta' = \delta_6 - \delta_{\bar{15}}, \quad (2.8)$$

where the overall phase denoted by  $\delta_{\bar{15}}$  cancels out when the branching ratios are calculated. It should be noted that for the above two choices of strangeness and isospin, the antitriplet term in Eq. (2.3) is not involved in the discussion.

In the following sections, we also discuss processes with final states of  $S = 0, I_z = 3/2$  and  $S = 1, I_z = 1$ , corresponding, e.g., to  $B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 \pi^+$  and  $B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 K^+$ . These cases do not undergo the rescattering since there are no other decay channels that mix together. Hence, the rescattering is considered for the  $S = -1, I_z = 0$  and  $S = 1, I_z = -1$  cases (or their  $CP$ -conjugate processes) individually.

## B. Branching ratios

In this section, relations constraining parameters of the QCDF approach and rescattering from branching ratios of  $B$ -meson two-body decays are obtained. For definitiveness, the discussion of  $\bar{B} \rightarrow D\bar{K}$ , which proceeds via  $b \rightarrow c\bar{u}s$ , is given first. Subsequently, other processes with  $b \rightarrow c\bar{u}d$  transitions are also analyzed. The resulting relations in Eqs. (2.16)–(2.18) and (2.29)–(2.31) play a major role in the numerical analysis.

### 1. $b \rightarrow c\bar{u}s$

Below,  $\bar{B} \rightarrow D\bar{K}$  with the final state that consists of two pseudoscalars is discussed first. In the presence of the rescattering, branching ratios of the nonleptonic decays are

$$\text{Br}^{ij} \equiv \text{Br}[P \rightarrow M_1^i M_2^j] = \frac{\tau^{ij} p_{\text{cm}} [P \rightarrow M_1^i M_2^j]}{8\pi m_P^2} |V_{cb} V_{us}^*|^2 |\mathcal{A}_f [P \rightarrow M_1^i M_2^j]|^2, \quad (2.9)$$

with  $(i, j) = (+, -), (0, 0), (0, -)$ , and  $\tau^{ij}$  denoting a lifetime of the initial particle, which is  $\tau(B^+), \tau(B_d)$ , or  $\tau(B_s)$ . In Eq. (2.9),  $p_{\text{cm}}$  is a momentum of either particle in the final state defined in the rest frame of the initial particle,

$$p_{\text{cm}} [P \rightarrow M_1 M_2] = \frac{1}{2m_P} \sqrt{[m_P^2 - (m_{M_1} + m_{M_2})^2][m_P^2 - (m_{M_1} - m_{M_2})^2]}. \quad (2.10)$$

In Eq. (2.9), the subscript  $f$  represents the presence of FSIs.

In the case without rescattering, the processes are represented by topological amplitudes,

$$\mathcal{A}^{+-} \equiv \mathcal{A}[\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^+ K^-] = T_{DK}, \quad (2.11)$$

$$\mathcal{A}^{00} \equiv \mathcal{A}[\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^0 \bar{K}^0] = C_{DK}, \quad (2.12)$$

$$\mathcal{A}^{0-} \equiv \mathcal{A}[B^- \rightarrow D^0 K^-] = T_{DK} + C_{DK}, \quad (2.13)$$

where  $T_{DK}$  and  $C_{DK}$  are color-allowed and -suppressed tree diagrams, respectively. In the QCDF approach [1], these amplitudes are evaluated as

$$T_{DK} = N_{DK}^T a_1, \quad C_{DK} = N_{DK}^C a_2^{\text{eff}}. \quad (2.14)$$

In the above relation,  $N_{DK}^{T(C)}$  is a normalization factor that is a product of the Fermi constant, the decay constant, and the form factor defined in Eq. (A1). For later convenience, we introduce the notation

$$\bar{a}_2 = (N_{DK}^C a_2^{\text{eff}})/(N_{DK}^T a_1). \quad (2.15)$$

By using the three relations for  $(i, j) = (+, -), (0, 0)$ , and  $(0, -)$  in Eq. (2.9), one can determine  $\text{Re}(\bar{a}_2)$ ,  $\text{Im}(\bar{a}_2)$ , and  $\delta'$  with the branching ratio data and a given value of  $a_1$ . With the derivation discussed in Appendix A 1, the results read

$$\text{Re}(\bar{a}_2) = \frac{(\tau^{+-}/\tau^{0-})\text{Br}^{0-} - \text{Br}^{+-} - \text{Br}^{00}}{2\mathcal{N}_{DK}}, \quad (2.16)$$

$$\text{Im}(\bar{a}_2) = \pm \sqrt{\frac{\text{Br}^{+-} + \text{Br}^{00}}{\mathcal{N}_{DK}} - 1 - [\text{Re}(\bar{a}_2)]^2}, \quad (2.17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta' = & \text{Arcsin} \left[ \frac{\text{Br}^{+-} - \text{Br}^{00}}{\mathcal{N}_{DK} \sqrt{A_{DK}^2 + B_{DK}^2}} \right] - \omega_{DK}, \\ & \times \pi - \text{Arcsin} \left[ \frac{\text{Br}^{+-} - \text{Br}^{00}}{\mathcal{N}_{DK} \sqrt{\bar{A}_{DK}^2 + \bar{B}_{DK}^2}} \right] - \omega_{DK} \pmod{2\pi}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

where the definitions of  $\mathcal{N}_{DK}$ ,  $A_{DK}$ ,  $B_{DK}$ , and  $\omega_{DK}$  are given in Appendix A 1. It should be noted that there are twofold ambiguities for  $\delta'$  and the sign of  $\text{Im}(\bar{a}_2)$ . The solutions in Eqs. (2.16)–(2.18) exist only if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\mathcal{N}_{DK} \neq 0, \quad (2.19)$$

$$\frac{\text{Br}^{+-} + \text{Br}^{00}}{\mathcal{N}_{DK}} - [\text{Re}(\bar{a}_2)]^2 \geq 1, \quad (2.20)$$

$$-1 \leq \frac{\text{Br}^{+-} - \text{Br}^{00}}{\mathcal{N}_{DK} \sqrt{A_{DK}^2 + B_{DK}^2}} \leq 1. \quad (2.21)$$

The above conditions follow from the derivation procedure in Appendix A 1.

In what follows, the cases of  $\bar{B} \rightarrow D\bar{K}^*$  and  $\bar{B} \rightarrow D^*\bar{K}$  decays are discussed to obtain relations similar to Eqs. (2.16)–(2.21). For processes including a vector meson in the final state, a formula for branching ratios analogous to Eq. (2.9) is

$$\text{Br}[P \rightarrow M_1^* M_2] = \frac{\tau_P P_{\text{cm}}[P \rightarrow M_1^* M_2]}{8\pi m_P^2} |V_{cb} V_{us}^*|^2 \sum_{\epsilon} |\mathcal{A}_f[P \rightarrow M_1^* M_2]|^2, \quad (2.22)$$

$$\text{Br}[P \rightarrow M_1 M_2^*] = \frac{\tau_P P_{\text{cm}}[P \rightarrow M_1 M_2^*]}{8\pi m_P^2} |V_{cb} V_{us}^*|^2 \sum_{\epsilon} |\mathcal{A}_f[P \rightarrow M_1 M_2^*]|^2. \quad (2.23)$$

For the amplitudes in Eqs. (2.22) and (2.23), the polarization is factored out as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_f[P \rightarrow M_1^* M_2] &= (\epsilon^* \cdot p_B) \bar{\mathcal{A}}_f[P \rightarrow M_1^* M_2], \\ \mathcal{A}_f[P \rightarrow M_1 M_2^*] &= (\epsilon^* \cdot p_B) \bar{\mathcal{A}}_f[P \rightarrow M_1 M_2^*]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

By evaluating the polarization sum,

$$\sum_{\epsilon} |\epsilon^* \cdot p_B|^2 = \left( \frac{m_B}{m_V} p_{\text{cm}} \right)^2, \quad (2.25)$$

the branching ratios in Eqs. (2.22) and (2.23) are recast into the forms

$$\text{Br}[P \rightarrow M_1^* M_2] = \frac{\tau_P P_{\text{cm}}^3[P \rightarrow M_1^* M_2]}{8\pi m_{M_1}^2} |V_{cb} V_{us}^*|^2 |\bar{\mathcal{A}}_f[P \rightarrow M_1^* M_2]|^2, \quad (2.26)$$

$$\text{Br}[P \rightarrow M_1 M_2^*] = \frac{\tau_P P_{\text{cm}}^3[P \rightarrow M_1 M_2^*]}{8\pi m_{M_2}^2} |V_{cb} V_{us}^*|^2 |\bar{\mathcal{A}}_f[P \rightarrow M_1 M_2^*]|^2. \quad (2.27)$$

One can also obtain the resulting relations in Eqs. (2.16)–(2.21) for  $\bar{B} \rightarrow D\bar{K}^*$  and  $\bar{B} \rightarrow D^*\bar{K}$  by simply replacing  $D \rightarrow D^*$  and  $K \rightarrow K^*$ , respectively, with the proper replacement of data for the branching ratio on the rhs. The definitions of normalization factors for the case including a vector meson are given in Eqs. (A2) and (A3).

## 2. $b \rightarrow c\bar{u}d$

By making some replacements in the previous discussions for  $b \rightarrow c\bar{u}s$  decays, we can also obtain similar results for  $b \rightarrow c\bar{u}d$  decays. In this case, nonvanishing SU(3) breaking for the rescattering in Eq. (2.5) must be taken into account. In addition, mass differences in hadrons for

normalization factors and phase space need to be consistently included, unlike the case of  $b \rightarrow c\bar{u}s$  decays, where the isospin symmetry relates the masses of the relevant particles. The parameters relevant for SU(3) breaking are defined in Appendix A 2.

For  $b \rightarrow c\bar{u}d$ , we introduce a normalized coefficient for color-suppressed tree diagram,

$$\text{Re}(\bar{a}_2) = \frac{(1 + \Delta_{DP}^{(1)}) \frac{\tau^{+-}}{\tau^{0-}} \text{Br}^{0-} - (1 + \Delta_{DP}^{(2)}) [\text{Br}^{+-} + (1 + \Delta_{DP}^{(3)}) \text{Br}^{00}]}{2\mathcal{N}_{D_s^+ \pi^-}} - \frac{1}{2} (1 + \Delta_{DP}^{(2)}) \Delta_{DP}^{(4)}, \quad (2.29)$$

$$\text{Im}(\bar{a}_2) = \pm \sqrt{(1 + \Delta_{DP}^{(5)}) \left[ \frac{\text{Br}^{+-} + (1 + \Delta_{DP}^{(3)}) \text{Br}^{00}}{\mathcal{N}_{D_s^+ \pi^-}} - 1 \right] - [\text{Re}(\bar{a}_2)]^2}, \quad (2.30)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta' &= \text{Arcsin} \left( \frac{\text{Br}^{+-} - (1 + \Delta_{DP}^{(3)}) \text{Br}^{00}}{\mathcal{N}_{D_s^+ \pi^-} \sqrt{A_{DP}^2 + B_{DP}^2}} \right) - \omega_{DP}, \\ &\times \pi - \text{Arcsin} \left( \frac{\text{Br}^{+-} - (1 + \Delta_{DP}^{(3)}) \text{Br}^{00}}{\mathcal{N}_{D_s^+ \pi^-} \sqrt{A_{DP}^2 + B_{DP}^2}} \right) - \omega_{DP} \pmod{2\pi}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.31)$$

where the definitions of  $\Delta_{DP}^{(i)}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, 5$ ),  $\mathcal{N}_{D_s^+ \pi^-}$ ,  $\omega_{DP}$ ,  $A_{DP}$ , and  $B_{DP}$  are given in Appendix A 2. It is found that the twofold ambiguities exist for Eqs. (2.30) and (2.31) and  $b \rightarrow c\bar{u}s$  decays. The solutions in Eqs. (2.29)–(2.31) exist only if the conditions given below are satisfied:

$$\mathcal{N}_{D_s^+ \pi^-} \neq 0, \quad (2.32)$$

$$(1 + \Delta_{DP}^{(5)}) \left[ \frac{\text{Br}^{+-} + (1 + \Delta_{DP}^{(3)}) \text{Br}^{00}}{\mathcal{N}_{D_s^+ \pi^-}} - 1 \right] - [\text{Re}(\bar{a}_2)]^2 \geq 0, \quad (2.33)$$

$$-1 \leq \frac{\text{Br}^{+-} - (1 + \Delta_{DP}^{(3)}) \text{Br}^{00}}{\mathcal{N}_{D_s^+ \pi^-} \sqrt{A_{DP}^2 + B_{DP}^2}} \leq 1. \quad (2.34)$$

As shown in Eqs. (A19) and (A20),  $\Delta_{DP}^{(i)}$  vanishes in the SU(3) limit. Hence, the structures of Eqs. (2.29)–(2.34) for  $b \rightarrow c\bar{u}d$  are reduced to those for  $b \rightarrow c\bar{u}s$  in Eqs. (2.16)–(2.21) in the SU(3) limit. It should be noted that the dependence on heavy-to-light form factors appears solely from  $\Delta_{DP}^{(2)}$  in Eq. (A19).

For other  $b \rightarrow c\bar{u}d$  decays including a vector meson, the result corresponding to  $\bar{B} \rightarrow D^* P$  can be obtained by the replacement of  $D \rightarrow D^*$ , while the one for  $\bar{B} \rightarrow DV$  decay can be given by  $P \rightarrow V$ ,  $K \rightarrow K^*$ , and  $\pi \rightarrow \rho$  in Eqs. (2.29)–(2.34).

$$\bar{a}_2 = (N_C^{D^0 \bar{K}^0} a_2^{\text{eff}}) / (N_T^{D_s^+ \pi^-} a_1). \quad (2.28)$$

The above object is not to be confused with the one for  $b \rightarrow c\bar{u}s$  in Eq. (2.15).

In a way analogous to  $b \rightarrow c\bar{u}s$  decays, solutions of the parameters for  $b \rightarrow c\bar{u}d$  decays are

### III. LIFETIMES OF $B$ MESONS

In this section, we recapitulate how the total width of beauty mesons is evaluated at leading order (LO) in QCD. This observable is analyzed by means of the heavy quark expansion (HQE): after the correlation functions are computed in the Euclidean domain, the expression is analytically continued to the Minkowski region, leading to the  $1/m_b$  expansion for the observable. See Refs. [23,26] for the recent works within the SM.

We restrict ourselves to the isospin limit, where  $\mu_\pi, \mu_G$  for  $B_d$  are identical to those for  $B^+$ . With  $q = u, d$  and  $B_u = B^+$ , the total width is written as

$$\Gamma(B_q) = \Gamma^{2\text{-quark}} + \Gamma_q^{4\text{-quark}}. \quad (3.1)$$

The lifetime ratio is calculated from the above objects,

$$\frac{\tau(B^+)}{\tau(B_d)} = 1 - \frac{\Gamma(B^+) - \Gamma(B_d)}{\Gamma(B^+)} = 1 - \frac{\Gamma_u^{4\text{-quark}} - \Gamma_d^{4\text{-quark}}}{\Gamma(B^+)}. \quad (3.2)$$

In the isospin limit for the matrix elements,  $\tau(B^+)/\tau(B_d) - 1$  is proportional to the spectator effect. In what follows, the two terms in Eq. (3.1) are discussed.

#### A. Two-quark operators

In the limit of the isospin symmetry,  $\Gamma^{2\text{-quark}}$  in Eq. (3.1) does not depend on the label of  $q$ . The contributions from two-quark operators in the above equation are classified by the nonleptonic and semileptonic pieces,

$$\Gamma^{2\text{-quark}} = \sum_{q_2, q_3} \Gamma_{\text{NL}}(b \rightarrow c\bar{q}_2 q_3) + \sum_{\ell} \Gamma_{\text{SL}}(b \rightarrow c\ell\bar{\nu}), \quad (3.3)$$

where the summations are taken for all of the possible combinations with  $q_2 = u, c, q_3 = d, s$ , and  $\ell = e, \mu, \tau$ . It should be noted that the  $b \rightarrow u$  transition, neglected in

Eq. (3.3), is Cabibbo suppressed, while larger contributions arise from  $b \rightarrow c$ . The partial widths that appear in Eq. (3.3) are expanded by  $1/m_b$ , leading to

$$\Gamma_{\text{NL}}(b \rightarrow c\bar{q}_2q_3) = \Gamma_0 |V_{cb}V_{q_2q_3}^*|^2 \times \left( C_{\text{LP}}^{c\bar{q}_2q_3} + C_{\pi}^{c\bar{q}_2q_3} \frac{\mu_{\pi}^2}{m_b^2} + C_G^{c\bar{q}_2q_3} \frac{\mu_G^2}{m_b^2} \right), \quad (3.4)$$

$$\Gamma_{\text{SL}}(b \rightarrow c\ell\bar{\nu}) = \Gamma_0 |V_{cb}|^2 \left( C_{\text{LP}}^{c\ell\bar{\nu}} + C_{\pi}^{c\ell\bar{\nu}} \frac{\mu_{\pi}^2}{m_b^2} + C_G^{c\ell\bar{\nu}} \frac{\mu_G^2}{m_b^2} \right), \quad (3.5)$$

where  $\Gamma_0 = G_F^2 m_b^5 / (192\pi^3)$ . The matrix elements of the two-quark operators,  $\mu_{\pi}^2$  and  $\mu_G^2$ , are defined in Eq. (B10). Furthermore, the nonleptonic coefficients in Eq. (3.4) stem from quadratic combinations of the  $|\Delta B| = 1$  Wilson coefficients,

$$C_I^{c\bar{q}_2q_3} = 3c_1^2 C_{I,11}^{c\bar{q}_2q_3} + 2c_1 c_2 C_{I,12}^{c\bar{q}_2q_3} + 3c_2^2 C_{I,22}^{c\bar{q}_2q_3}, \quad (3.6)$$

where  $I = \text{LP}, \pi, G$ . In Eq. (3.6), the contribution of NP is contained only in  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ , while

$$\Gamma_{\text{ann}} = -\frac{G_F^2 m_b^2}{12\pi} |V_{cb}V_{ud}^*|^2 f_B^2 m_B (1-z)^2 \left\{ \left( \frac{c_1^2}{3} + 2c_1 c_2 + 3c_2^2 \right) \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{z}{2} \right) B_1 - (1+2z)B_2 \right] + \left[ \frac{1+z+z^2}{1-z} + \frac{6z^2}{1-z} - \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{z}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} (1+2z) \right] \left( \frac{m_B^2}{m_b^2} - 1 \right) + 2c_1^2 \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{z}{2} \right) \epsilon_1 - (1+2z)\epsilon_2 \right] \right\}. \quad (3.9)$$

In the above relations,  $z$  represents  $(m_c/m_b)^2$ .

#### IV. $B_d^0 - \bar{B}_d^0$ MIXING

In this section, observables for neutral meson mixing of beauty mesons are discussed. In previous works, NP contributions to the width differences in the  $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$  and  $B_s^0 - \bar{B}_s^0$  mixings were discussed in Refs. [30–32]. Moreover,  $CP$  violation in the  $B^0 - \bar{B}^0$  mixing was also investigated beyond the SM in Refs. [32–40].

##### A. Dispersive part and absorptive part

The dispersive part for the  $B_d^0 - \bar{B}_d^0$  mixing amplitude in the SM is dominated by the contribution of intermediate top quarks. In this case, an expression where external quark momenta and masses are neglected, represented by the Inami-Lim function [41],

$$M_{21} = \frac{G_F^2 M_W^2}{12\pi^2} m_{B_d} f_{B_d}^2 [\eta(\mu_b)]_{\text{VLL}} B_1^d(\mu_b) S_0 \left( \frac{\bar{m}_t^2(m_t)}{M_W^2} \right) \times (V_{tb}^* V_{td})^2, \quad (4.1)$$

$C_{I,ij}^{c\bar{q}_2q_3}$  ( $i, j = 1, 2, I = \text{LP}, \pi, G$ ) can be obtained in previous works, e.g., Ref. [27] and references therein.

##### B. Four-quark operators

The contribution of the spectator effect in Eq. (3.1) is rewritten as

$$\Gamma_u^{4\text{-quark}} = \Gamma_{\text{int}}, \quad \Gamma_d^{4\text{-quark}} = \Gamma_{\text{ann}}, \quad (3.7)$$

where int and ann represent the Pauli interference and weak annihilation, respectively. The above objects are proportional to the matrix elements of four-quark operators defined in Eqs. (B11)–(B18). In the case of dimension-six contributions, the matrix elements can be obtained from Ref. [28], while dimension-seven operators are evaluated via the vacuum insertion approximation, leading to [29]

$$\Gamma_{\text{int}} = \frac{G_F^2 m_b^2}{12\pi} |V_{cb}V_{ud}^*|^2 f_B^2 m_B (1-z)^2 \left\{ (c_1^2 + c_2^2 + 6c_1 c_2) \times \left[ B_1 - \left( \frac{1+z}{1-z} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \left( \frac{m_B^2}{m_b^2} - 1 \right) \right] + 6(c_1^2 + c_2^2) \epsilon_1 \right\}, \quad (3.8)$$

$$S_0(x) = \frac{4x - 11x^2 + x^3}{4(1-x)^2} - \frac{3x^3 \ln x}{2(1-x)^3}, \quad (4.2)$$

gives an excellent approximation.

For the absorptive part, the theoretical analysis can be performed by HQE, analogously to the total width of  $B$  mesons. In contrast to the case of the total width, the leading contribution to the width difference arises from four-quark operators. At next-to-leading order (NLO) in power corrections ( $1/m_b$ ), the width difference in the  $B^0 - \bar{B}^0$  mixing is obtained [42–44].<sup>3</sup> The SM contribution to  $\Gamma_{21}$  in the  $B_d^0 - \bar{B}_d^0$  mixing with NLO power correction is given by [43]

$$\Gamma_{21} = -\frac{G_F^2 m_b^2}{24\pi m_{B_d}} [c_1^{d,\text{mix}}(\mu_2) \langle \bar{B}_d^0 | \mathcal{O}_1^d | B_d^0 \rangle + c_2^{d,\text{mix}}(\mu_2) \langle \bar{B}_d^0 | \mathcal{O}_2^d | B_d^0 \rangle + \delta_{1/m}^d]. \quad (4.3)$$

The expressions for the coefficients are given by [43]

<sup>3</sup>See also NNLO in the power correction ( $1/m_b^2$ ) in Ref. [32].

$$c_k^{d,\text{mix}} = (V_{ib}^* V_{td})^2 D_k^{uu} + 2V_{cb}^* V_{cd} V_{tb}^* V_{td} (D_k^{uu} - D_k^{cu}) + (V_{cb}^* V_{cd})^2 (D_k^{uu} + D_k^{cc} - 2D_k^{cu}), \quad (k = 1, 2) \quad (4.4)$$

$$\delta_{1/m}^d = (V_{ib}^* V_{td})^2 \delta_{1/m}^{uud} + 2V_{cb}^* V_{cd} V_{tb}^* V_{td} (\delta_{1/m}^{uud} - \delta_{1/m}^{cud}) + (V_{cb}^* V_{cd})^2 (\delta_{1/m}^{uud} + \delta_{1/m}^{ccd} - 2\delta_{1/m}^{cud}). \quad (4.5)$$

For  $(q_1, q_2) = (c, c), (c, u),$  and  $(u, u)$  with  $k = 1, 2,$

$$D_k^{q_1 q_2}(\mu_2) = \sum_{i,j=1,2} c_i(\mu_1) c_j(\mu_1) F_{k,ij}^{q_1 q_2, \text{mix}}(\mu_1, \mu_2) + \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} [c_1(\mu_1)]^2 P_{k,11}^{q_1 q_2}(\mu_1, \mu_2) + \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} c_1 c_8 (P_{k,18}^{q_1} + P_{k,18}^{q_2}) + \sum_{i=1,2} \sum_{r=3,6} c_i c_r (P_{k,ir}^{q_1} + P_{k,ir}^{q_2}). \quad (4.6)$$

The phase-space functions were calculated in Refs. [43,45] at the precision of NLO in QCD. It should be noted that in our notation of  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ , we need to replace the indices  $1 \rightarrow 2$  and  $2 \rightarrow 1$  for  $i, j$  in Refs. [43,45]. The phase-space integral proportional to the quadratic term with respect to  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  is decomposed by the LO and NLO parts in QCD,

$$F_{k,ij}^{q_1 q_2, \text{mix}} = A_{k,ij}^{q_1 q_2, \text{mix}} + \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} B_{k,ij}^{q_1 q_2, \text{mix}}. \quad (4.7)$$

$A_{k,ij}^{q_1 q_2, \text{mix}}$  and  $B_{k,ij}^{q_1 q_2, \text{mix}}$  in Eq. (4.7), as well as the phase-space functions related to the penguin operators in Eq. (4.6), can be extracted from Ref. [43], while  $D_k^{cu, \text{mix}}$  can be extracted from Ref. [45].

The dimension-seven contributions were also obtained in Ref. [43],

$$\delta_{1/m}^{cc d} = \sqrt{1-4z} \left\{ (1+2z)[K_2(\langle R_2^d \rangle + 2\langle R_4^d \rangle) - 2K_1(\langle R_1^d \rangle + \langle R_2^d \rangle)] - \frac{12z^2}{1-4z} [K_1(\langle R_2^d \rangle + 2\langle R_3^d \rangle) + 2K_2\langle R_3^d \rangle] \right\}, \quad (4.8)$$

$$\delta_{1/m}^{cu d} = (1-z)^2 \left\{ (1+2z)[K_2(\langle R_2^d \rangle + 2\langle R_4^d \rangle) - 2K_1(\langle R_1^d \rangle + \langle R_2^d \rangle)] - \frac{6z^2}{1-z} [K_1(\langle R_2^d \rangle + 2\langle R_3^d \rangle) + 2K_2\langle R_3^d \rangle] \right\}, \quad (4.9)$$

$$\delta_{1/m}^{uu d} = K_2(\langle R_2^d \rangle + 2\langle R_4^d \rangle) - 2K_1(\langle R_1^d \rangle + \langle R_2^d \rangle), \quad (4.10)$$

with  $K_1 = 3c_2^2 + 2c_1 c_2$  and  $K_2 = c_1^2$ . The width difference in the  $B_d$  system is given by [43]

$$\Delta\Gamma_d = -2|M_{21}| \text{Re} \left( \frac{\Gamma_{21}}{M_{21}} \right). \quad (4.11)$$

## B. CP violation

CP violation in the  $B_d^0 - \bar{B}_d^0$  mixing can be measured in, e.g., the semileptonic CP asymmetry, given by

$$A_{\text{SL}}^d(t) = \frac{N[\bar{B}_d^0(t) \rightarrow \ell^+ \nu_\ell X] - N[B_d(t) \rightarrow \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell X]}{N[\bar{B}_d^0(t) \rightarrow \ell^+ \nu_\ell X] + N[B_d(t) \rightarrow \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell X]}, \quad (4.12)$$

where the above object is approximated to an excellent precision as

$$A_{\text{SL}}^d = \frac{|p/q|^2 - |q/p|^2}{|p/q|^2 + |q/p|^2} \simeq \text{Im} \left( \frac{\Gamma_{12}}{M_{12}} \right). \quad (4.13)$$

In Eq. (4.13),  $M_{12}$  and  $\Gamma_{12}$  are calculated as complex conjugate of Eqs. (4.1) and (4.3).

## V. NUMERICAL RESULTS

In the analysis,  $\text{Re}(\bar{a}_2), \text{Im}(\bar{a}_2),$  and  $\delta'$  are treated as parameters determined in the numerical result, since they are not predictable within the QCDF approach. As to the color-allowed tree diagram, the coefficient consists of the SM part and NP contributions,

$$a_1(m_b) = a_1^{\text{SM}}(m_b) + c_1^{\text{NP}}(m_b) + \frac{c_2^{\text{NP}}(m_b)}{3}. \quad (5.1)$$

For the SM contribution, the universal value of  $a_1^{\text{SM}}(m_b) = 1.070 \pm 0.012$  [16] is adopted, realized to the high precision [7] at NNLO. Contributions beyond the SM are included at the scale of  $\mu = M_W,$

$$c_i(M_W) = c_i^{\text{SM}}(M_W) + c_i^{\text{NP}}(M_W) \quad (i = 1, 2), \quad (5.2)$$

while the Wilson coefficients of the (chromomagnetic) penguin operators are fixed to the SM values at the same scale. Here  $c_i^{\text{NP}}(M_W)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) in Eq. (5.2) is set to a real-valued parameter and is taken as independent of the flavors, which universally affect  $b \rightarrow c \bar{q}_2 q_3$  for  $q_2 = u, c$  and  $q_3 = d, s$ . With Eq. (5.2), the radiative corrections are discussed separately for the SM and NP, where LO is sufficiently accurate for NP,

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_1^{\text{NP}}(m_b) \\ c_2^{\text{NP}}(m_b) \end{pmatrix} = U^{(\text{LO})} \begin{pmatrix} c_1^{\text{NP}}(M_W) \\ c_2^{\text{NP}}(M_W) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.3)$$

In the above relation,  $U^{(\text{LO})}$  can be obtained as it is customarily done [25].

In what follows, the detail of the numerical investigation is outlined; for definitiveness, one of the six categories in Table I is discussed, while the other five cases are analyzed in a similar way. We first generate a value of  $a_1(m_b)$  randomly from the range

$$0 < a_1(m_b) < a_1^{\text{max}}, \quad (5.4)$$

with the upper limit selected to cover the relevant parameter range,  $a_1^{\text{max}} = 1.15$ . As a next step, we generate  $\text{Br}^{ij}$  with  $(i, j) = (+, -), (0, 0), (0, -), V_{cb}, f_T, f_C$ , and  $f^{B \rightarrow D, +-}$  as random Gaussian numbers. Here,  $f_T(f_C)$  represents a decay constant for a meson that is emitted from the  $W$

boson in the color-allowed (color-suppressed) tree process, while  $f^{B \rightarrow D, +-}$  represents heavy-to-heavy form factors with proper charge assignment in the final state. The central value and uncertainty for  $\text{Br}^{ij}$  are given in Table I, while those for the other ones are given in Table II of Appendix C.

With the generated parameters,  $\text{Re}(\bar{a}_2), \text{Im}(\bar{a}_2)$ , and  $\delta'$  are computed from Eqs. (2.16)–(2.18) or (2.29)–(2.31), with the choice of overall signs in Eqs. (2.17) and (2.30) and the twofold ambiguity of  $\delta'$  in Eqs. (2.18) and (2.31) selected randomly with a large sampling number. At this stage, we properly remove the parameter set that does not satisfy Eqs. (2.19)–(2.21) or (2.32)–(2.34) in such a way to ensure the existence of the solutions.

It should be noted that  $c_1^{\text{NP}}(M_W)$  and  $c_2^{\text{NP}}(M_W)$  are not simultaneously determined by the given value of  $a_1(m_b)$  in Eq. (5.1). In view of this aspect,  $c_2^{\text{NP}}(M_W)$  is computed from the fixed values of  $a_1(m_b)$  and  $c_1^{\text{NP}}(M_W)$ , via the relation in Eq. (5.1), i.e.,

$$c_2^{\text{NP}}(M_W) = \frac{a_1(m_b) - a_1^{\text{SM}}(m_b) - (U_{11}^{(\text{LO})} + U_{21}^{(\text{LO})}/3)c_1^{\text{NP}}(M_W)}{U_{12}^{(\text{LO})} + U_{22}^{(\text{LO})}/3}. \quad (5.5)$$

This means that the possible values of  $c_2^{\text{NP}}(M_W)$  are scanned in the parameter space. For  $a_1^{\text{SM}}(m_b)$ , its imaginary part arises solely from the radiative correction [1,7] and is negligible to high accuracy for our current purpose.

The  $\tau(B^+)/\tau(B_d)$  in the presence of NP can be evaluated from  $c_2^{\text{NP}}(M_W)$  and  $c_1^{\text{NP}}(M_W)$ . In analyzing the lifetime ratio, input parameters including the heavy-quark mass and power correction parameters in the heavy quark effective theory (HQET) are adopted from Ref. [47] in the kinetic scheme [48,49]. As to the value in the SM at NLO QCD, the recent result [26] is

$$\left[ \frac{\tau(B^+)}{\tau(B_d^0)} \right]_{\text{SM,NLO}} = 1.081_{-0.016}^{+0.014}. \quad (5.6)$$

We adopt the central value and the larger side of the uncertainty in Eq. (5.6). For the interference terms between SM and NP contributions, as well as the terms purely originating from NP, we consider the LO accuracy in QCD corrections with  $c_1^{\text{SM}}(m_b) = 1.098$  and  $c_2^{\text{SM}}(m_b) = -0.231$ , which can be obtained with Ref. [25]. The same accuracy is used in the numerical analysis of  $B_d - \bar{B}_d$  mixing. For the charm-quark mass input, the  $\bar{m}_c(m_c)$  is converted to one at 3 GeV via RunDec [58], leading to  $m_c = 0.985$  GeV.

One can define  $\chi^2$  to impose the constraints on the parameters of the NP scenario [22]. In our analysis, the following  $\chi^2$  functions are introduced:

$$\chi_{(\text{A})}^2 = \sum_{(i,j)=(+,-)}^{(0,0),(0,-)} \left( \frac{\text{Br}^{ij} - \text{Br}_{\text{cent}}^{ij}}{\delta \text{Br}^{ij}} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{|V_{cb}| - |V_{cb}|_{\text{cent}}}{\delta |V_{cb}|} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{f_T - f_{T,\text{cent}}}{\delta f_T} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{f_C - f_{C,\text{cent}}}{\delta f_C} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{f^{B \rightarrow D, +-} - f_{\text{cent}}^{B \rightarrow D, +-}}{\delta f^{B \rightarrow D, +-}} \right)^2, \quad (5.7)$$

$$\chi_{(\text{B})}^2 = \chi_{(\text{A})}^2 + \left\{ \frac{[\tau(B^+)/\tau(B_d)]_{\text{th}} - [\tau(B^+)/\tau(B_d)]_{\text{exp}}}{\sqrt{\delta[\tau(B^+)/\tau(B_d)]_{\text{th}}^2 + \delta[\tau(B^+)/\tau(B_d)]_{\text{exp}}^2}} \right\}^2. \quad (5.8)$$

It should be noted that  $\chi_{(\text{A})}^2$  does not include the  $\tau(B^+)/\tau(B^+)$  constraint, while  $\chi_{(\text{B})}^2$  does. The above two quantities are evaluated based on the parameters generated from the Gaussian distribution, as described before. This analysis is not the minimization procedure and instead scans the parameter region [22] in the present case, including rescattering for the exclusive decays. In Eq. (5.7),  $(\dots)_{\text{cent}}$  represents the central value of relevant quantities,




FIG. 1. Left column: parameter regions that satisfy the phenomenological constraints without  $\tau(B^+)/\tau(B_d)$ , for the  $a_1(m_b)$  versus  $|\bar{a}_2|$  plane. Middle column: parameter regions that satisfy the constraints including  $\tau(B^+)/\tau(B_d)$  in addition to those in left column. See the main text for details. The blue bands represent  $a_1^{\text{SM}}(m_b) = 1.070 \pm 0.012$  [16], universal to the high precision [7] at NNLO. The red and pink points represent the regions where the constraints are satisfied at  $1\sigma$  and  $2\sigma$  confidence levels, respectively. Right column: predictions for  $\Delta\Gamma_d/\Gamma_d$  and  $A_{\text{SL}}^d$  that satisfy the phenomenological constraints including the  $\tau(B^+)/\tau(B_d)$  data. The central value for the SM prediction is given by a black point, while the yellow and light purple bands represent the current HFLAV  $1\sigma$  ranges [62]. The future experimental uncertainties [63,64], where the central values are assumed to remain unchanged from those in HFLAV [62], are represented as purple and green bands. The upper, middle, and lower rows, respectively, represent the results with  $c_1^{\text{NP}}(M_W) = -0.3, -0.63, \text{ and } -0.9$ .

while  $\delta(\dots)$  stands for its uncertainty given in Tables I and II. The heavy-to-light form factors are set to their central values and not included in Eqs. (5.7) and (5.8) since the branching ratios have rather weak dependence on those quantities, which are accompanied by SU(3) breaking, as given in  $\Delta_{DP}^{(2)}$  in Eq. (A19). As for  $|V_{cb}|_{\text{cent}}$  and  $\delta|V_{cb}|$  in Eq. (5.7), we use the value obtained by the exclusive fitting [51] exhibited in Table II. In Eq. (5.8), the larger theoretical uncertainty of the lifetime ratio in Eq. (5.6) is adopted as  $\delta[\tau(B^+)/\tau(B_d)]_{\text{th}} = 0.016$ . The experimental data from the Heavy Flavor Averaging Group (HFLAV) are set to  $[\tau(B^+)/\tau(B_d)]_{\text{exp}} = 1.078$  and  $\delta[\tau(B^+)/\tau(B_d)]_{\text{exp}} = 0.004$ .

Assembling the mentioned procedure,  $\chi_{(A)}^2$  and  $\chi_{(B)}^2$  can be calculated with d.o.f. equal to 7 and 8, respectively. The values of  $\chi_{(A)}^2 \approx 8.18$  ( $\chi_{(A)}^2 \approx 14.3$ ) and  $\chi_{(B)}^2 \approx 9.30$  ( $\chi_{(B)}^2 \approx 15.8$ ) are used to determine the  $1\sigma$  ( $2\sigma$ ) region that satisfies the phenomenological constraints. Furthermore,  $\Delta\Gamma_d$  and  $A_{\text{SL}}^d$  are evaluated as resulting predictions satisfying the mentioned constraints. The explained routine is repeated with a number of random values for  $a_1(m_b)$  in Eq. (5.4). Furthermore, different fixed values of  $c_1^{\text{NP}}(M_W)$  are investigated in the following results.

The input parameters to compute the  $B_d^0 - \bar{B}_d^0$  mixing are displayed in Table II. The bottom-quark mass and charm-quark mass are fixed to  $\bar{m}_b(m_b)$  and  $\bar{m}_c(m_b)$ , respectively, while the top-quark mass is set to  $\bar{m}_t(m_t)$ . In order to get




FIG. 2. Plots similar to the middle column of Fig. 1 except that six different types of final states are analyzed, with fixed  $c_1^{\text{NP}}(M_W) = -0.6$ . The constraint of the  $\tau(B^+)/\tau(B_d)$  data is included in the individual plots.

$\bar{m}_c(m_b)$  and  $\bar{m}_t(m_t)$ , the respective inputs are converted via RunDec [58], giving  $\bar{m}_c(m_b) = 0.942$  GeV and  $\bar{m}_t(m_t) = 163.3$  GeV. This procedure is used to compute the contributions induced by NP with the operator basis in Appendix B 2.<sup>4</sup> As for the SM contribution, we use [61]

$$\begin{aligned} [\Delta\Gamma_d]_{\text{SM}} &= (2.7 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3} \text{ ps}^{-1}, \\ [\mathcal{A}_{\text{SL}}^d]_{\text{SM}} &= -(5.1 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

<sup>4</sup>In Ref. [59] (see also the review in Ref. [60]), the new operator basis was discussed. This leads to a difference in which operator is treated as the leading power one.

For the experimental data of  $\Delta\Gamma_d/\Gamma_d$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{\text{SL}}^d$ , the current values are given by HFLAV [62],

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ \frac{\Delta\Gamma_d}{\Gamma_d} \right]_{\text{HFLAV}} &= 0.001 \pm 0.010, \\ [\mathcal{A}_{\text{SL}}^d]_{\text{HFLAV}} &= -0.0021 \pm 0.0017. \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

For the latter two quantities, the experimental uncertainties are much larger than the theoretical central values in Eq. (5.9). As for the future experimental projection, an improvement of (statistical) uncertainty is expected for  $\Delta\Gamma_d/\Gamma_d$  via upgrade II in the LHCb measurement [63].




FIG. 3. Plots similar to Fig. 2 with the vertical axes replaced by  $\delta'$ , the rescattering angle. The constraint of the  $\tau(B^+)/\tau(B_d)$  data is included in the individual plots.

Moreover, the uncertainty of  $\mathcal{A}_{\text{SL}}^d$  is also reduced due to Runs 1–5 ( $300 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ ) data at LHCb [64]. Those future projections read

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\left(\frac{\Delta\Gamma_d}{\Gamma_d}\right)_{\text{future}} &= 1 \times 10^{-3}, \\ \delta(\mathcal{A}_{\text{SL}}^d)_{\text{future}} &= 2 \times 10^{-4}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

The above numerics are adopted as the reference values, assuming that the corresponding central values are unchanged from the current HFLAV data.

In order to exhibit how the  $\tau(B^+)/\tau(B^+)$  constraint works, we consider three choices of parameters:  $c_1^{\text{NP}}(M_W) = -0.3, -0.63,$  and  $-0.9$ . For illustrative purposes, we first take  $B \rightarrow DP$  for the  $b \rightarrow c\bar{u}d$  transition. In the left column of Fig. 1, the allowed parameter regions that satisfy the phenomenological constraints *without* the  $\tau(B^+)/\tau(B_d)$  data based on Eq. (5.7) are exhibited for the  $a_1(m_b)$  versus  $|\bar{a}_2|$  plane. These three plots are to be contrasted with those in the middle column in Fig. 1, which account for the  $\tau(B^+)/\tau(B_d)$  constraint in addition to those in left column, based on Eq. (5.8). The middle column panels give an improved result compared with Ref. [16], since the constraint of the lifetime ratio is included.

Comparing the left and middle columns of Fig. 1, one immediately finds that how stringent the lifetime constraint is depends crucially on the choice of the NP parameters. Among the displayed results,  $c_1^{\text{NP}}(M_W) = -0.3$ , corresponding to the upper-left and upper-middle panels, gives a result that is most significantly constrained by the lifetime ratio. However, for the case of  $c_1^{\text{NP}}(M_W) = -0.9$ , the lifetime constraint works weakly, as shown in the lower-left and lower-middle panels in Fig. 1.

Furthermore, in the right column of Fig. 1, the resulting predictions for  $B_d^0 - \bar{B}_d^0$  mixing are exhibited. The results are based on the parameter region that satisfies the phenomenological constraints including  $\tau(B^+)/\tau(B_d)$  for 68% CL. In order to compute  $\Delta\Gamma_d/\Gamma_d$ , the formula in Eq. (4.11) and the HFLAV lifetime of  $B_d$  in Eq. (C1) are used. Among the plotted choices of  $c_1^{\text{NP}}(M_W)$ ,  $-0.3$  gives a prediction that is closest to the SM, while the deviation range from the SM becomes wider for  $c_1^{\text{NP}}(M_W) = -0.63$  and  $-0.9$ . As can be seen in the middle-right and lower-right panels, the resulting variation ranges are larger than the future size of the experimental uncertainties. Hence, we conclude that this type of scenario, where NP contributions are involved in the presence of rescattering, can be testable via future LHCb measurements. In Fig. 2, the results similar to the middle column of Fig. 1, except that six different types of final states are analyzed with fixed  $c_1^{\text{NP}}(M_W) = -0.6$ . As shown in the plots, the patterns of the constrained parameter regions are different individually. Moreover, plots showing the correlation between  $a_1(m_b)$  and  $\delta'$  are displayed in Fig. 3. It should be noted that the constraint from  $\tau(B^+)/\tau(B_d)$  is included in all plots in Figs. 2 and 3. As can be seen from the plots, the rescattering angle gives a pattern characterized by the sign choice and twofold ambiguity, as explained before.

## VI. SUMMARY

In this work, the phenomenological analysis of  $B \rightarrow DM$  decays in the presence of quasielastic rescattering was carried out via a model-independent manner, which in general includes the contributions of NP. The rescattering phase and coefficient of the color-suppressed tree diagram (denoted as  $a_2^{\text{eff}}$ ) were analytically constrained by the experimental data of the branching ratios and theoretical inputs such as form factors. These feasible restrictions were applied for the final states with  $S = -1, I_z = 0$  and  $S = 1, I_z = -1$ , where the branching ratios are altered only by the relative phase between  $\delta_6$  and  $\delta_{15}$ . The numerical results were given for the two-body nonleptonic decays of  $\bar{B}_{(s)} \rightarrow D_{(s)}^{(*)}P$  and  $\bar{B}_{(s)} \rightarrow D_{(s)}V$  in a systematic way. For both  $b \rightarrow c\bar{u}s$  and  $b \rightarrow c\bar{u}d$ , the set of the constraining relations were obtained, where the latter

includes the SU(3) breaking from the decay constants and masses.

We included the  $B$ -meson lifetime ratio to impose constraints on the phenomenological discussion of  $B \rightarrow DM$  in the presence of the quasielastic rescattering. These observables are correlated with  $B \rightarrow DM$  due to the non-leptonic Wilson coefficients. For the NP contributions, we considered the model-independent modification of the Wilson coefficients for the current-current operators, denoted as  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ . Depending on the parameter space, we found that the lifetime ratio can give a stringent bound on the rescattering and NP parameters, as the NP contribution modifies Pauli interference, affecting the lifetime difference between  $B^+$  and  $B_d$ . Meanwhile, it was also found that some specific parameter sets, such as  $c_1^{\text{NP}}(M_W) = -0.9$  with  $c_2^{\text{NP}}(M_W)$  varied, are rather weakly constrained by the lifetime ratio. Based on this methodology, the allowed parameter regions for  $a_1(m_b)$ ,  $a_2^{\text{eff}}$ , and  $\delta'$  were discussed, where the correlations between them were clarified numerically.

Furthermore, the width difference and  $CP$  violation in  $B_d^0 - \bar{B}_d^0$  mixing, where the latter is measured via the semileptonic asymmetry, were analyzed as predictions that satisfy the phenomenological constraints, such as  $\tau(B^+)/\tau(B_d)$  and  $\text{Br}[B \rightarrow DM]$ . We found that for some specific choices of Wilson coefficients from NP, the two mentioned observables can be considerably shifted from the SM predictions. This deviation size is larger than the future uncertainties in the LHCb experiment [63,64], and thus the considered scenario, in which the rescattering and beyond-the-SM contributions are involved, is testable via future measurements.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Hai-Yang Cheng for providing the *Mathematica* code. Furthermore, the authors are grateful to Boris Blok and Xiaodong Shi for useful comments. The work of H. U. is supported by the National Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 12405111 and the Seeds Funding of Jilin University.

## DATA AVAILABILITY

No data were created or analyzed in this study.

## APPENDIX A: DETERMINATIONS OF $\bar{a}_2$ AND $\delta'$ FROM EXPERIMENTAL DATA

### 1. $b \rightarrow c\bar{u}s$

Here, the derivations of Eqs. (2.16)–(2.18) are given. The coefficients in Eq. (2.14) are defined by

$$N_{DK}^T = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}}(m_B^2 - m_D^2)f_K F_0^{BD}(m_K^2), \quad N_{DK}^C = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}}(m_B^2 - m_K^2)f_D F_0^{BK}(m_D^2), \quad (\text{A1})$$

$$N_{D^*K}^T = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}}2m_{D^*}f_K A_0^{BD^*}(m_K^2), \quad N_{D^*K}^C = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}}2m_{D^*}f_{D^*} F_+^{BK}(m_{D^*}^2), \quad (\text{A2})$$

$$N_{DK^*}^T = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}}2m_{K^*}f_{K^*} F_+^{BD}(m_{K^*}^2), \quad N_{DK^*}^C = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}}2m_{K^*}f_D A_0^{BK^*}(m_D^2). \quad (\text{A3})$$

In what follows, we consider  $B$ -meson decays into two pseudoscalars for definitiveness, unless otherwise specified. In the presence of quasielastic rescattering, amplitudes are given by

$$\mathcal{A}_f^{+-} = N_{DK}^T a_1 \left( \frac{1 + e^{i\delta'}}{2} + \bar{a}_2 \frac{1 - e^{i\delta'}}{2} \right) e^{i\delta_{\overline{15}}}, \quad (\text{A4})$$

$$\mathcal{A}_f^{00} = N_{DK}^T a_1 \left( \frac{1 - e^{i\delta'}}{2} + \bar{a}_2 \frac{1 + e^{i\delta'}}{2} \right) e^{i\delta_{\overline{15}}}, \quad (\text{A5})$$

$$\mathcal{A}_f^{0-} = N_{DK}^T a_1 (1 + \bar{a}_2). \quad (\text{A6})$$

One can find that dependence on the heavy-to-light form factors is absorbed by  $\bar{a}_2$ , so that Eqs. (A4)–(A6) can be evaluated solely by the heavy-to-heavy form factors. This is not the case for  $b \rightarrow c\bar{u}d$  decays, as explicitly shown later.

It should be noted that the overall phase in Eqs. (A4)–(A6) cancels out when being squared for the evaluation of decay rates. By substituting Eqs. (A4)–(A6) into Eq. (2.9), one can obtain the branching ratios

$$\frac{\text{Br}^{+-}}{\mathcal{N}_{DK}} = \frac{1 + \cos\delta'}{2} + |\bar{a}_2|^2 \frac{1 - \cos\delta'}{2} + \text{Im}(\bar{a}_2) \sin\delta', \quad (\text{A7})$$

$$\frac{\text{Br}^{00}}{\mathcal{N}_{DK}} = \frac{1 - \cos\delta'}{2} + |\bar{a}_2|^2 \frac{1 + \cos\delta'}{2} - \text{Im}(\bar{a}_2) \sin\delta', \quad (\text{A8})$$

$$\frac{\tau^{+-} \text{Br}^{0-}}{\tau^{0-} \mathcal{N}_{DK}} = 1 + |\bar{a}_2|^2 + 2\text{Re}(\bar{a}_2), \quad (\text{A9})$$

where the following objects are introduced:

$$\mathcal{N}_{DK} = \frac{\tau_P p_{\text{cm}}[P \rightarrow M_1 M_2]}{8\pi m_P^2} |V_{cb} V_{us}^*|^2 (N_{M_1 M_2}^T)^2 |a_1|^2, \quad (\text{A10})$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{D^*K} = \frac{\tau_P p_{\text{cm}}^3[P \rightarrow M_1^* M_2]}{8\pi m_{M_1^*}^2} |V_{cb} V_{us}^*|^2 (N_{M_1^* M_2}^T)^2 |a_1|^2, \quad (\text{A11})$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{DK^*} = \frac{\tau_P p_{\text{cm}}^3[P \rightarrow M_1 M_2^*]}{8\pi m_{M_2^*}^2} |V_{cb} V_{us}^*|^2 (N_{M_1 M_2^*}^T)^2 |a_1|^2. \quad (\text{A12})$$

Furthermore, the following variables are introduced:

$$A_{DK} = 2 \text{Im}(\bar{a}_2), \quad (\text{A13})$$

$$B_{DK} = 1 - |\bar{a}_2|^2, \quad (\text{A14})$$

$$\omega_{DK} = \begin{cases} \text{Arcsin}\left(\frac{B_{DK}}{\sqrt{A_{DK}^2 + B_{DK}^2}}\right) & \text{for } A_{DK} \geq 0, \\ \pi \text{sign}(B_{DK}) - \text{Arcsin}\left(\frac{B_{DK}}{\sqrt{A_{DK}^2 + B_{DK}^2}}\right) & \text{for } A_{DK} < 0. \end{cases} \quad (\text{A15})$$

By rewriting the three relations in Eqs. (A7)–(A9) in terms of  $\text{Re}(\bar{a}_2)$ ,  $\text{Im}(\bar{a}_2)$  and  $\delta'$ , one can obtain Eqs. (2.16)–(2.18) if the conditions of Eqs. (2.19)–(2.21) are satisfied.

### 2. $b \rightarrow c\bar{u}d$

The derivation of Eqs. (2.29)–(2.31) is given in a way similar to  $b \rightarrow c\bar{u}s$  decays, except that the SU(3) breaking should be taken into account. We introduce parameters

related to SU(3) breaking,

$$z_{DP} = \frac{f_{D_s} f_\pi}{f_D f_K}, \quad r_{DP} = \frac{p_{\text{cm}}[\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow D^0 \bar{K}^0]}{p_{\text{cm}}[\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^+ \pi^-]}, \quad (\text{A16})$$

$$z_{D^*P} = \frac{f_{D_s^*} f_\pi}{f_{D^*} f_K}, \quad r_{D^*P} = \left(\frac{m_{D_s^*}}{m_{D^*0}}\right)^2 \frac{p_{\text{cm}}^3[\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow D^{*0} \bar{K}^0]}{p_{\text{cm}}^3[\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^{*+} \pi^-]}, \quad (\text{A17})$$

$$z_{DV} = \frac{f_{D_s} f_\rho}{f_D f_{K^*}}, \quad r_{DV} = \left( \frac{m_{\rho^+}}{m_{K^{*0}}} \right)^2 \frac{p_{\text{cm}}^3 [\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow D^0 \bar{K}^{*0}]}{p_{\text{cm}}^3 [\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^+ \rho^-]}, \quad (\text{A18})$$

$$\Delta_{DP}^{(1)} = \left( \frac{N_T^{D_s^+ \pi^-} N_C^{D^0 \pi^-}}{N_T^{D^0 \pi^-} N_C^{D^0 \bar{K}^0}} \right)^{-1} \frac{\mathcal{N}_{D_s^+ \pi^-} \tau^{0-}}{\mathcal{N}_{D^0 \pi^-} \tau^{+-}} - 1, \\ \Delta_{DP}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{z_{DP}^2} \frac{N_T^{D_s^+ \pi^-} N_C^{D^0 \pi^-}}{N_T^{D^0 \pi^-} N_C^{D^0 \bar{K}^0}} - 1, \quad (\text{A19})$$

$$\Delta_{DP}^{(3)} = \frac{z_{DP}^2}{r_{DP}} - 1, \quad \Delta_{DP}^{(4)} = z_{DP}^2 \left( \frac{N_T^{D_s^+ \pi^-} N_C^{D^0 \pi^-}}{N_T^{D^0 \pi^-} N_C^{D^0 \bar{K}^0}} \right)^{-2} - 1, \\ \Delta_{DP}^{(5)} = \frac{1}{z_{DP}^2} - 1. \quad (\text{A20})$$

On the basis of the previously introduced notations, the decay amplitudes for  $b \rightarrow c\bar{u}d$  processes with FSIs can be given as follows:

$$\mathcal{A}_f[\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^+ \pi^-] = N_{D_s^+ \pi^-}^T a_1 \left( \frac{1 + e^{i\delta'}}{2} + z_{DP} \bar{a}_2 \frac{1 - e^{i\delta'}}{2} \right) e^{i\delta_{15}^{\bar{b}c}}, \quad (\text{A21})$$

$$\mathcal{A}_f[\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow D^0 \bar{K}^0] = \frac{N_{D_s^+ \pi^-}^T}{z_{DP}} a_1 \left( \frac{1 - e^{i\delta'}}{2} + z_{DP} \bar{a}_2 \frac{1 + e^{i\delta'}}{2} \right) e^{i\delta_{15}^{\bar{b}c}}, \quad (\text{A22})$$

$$\mathcal{A}_f[B^- \rightarrow D^0 \pi^-] = N_{D^0 \pi^-}^T a_1 \left( 1 + \frac{N_{D_s^+ \pi^-}^T N_C^{D^0 \pi^-}}{N_{D^0 \pi^-}^T N_C^{D^0 \bar{K}^0}} \bar{a}_2 \right). \quad (\text{A23})$$

Since  $\bar{a}_2$  is defined so as to absorb  $N_C^{D^0 \bar{K}^0}$ , the overall dependence on heavy-to-light form factors vanishes in Eqs. (A21) and (A22), whereas it is included as a prefactor of  $\bar{a}_2$  in Eq. (A23). Furthermore, the following parameters are introduced:

$$A_{DP} = 2z_{DP} \text{Im}(\bar{a}_2), \quad (\text{A24})$$

$$B_{DP} = 1 - z_{DP}^2 |\bar{a}_2|^2. \quad (\text{A25})$$

The expression of  $\omega_{DP}$  is found by the replacement of  $A_{DK} \rightarrow A_{DP}$  and  $B_{DK} \rightarrow B_{DP}$  for  $\omega_{DP}$  in Eq. (A15).

By using the SU(3)-breaking parameters, one can write relations similar to Eqs. (A7)–(A9) in the case of  $b \rightarrow c\bar{u}d$  decays, which is omitted here. These relations are solved with respect to the QCDF approach and the rescattering parameters, leading to Eqs. (2.29)–(2.31) under the conditions of Eqs. (2.32)–(2.34).

## APPENDIX B: EFFECTIVE WEAK OPERATORS AND MATRIX ELEMENTS

### 1. $\Delta B = 1$ processes

The effective operators for the weak Hamiltonian in Eq. (2.1) are defined by [22]

$$Q_1^{\bar{q}^2 q^3} = (\bar{c}^\alpha b^\alpha)_{V-A} (\bar{q}_3^\beta q_2^\beta)_{V-A}, \quad Q_2^{\bar{q}^2 q^3} = (\bar{c}^\alpha b^\beta)_{V-A} (\bar{q}_3^\beta q_2^\alpha)_{V-A}, \quad (\text{B1})$$

$$Q_3^{q^3} = (\bar{q}_3^\alpha b^\alpha)_{V-A} (\bar{q}^\beta q^\beta)_{V-A}, \quad Q_4^{q^3} = (\bar{q}_3^\alpha b^\beta)_{V-A} (\bar{q}^\beta q^\alpha)_{V-A}, \quad (\text{B2})$$

$$Q_5^{q^3} = (\bar{q}_3^\alpha b^\alpha)_{V-A} (\bar{q}^\beta q^\beta)_{V+A}, \quad Q_6^{q^3} = (\bar{q}_3^\alpha b^\beta)_{V-A} (\bar{q}^\beta q^\alpha)_{V+A}, \quad (\text{B3})$$

$$Q_8^{q^3} = \frac{g_s}{8\pi^2} m_b \bar{q}_3^\alpha \sigma^{\mu\nu} (1 + \gamma_5) t_{\alpha\beta}^a b^\beta G_{\mu\nu}^a, \quad (\text{B4})$$

where sums over colors denoted by  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  and flavor indices are taken implicitly. For  $(\dots)_{V\pm A}$ , the current is represented as  $\gamma^\mu (1 \pm \gamma_5)$ .

As for  $B$ -meson decays into an exclusive hadronic state, matrix elements relevant for our work are parametrized by form factors [1,65],

$$\langle P(p') | c\gamma^\mu b | B(p) \rangle = F_+^{BP}(q^2) \left[ (p + p')^\mu - \frac{m_B^2 - m_D^2}{q^2} q^\mu \right] + F_0^{BP}(q^2) \frac{m_B^2 - m_D^2}{q^2} q^\mu, \quad (\text{B5})$$

$$\langle V(p', \epsilon) | c\gamma^\mu \gamma_5 b | B(p) \rangle = \left[ (m_B + m_V) \epsilon^{*\mu} A_1^{BV}(q^2) - \frac{\epsilon^* \cdot q}{m_B + m_V} (p + p')^\mu A_2^{BV}(q^2) \right. \\ \left. - 2m_V \frac{\epsilon^* \cdot q}{q^2} q^\mu A_3^{BV}(q^2) \right] + 2m_V \frac{\epsilon^* \cdot q}{q^2} q^\mu A_0^{BV}(q^2), \quad (\text{B6})$$

$$A_3^{BV}(q^2) = \frac{m_B + m_V}{2m_V} A_1^{BV}(q^2) - \frac{m_B - m_V}{2m_V} A_2^{BV}(q^2), \quad (\text{B7})$$

where  $P$  and  $V$  are a pseudoscalar and vector meson, respectively, with  $q = p - p'$ .

### 2. $\Delta B = 0$ processes

Operators for the  $\Delta B = 0$  transition are divided into two-quark and four-quark operators. For the former, the dimension-five operators are defined by [23]

$$O_\pi = -\bar{b}_v(iD_\mu)(iD^\mu)b_v, \quad (\text{B8})$$

$$O_G = \bar{b}_v(iD_\mu)(iD_\nu)(-i\sigma^{\mu\nu})b_v, \quad (\text{B9})$$

where  $b(x) = e^{-im_b v \cdot x} b_v(x)$ . The matrix elements for the above operators are

$$\mu_\pi^2 = \frac{\langle B|O_\pi|B \rangle}{2m_B}, \quad \mu_G^2 = \frac{\langle B|O_G|B \rangle}{2m_B}. \quad (\text{B10})$$

The matrix elements in Eq. (B10) enter our analysis in the denominator of the second term in Eq. (3.2). As for the four-quark operators, we introduce [29]

$$Q_1^q = (\bar{b}q)_{V-A}(\bar{q}b)_{V-A}, \quad (\text{B11})$$

$$Q_2^q = (\bar{b}q)_{S-P}(\bar{q}b)_{S+P}, \quad (\text{B12})$$

$$Q_3^q = \bar{b}t^a q)_{V-A}(\bar{q}t^a b)_{V-A}, \quad (\text{B13})$$

$$Q_4^q = (\bar{b}t^a q)_{S-P}(\bar{q}t^a b)_{S+P}, \quad (\text{B14})$$

where  $(\dots)_{S\pm P}$  represents a bilinear of the form  $(1 \pm \gamma_5)$ . The matrix elements for Eqs. (B11)–(B14) are defined by

$$\langle B_q|Q_1^q|B_q \rangle = f_{B_q}^2 m_{B_q}^2 B_1, \quad (\text{B15})$$

$$\langle B_q|Q_2^q|B_q \rangle = f_{B_q}^2 m_{B_q}^2 B_2, \quad (\text{B16})$$

$$\langle B_q|Q_3^q|B_q \rangle = f_{B_q}^2 m_{B_q}^2 \epsilon_1, \quad (\text{B17})$$

$$\langle B_q|Q_4^q|B_q \rangle = f_{B_q}^2 m_{B_q}^2 \epsilon_2. \quad (\text{B18})$$

### 3. $\Delta B = 2$ processes

Effective operators relevant for  $B_d^0 - \bar{B}_d^0$  mixing are given by dimension-six operators,

$$\mathcal{O}_1^d = (\bar{b}^\alpha d^\alpha)_{V-A}(\bar{b}^\beta d^\beta)_{V-A}, \quad \mathcal{O}_2^d = (\bar{b}^\alpha d^\alpha)_{S-P}(\bar{b}^\beta d^\beta)_{S-P}, \quad (\text{B19})$$

$$\mathcal{O}_3^d = (\bar{b}^\alpha d^\beta)_{S-P}(\bar{b}^\beta d^\alpha)_{S-P}, \quad \mathcal{O}_4^d = (\bar{b}^\alpha d^\alpha)_{S-P}(\bar{b}^\beta d^\beta)_{S+P}, \quad (\text{B20})$$

$$\mathcal{O}_5^d = (\bar{b}^\alpha d^\beta)_{S-P}(\bar{b}^\beta d^\alpha)_{S+P}, \quad (\text{B21})$$

as well as those giving  $1/m_b$  suppressed contributions [42,43],

$$R_1^d = \frac{m_d}{m_b} (\bar{b}^\alpha d^\alpha)_{S-P}(\bar{b}^\beta d^\beta)_{S+P}, \quad (\text{B22})$$

$$R_2^d = \frac{1}{m_b^2} [\bar{b}^\alpha \tilde{D}_\rho \gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma_5) D^\rho q^\alpha] [\bar{b}^\beta \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) q^\beta], \quad (\text{B23})$$

$$R_3^d = \frac{1}{m_b^2} [\bar{b}^\alpha \tilde{D}_\rho (1 - \gamma_5) D^\rho q^\alpha] [\bar{b}^\beta (1 - \gamma_5) q^\beta], \quad (\text{B24})$$

$$R_4^d = \frac{1}{m_b} [\bar{b}^\alpha (1 - \gamma_5) iD_\mu q^\alpha] [\bar{b}^\beta \gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma_5) q^\beta]. \quad (\text{B25})$$

The matrix element of the operators are given by

$$\langle \bar{B}_d|O_1^d|B_d \rangle = \frac{8}{3} f_{B_d}^2 m_{B_d}^2 B_1^d, \quad \langle \bar{B}_d|O_2^d|B_d \rangle = -\frac{5}{3} f_{B_d}^2 m_{B_d}^2 \left( \frac{m_{B_d}}{m_b + m_d} \right)^2 B_2^d, \quad (\text{B26})$$

$$\langle \bar{B}_d|O_3^d|B_d \rangle = \frac{1}{3} f_{B_d}^2 m_{B_d}^2 \left( \frac{m_{B_d}}{m_b + m_d} \right)^2 B_3^d, \quad \langle \bar{B}_d|O_4^d|B_d \rangle = 2 f_{B_d}^2 m_{B_d}^2 \left( \frac{m_{B_d}}{m_b + m_d} \right)^2 B_4^d, \quad (\text{B27})$$

$$\langle \bar{B}_d|O_5^d|B_d \rangle = \frac{2}{3} f_{B_d}^2 m_{B_d}^2 \left( \frac{m_{B_d}}{m_b + m_d} \right)^2 B_5^d, \quad (\text{B28})$$

$$\langle \bar{B}_d|R_1^d|B_d \rangle = \frac{7}{3} \frac{m_d}{m_b} f_{B_d}^2 m_{B_d}^2 B_{R_1}^d, \quad \langle \bar{B}_d|R_2^d|B_d \rangle = -\frac{2}{3} f_{B_d}^2 m_{B_d}^2 \left( \frac{m_{B_d}^2}{m_b^2} - 1 \right) B_{R_2}^d, \quad (\text{B29})$$

$$\langle \bar{B}_d|R_3^d|B_d \rangle = \frac{7}{6} f_{B_d}^2 m_{B_d}^2 \left( \frac{m_{B_d}^2}{m_b^2} - 1 \right) B_{R_3}^d, \quad \langle \bar{B}_d|R_4^d|B_d \rangle = -f_{B_d}^2 m_{B_d}^2 \left( \frac{m_{B_d}^2}{m_b^2} - 1 \right) B_{R_4}^d. \quad (\text{B30})$$

TABLE I. Experimental data of branching ratios of  $B$ -meson nonleptonic decays. One for  $B^- \rightarrow D^0 \rho^-$  is from Belle II [46], while the others are extracted from [6].

| $B \rightarrow DP(b \rightarrow c\bar{u}d)$   |   | $B \rightarrow DP(b \rightarrow c\bar{u}s)$   |   |
|---|---|---|---|
| $B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^- \pi^+$               | $(2.98 \pm 0.14) \times 10^{-3}$          | $B^0 \rightarrow D^- K^+$                     | $(2.05 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-4}$        |
| $B_s^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 \bar{K}^0$       | $(4.3 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-4}$            | $B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 K^0$               | $(5.5 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-5}$          |
| $B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 \pi^+$             | $(4.61 \pm 0.10) \times 10^{-3}$          | $B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 K^+$               | $(3.64 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-4}$        |
| $B \rightarrow D^*P(b \rightarrow c\bar{u}d)$ |   | $B \rightarrow D^*P(b \rightarrow c\bar{u}s)$ |   |
| $B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^{*-} \pi^+$            | $(1.9^{+0.5}_{-0.4}) \times 10^{-3}$      | $B^0 \rightarrow D^{*-} K^+$                  | $(2.16 \pm 0.08) \times 10^{-4}$        |
| $B_s^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^{*0} \bar{K}^0$    | $(2.8 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-4}$            | $B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^{*0} K^0$            | $(3.6 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-5}$          |
| $B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^{*0} \pi^+$          | $(5.17 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-3}$          | $B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^{*0} K^+$            | $(4.19^{+0.31}_{-0.28}) \times 10^{-4}$ |
| $B \rightarrow DV(b \rightarrow c\bar{u}d)$   |   | $B \rightarrow DV(b \rightarrow c\bar{u}s)$   |   |
| $B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^- \rho^+$              | $(6.8 \pm 1.4) \times 10^{-3}$            | $B^0 \rightarrow D^- K^{*+}$                  | $(4.5 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-4}$          |
| $B_s^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 \bar{K}^{*0}$    | $(4.4 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$            | $B^0 \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 K^{*0}$            | $(4.5 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-5}$          |
| $B^- \rightarrow D^0 \rho^-$                  | $(9.39 \pm 0.21 \pm 0.50) \times 10^{-3}$ | $B^+ \rightarrow \bar{D}^0 K^{*+}$            | $(5.3 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-4}$          |

It should be noted that the matrix element of  $R_1^d$  vanishes in the massless limit of the down quark. As for  $R_4^d$ , the operator is related to other ones [43],

$$R_4^q = \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{O}_1^q + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{O}_2^q + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{O}_3^q - \frac{m_q}{m_b} \mathcal{O}_5^q + \frac{1}{2} R_2^q. \quad (\text{B31})$$

Hence,  $\langle \bar{B}_d | R_4^d | B_d \rangle$  can be represented by other matrix elements, which is used in our numerical result.

### APPENDIX C: NUMERICAL INPUT

The experimental values of branching ratios for  $B \rightarrow DM$  decays are extracted from the 2024 Particle Data

Group publication [6] and given in Table I. The experimental values of the  $B$ -meson lifetimes from HFLAV [62] are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(B^+) &= (1.638 \pm 0.004) \text{ ps}, \\ \tau(B_d) &= (1.519 \pm 0.004) \text{ ps}, \\ \tau(B_s) &= (1.520 \pm 0.005) \text{ ps}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C1})$$

Other input parameters necessary to implement the analysis are given in Table II.

TABLE II. Input parameters given in units of proper powers of GeV. For the parameters in  $\Delta B = 0$  processes [47],  $m_b^{\text{kin}}$ ,  $\mu_\pi^2$ , and  $\mu_G^2$  are defined via the kinetic scheme [48,49] with the hard Wilsonian cutoff at 1 GeV. The bag parameters for dimension-six operators relevant to  $\Delta B = 2$  processes [50] are based on the weighted average of the HQET sum rules and lattice QCD. For the form factors, the numerics in Table 4 of Ref. [16] are adopted, which are based on the recent phenomenological fit in Ref. [51] for the heavy-to-heavy form factors and on Refs. [52–54] for the heavy-to-light form factors.

|                        |                                 |      |                        |                                    |      |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|------|------------------------|------------------------------------|------|
| $\alpha_s(M_Z)$        | $0.1180 \pm 0.0009$             | [6]  | $M_W$                  | $80.3692 \pm 0.0133$               | [6]  |
| $\sin \theta_{12}$     | $0.22501 \pm 0.00068$           | [6]  | $\sin \theta_{13}$     | $0.003732^{+0.000090}_{-0.000085}$ | [6]  |
| $\sin \theta_{23}$     | $0.04183^{+0.00079}_{-0.00069}$ | [6]  | $\delta$               | $1.147 \pm 0.026$                  | [6]  |
| $\bar{m}_c(m_c)$       | $1.2730 \pm 0.0046$             | [6]  | $\bar{m}_b(m_b)$       | $4.183 \pm 0.007$                  | [6]  |
| $m_b^{\text{kin}}$     | $4.573 \pm 0.012$               | [47] | $m_t^{\text{pole}}$    | $172.4 \pm 0.7$                    | [6]  |
| $\mu_\pi^2$            | $0.477 \pm 0.056$               | [47] | $\mu_G^2$              | $0.306 \pm 0.050$                  | [47] |
| $\bar{B}_1(\bar{m}_b)$ | $1.028^{+0.064}_{-0.056}$       | [28] | $\bar{B}_2(\bar{m}_b)$ | $0.988^{+0.087}_{-0.079}$          | [28] |
| $\bar{e}_1(\bar{m}_b)$ | $-0.107^{+0.028}_{-0.029}$      | [28] | $\bar{e}_2(\bar{m}_b)$ | $-0.033 \pm 0.021$                 | [28] |
| $B_1^d(\bar{m}_b)$     | $0.835 \pm 0.028$               | [50] | $B_2^d(\bar{m}_b)$     | $0.791 \pm 0.034$                  | [50] |
| $B_3^d(\bar{m}_b)$     | $0.775 \pm 0.054$               | [50] | $B_4^d(\bar{m}_b)$     | $1.063 \pm 0.041$                  | [50] |
| $B_5^d(\bar{m}_b)$     | $0.994 \pm 0.037$               | [50] | $B_{R_2}^s$            | $0.89 \pm 0.38$                    | [55] |
| $B_{R_3}^s$            | $1.07 \pm 0.42$                 | [55] | $G_F$                  | $1.1663788 \times 10^{-5}$         | [6]  |
| $f_{\pi^\pm}$          | $0.1302 \pm 0.0008$             | [56] | $f_{K^\pm}$            | $0.1557 \pm 0.0003$                | [56] |
| $f_D$                  | $0.2120 \pm 0.0007$             | [56] | $f_{D_s}$              | $0.2499 \pm 0.0005$                | [56] |
| $f_B$                  | $0.1900 \pm 0.0013$             | [56] | $f_{B_s}$              | $0.2303 \pm 0.0013$                | [56] |
| $f_\rho$               | $0.213 \pm 0.005$               | [52] | $f_{K^*}$              | $0.204 \pm 0.007$                  | [52] |

(Table continued)

TABLE II. (Continued)

|                          |                           |      |                          |                           |      |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|------|--------------------------|---------------------------|------|
| $f_{D^*}$                | $0.242^{+0.020}_{-0.012}$ | [57] | $f_{D_s^*}$              | $0.293^{+0.019}_{-0.014}$ | [57] |
| $F_0^{BD}(m_\pi^2)$      | $0.669 \pm 0.010$         | [16] | $F_0^{BD}(m_K^2)$        | $0.672 \pm 0.010$         | [16] |
| $A_0^{BD^*}(m_\pi^2)$    | $0.725 \pm 0.014$         | [16] | $A_0^{BD^*}(m_K^2)$      | $0.732 \pm 0.014$         | [16] |
| $F_+^{BD}(m_\rho^2)$     | $0.686 \pm 0.010$         | [16] | $F_+^{BD}(m_{K^*}^2)$    | $0.692 \pm 0.010$         | [16] |
| $F_0^{B_s K}(m_D^2)$     | 0.310                     | [16] | $F_0^{B\pi}(m_D^2)$      | 0.288                     | [16] |
| $F_+^{B_s K}(m_{D^*}^2)$ | 0.357                     | [16] | $F_+^{B\pi}(m_{D^*}^2)$  | 0.328                     | [16] |
| $A_0^{B_s K^*}(m_D^2)$   | 0.438                     | [16] | $A_0^{B\rho}(m_{D^*}^2)$ | 0.432                     | [16] |
| $ V_{ud} $               | $0.97367 \pm 0.00032$     | [6]  | $ V_{us} $               | $0.22431 \pm 0.00085$     | [6]  |
| $ V_{cb} $               | $0.0397 \pm 0.0006$       | [51] |                          |                           |      |

- [1] M. Beneke, G. Buchalla, M. Neubert, and C. T. Sachrajda, QCD factorization for exclusive, nonleptonic  $B$  meson decays: General arguments and the case of heavy light final states, *Nucl. Phys.* **B591**, 313 (2000).
- [2] C. W. Bauer, D. Pirjol, and I. W. Stewart, A proof of factorization for  $B \rightarrow D\pi$ , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 201806 (2001).
- [3] M. Bordone, N. Gubernari, T. Huber, M. Jung, and D. van Dyk, A puzzle in  $\bar{B}_{(s)}^0 \rightarrow D_{(s)}^{(*)+} \{\pi^-, K^-\}$  decays and extraction of the  $f_s/f_d$  fragmentation fraction, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80**, 951 (2020).
- [4] J. F. Krohn *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), Measurements of the branching fractions  $\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+}\pi^-)$  and  $\mathcal{B}(\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{*+}K^-)$  and tests of QCD factorization, *Phys. Rev. D* **107**, 012003 (2023).
- [5] J. Davies, S. Schacht, N. Skidmore, and A. Soni, Reappraisal of SU(3)-flavor breaking in  $B \rightarrow DP$ , *Phys. Rev. D* **109**, 113006 (2024).
- [6] S. Navas *et al.* (Particle Data Group Collaboration), Review of particle physics, *Phys. Rev. D* **110**, 030001 (2024).
- [7] T. Huber, S. Kränkl, and X. Q. Li, Two-body non-leptonic heavy-to-heavy decays at NNLO in QCD factorization, *J. High Energy Phys.* **09** (2016) 112.
- [8] M. L. Piscopo and A. V. Rusov, Non-factorisable effects in the decays  $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^+ \pi^-$  and  $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^+ K^-$  from LCSR, *J. High Energy Phys.* **10** (2023) 180.
- [9] B. Blok and I. E. Halperin, Regge asymptotics and color suppressed heavy meson decays, *Phys. Lett. B* **385**, 324 (1996).
- [10] B. Blok, M. Gronau, and J. L. Rosner, Annihilation, rescattering, and  $CP$  asymmetries in  $B$  meson decays, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78**, 3999 (1997).
- [11] J. F. Donoghue, E. Golowich, A. A. Petrov, and J. M. Soares, Systematics of soft final state interactions in  $B$  decay, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 2178 (1996).
- [12] C. K. Chua, W. S. Hou, and K. C. Yang, Final state rescattering and color suppressed  $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^{0(*)} h^0$  decays, *Phys. Rev. D* **65**, 096007 (2002).
- [13] C. K. Chua and W. S. Hou, Implications of  $\bar{B}^0 \rightarrow D^0 \pi^0$  decays on  $\bar{B} \rightarrow D\bar{K}, \bar{D}\bar{K}$  decays, *Phys. Rev. D* **72**, 036002 (2005).
- [14] C. K. Chua and W. S. Hou, Rescattering effects in  $\bar{B}_{u,d,s} \rightarrow DP, \bar{D}P$  decays, *Phys. Rev. D* **77**, 116001 (2008).
- [15] C. K. Chua, Revisiting final state interaction in charmless  $B_q \rightarrow PP$  decays, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 093004 (2018).
- [16] M. Endo, S. Iguro, and S. Mishima, Revisiting rescattering contributions to  $\bar{B}_{(s)} \rightarrow D_{(s)}^{(*)} M$  decays, *J. High Energy Phys.* **01** (2022) 147.
- [17] F. M. Cai, W. J. Deng, X. Q. Li, and Y. D. Yang, Probing new physics in class-I  $B$ -meson decays into heavy-light final states, *J. High Energy Phys.* **10** (2021) 235.
- [18] S. Iguro and T. Kitahara, Implications for new physics from a novel puzzle in  $\bar{B}_{(s)}^0 \rightarrow D_{(s)}^{(*)+} \{\pi^-, K^-\}$  decays, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 071701 (2020).
- [19] R. Fleischer and E. Malami, Using  $B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^\mp K^\pm$  decays as a portal to new physics, *Phys. Rev. D* **106**, 056004 (2022).
- [20] R. Fleischer and E. Malami, Revealing new physics in  $\bar{B}_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^\mp K^\pm$  decays, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **83**, 420 (2023).
- [21] T. Gershon, A. Lenz, A. V. Rusov, and N. Skidmore, Testing the standard model with  $CP$  asymmetries in flavor-specific nonleptonic decays, *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, 115023 (2022).
- [22] A. Lenz, J. Müller, M. L. Piscopo, and A. V. Rusov, Taming new physics in  $b \rightarrow c\bar{u}d(s)$  with  $\tau(B^+)/\tau(B_d)$  and  $a_{sl}^d$ , *J. High Energy Phys.* **09** (2023) 028.
- [23] A. Lenz, M. L. Piscopo, and A. V. Rusov, Disintegration of beauty: A precision study, *J. High Energy Phys.* **01** (2023) 004.
- [24] A. Lenz and G. Tetlalmatzi-Xolocotzi, Model-independent bounds on new physics effects in non-leptonic tree-level decays of  $B$ -mesons, *J. High Energy Phys.* **07** (2020) 177.
- [25] G. Buchalla, A. J. Buras, and M. E. Lautenbacher, Weak decays beyond leading logarithms, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **68**, 1125 (1996).
- [26] M. Eigner, M. Fael, A. Lenz, M. L. Piscopo, A. V. Rusov, K. Schönwald, and M. Steinhauser, Total decay rates of  $B$  mesons at NNLO-QCD, [arXiv:2412.14035](https://arxiv.org/abs/2412.14035).
- [27] A. Lenz, Lifetimes and heavy quark expansion, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **30**, 1543005 (2015).
- [28] M. Kirk, A. Lenz, and T. Rauh, Dimension-six matrix elements for meson mixing and lifetimes from sum rules, *J. High Energy Phys.* **12** (2017) 068; **06** (2020) 162(E).

- [29] H. Y. Cheng, Phenomenological study of heavy hadron lifetimes, *J. High Energy Phys.* **11** (2018) 014.
- [30] E. Golowich, S. Pakvasa, and A. A. Petrov, New physics contributions to the lifetime difference in  $D^0 - \bar{D}^0$  mixing, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 181801 (2007).
- [31] C. Bobeth and U. Haisch, New physics in  $\Gamma_{12}^s: (\bar{s}b)(\bar{\tau}\tau)$  operators, *Acta Phys. Pol. B* **44**, 127 (2013).
- [32] A. Badin, F. Gabbiani, and A. A. Petrov, Lifetime difference in  $B_s$  mixing: Standard model and beyond, *Phys. Lett. B* **653**, 230 (2007).
- [33] B. A. Dobrescu, P. J. Fox, and A. Martin,  $CP$  violation in  $B_s$  mixing from heavy Higgs exchange, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 041801 (2010).
- [34] Y. Bai and A. E. Nelson,  $CP$  violating contribution to  $\Delta\Gamma$  in the  $B_s$  system from mixing with a hidden pseudoscalar, *Phys. Rev. D* **82**, 114027 (2010).
- [35] J. Kubo and A. Lenz, Large loop effects of extra SUSY Higgs doublets to  $CP$  violation in  $B^0$  mixing, *Phys. Rev. D* **82**, 075001 (2010).
- [36] S. Oh and J. Tandean, Anomalous  $CP$ -violation in  $B_s - \bar{B}_s$  mixing due to a light spin-one particle, *Phys. Lett. B* **697**, 41 (2011).
- [37] M. Trott and M. B. Wise, On theories of enhanced  $CP$  violation in  $B_{s,d}$  meson mixing, *J. High Energy Phys.* **11** (2010) 157.
- [38] J. E. Kim, M. S. Seo, and S. Shin, The  $D0$  same-charge dimuon asymmetry and possible new  $CP$  violation sources in the  $B_s - \bar{B}_s$  system, *Phys. Rev. D* **83**, 036003 (2011).
- [39] W. Altmannshofer, M. Carena, S. Gori, and A. de la Puente, Signals of  $CP$  violation beyond the MSSM in Higgs and flavor physics, *Phys. Rev. D* **84**, 095027 (2011).
- [40] S. Nandi and D. London,  $B_s^0(\bar{B}_s^0) \rightarrow D_{CP}^0 K\bar{K}$ : Detecting and discriminating new physics in  $B_s^0 - \bar{B}_s^0$  mixing, *Phys. Rev. D* **85**, 114015 (2012).
- [41] T. Inami and C. S. Lim, Effects of superheavy quarks and leptons in low-energy weak processes  $K_L \rightarrow \mu\bar{\mu}, K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\nu\bar{\nu}$  and  $K^0 \leftrightarrow \bar{K}^0$ , *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **65**, 297 (1981); **65**, 1772(E) (1981).
- [42] M. Beneke, G. Buchalla, and I. Dunietz, Width difference in the  $B_s - \bar{B}_s$  system, *Phys. Rev. D* **54**, 4419 (1996); **83**, 119902(E) (2011).
- [43] M. Ciuchini, E. Franco, V. Lubicz, F. Mescia, and C. Tarantino, Lifetime differences and  $CP$  violation parameters of neutral B mesons at the next-to-leading order in QCD, *J. High Energy Phys.* **08** (2003) 031.
- [44] M. Beneke, G. Buchalla, C. Greub, A. Lenz, and U. Nierste, Next-to-leading order QCD corrections to the lifetime difference of  $B_s$  mesons, *Phys. Lett. B* **459**, 631 (1999).
- [45] M. Beneke, G. Buchalla, A. Lenz, and U. Nierste,  $CP$  asymmetry in flavor specific  $B$  decays beyond leading logarithms, *Phys. Lett. B* **576**, 173 (2003).
- [46] I. Adachi *et al.* (Belle-II Collaboration), Measurement of the branching fraction of the decay  $B^- \rightarrow D^0\rho(770)^-$  at Belle II, *Phys. Rev. D* **109**, L111103 (2024).
- [47] M. Bordone, B. Capdevila, and P. Gambino, Three loop calculations and inclusive  $V_{cb}$ , *Phys. Lett. B* **822**, 136679 (2021).
- [48] I. I. Y. Bigi, M. A. Shifman, N. G. Uraltsev, and A. I. Vainshtein, Sum rules for heavy flavor transitions in the SV limit, *Phys. Rev. D* **52**, 196 (1995).
- [49] I. I. Y. Bigi, M. A. Shifman, N. Uraltsev, and A. I. Vainshtein, High power  $n$  of  $m_b$  in beauty widths and  $n = 5 \rightarrow \infty$  limit, *Phys. Rev. D* **56**, 4017 (1997).
- [50] L. Di Luzio, M. Kirk, A. Lenz, and T. Rauh,  $\Delta M_s$  theory precision confronts flavour anomalies, *J. High Energy Phys.* **12** (2019) 009.
- [51] S. Iguro and R. Watanabe, Bayesian fit analysis to full distribution data of  $\bar{B} \rightarrow D^{(*)}\ell\bar{\nu}: |V_{cb}|$  determination and new physics constraints, *J. High Energy Phys.* **08** (2020) 006.
- [52] A. Bharucha, D. M. Straub, and R. Zwicky,  $B \rightarrow V\ell^+\ell^-$  in the standard model from light-cone sum rules, *J. High Energy Phys.* **08** (2016) 098.
- [53] P. Ball and R. Zwicky, New results on  $B \rightarrow \pi, K, \eta$  decay formfactors from light-cone sum rules, *Phys. Rev. D* **71**, 014015 (2005).
- [54] A. Khodjamirian and A. V. Rusov,  $B_s \rightarrow K\ell\nu_\ell$  and  $B_{(s)} \rightarrow \pi(K)\ell^+\ell^-$  decays at large recoil and CKM matrix elements, *J. High Energy Phys.* **08** (2017) 112.
- [55] C. T. H. Davies, J. Harrison, G. Peter Lepage, C. J. Monahan, J. Shigemitsu, and M. Wingate (HPQCD Collaboration), Lattice QCD matrix elements for the  $B_s^0 - \bar{B}_s^0$  width difference beyond leading order, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124**, 082001 (2020).
- [56] Y. Aoki *et al.* (Flavour Lattice Averaging Group (FLAG) Collaboration), FLAG review 2021, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **82**, 869 (2022).
- [57] P. Gelhausen, A. Khodjamirian, A. A. Pivovarov, and D. Rosenthal, Decay constants of heavy-light vector mesons from QCD sum rules, *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 014015 (2013); **89**, 099901(E) (2014); **91**, 099901(E) (2015).
- [58] K. G. Chetyrkin, J. H. Kuhn, and M. Steinhauser, RunDec: A *Mathematica* package for running and decoupling of the strong coupling and quark masses, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **133**, 43 (2000).
- [59] A. Lenz and U. Nierste, Theoretical update of  $B_s - \bar{B}_s$  mixing, *J. High Energy Phys.* **06** (2007) 072.
- [60] M. Artuso, G. Borissov, and A. Lenz,  $CP$  violation in the  $B_s^0$  system, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **88**, 045002 (2016).
- [61] J. Albrecht, F. Bernlochner, A. Lenz, and A. Rusov, Lifetimes of b-hadrons and mixing of neutral B-mesons: Theoretical and experimental status, *Eur. Phys. J. Special Topics* **233**, 359 (2024).
- [62] Y. S. Amhis *et al.* (HFLAV Collaboration), Averages of b-hadron, c-hadron, and  $\tau$ -lepton properties as of 2021, *Phys. Rev. D* **107**, 052008 (2023).
- [63] R. Aaij *et al.* (LHCb Collaboration), Physics case for an LHCb upgrade II—Opportunities in flavour physics, and beyond, in the HL-LHC era, [arXiv:1808.08865](https://arxiv.org/abs/1808.08865).
- [64] A. Cerri, V. V. Gligorov, S. Malvezzi, J. Martin Camalich, J. Zupan, S. Akar, J. Alimena, B. C. Allanach, W. Altmannshofer, L. Anderlini *et al.*, Report from working group 4: Opportunities in flavour physics at the HL-LHC and HE-LHC, *CERN Yellow Rep. Monogr.* **7**, 867 (2019).
- [65] M. Neubert, Heavy quark symmetry, *Phys. Rep.* **245**, 259 (1994).