

Evaluation Reports for 79527-AJCB-AJ

Academic Editor's Evaluation Sheet for 79527-AJCB-AJ

Does Digital Identifier (ORCID iD or LiveDNA iD) provided by each author ?

From January 1, 2016, its compulsory for all corresponding authors submitting papers to any Science Alert Journal to provide ORCID iD (orcid.org) or LiveDNA iD (livedna.net) before final publication of their articles.

With this standard identifier, you can create a profile of your research activities to distinguish yourself from other researchers with similar names, and make it easier for your colleagues to find your publications.

Reviewer # 1					
REVIEWER'S RECOMMENDATION	Extensive revision is required. Revised manuscript should be reviewed by referees.				
Rate the manuscript	Excellent	Good	Fair	Poor	N/A
Originality			✓		
Design and Methodology			✓		
Writing Style			✓		
Data Analysis			✓		
Conclusions			✓		

	<table border="1"> <tr> <td data-bbox="514 224 955 272">Contribution to field</td> <td data-bbox="955 224 1102 272"></td> <td data-bbox="1102 224 1260 272"></td> <td data-bbox="1260 224 1417 272">✓</td> <td data-bbox="1417 224 1575 272"></td> <td data-bbox="1575 224 1732 272"></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="514 289 955 337">Novelty of Results</td> <td data-bbox="955 289 1102 337"></td> <td data-bbox="1102 289 1260 337"></td> <td data-bbox="1260 289 1417 337">✓</td> <td data-bbox="1417 289 1575 337"></td> <td data-bbox="1575 289 1732 337"></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="514 345 955 394">Discussion</td> <td data-bbox="955 345 1102 394"></td> <td data-bbox="1102 345 1260 394"></td> <td data-bbox="1260 345 1417 394">✓</td> <td data-bbox="1417 345 1575 394"></td> <td data-bbox="1575 345 1732 394"></td> </tr> </table>	Contribution to field			✓			Novelty of Results			✓			Discussion			✓		
Contribution to field			✓																
Novelty of Results			✓																
Discussion			✓																
Overall Comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Author should cite at least 5 to 7 most recent references (from 2014 to onward) for providing the current background to the research. • Apparently the under review project show only incremental advance over prior research results. Author should summarize in two or three sentences the main point/finding(s) of the paper especially highlight the new findings of this project. • Author did not mention what the purpose are of and problems to solve in the work? • I could not find the answer of the question in my mind that is the work original and does it contain new results that significantly advance the research field? Please let me know how this paper contain new results r adds significantly to knowledge already published? • How the results are interesting and important to researchers in relevant fields? • Paper is scientifically sound and not misleading but does not provide sufficient information and in-depth discussion? • After publication of this paper, what is your expectation about the citation of this paper likely to be cited in the future? • Highlights are mandatory for this journal. They consist of a short collection of bullet points that convey the core findings of the article and should be submitted in a separate editable file in the online submission system. 																		
Required Modification																			