e-ISSN: 3062-7109
Proceeding International Conference on Learning Community (ICLC)
Volume 1 No 1, 2024
https://jurnal.untirta.ac.id/index.php/iclc/index

From AI to We: Harnessing Generative AI Tools to Cultivate Collaborative Learning Ecosystems in Universities

FX. Risang Baskara

English Letters Department, Faculty of Letters, Universitas Sanata Dharma

email correspondence: risangbaskara@usd.ac.id

ABSTRACT

This paper proposes a new descriptive framework that offers the adoption of Generative AI towards building ecology-based collaborative learning platforms in higher education. With the exponential growth of advanced technologies like ChatGPT, Claude, Google Gemini, and many other AI-based platforms entering new boundaries, redefining education frameworks has enormous potential. We present the AI-enabled collaborative Learning Ecosystems (AI-CLE) model, which combines socio-constructivist theory, connectivism, and the Community of Inquiry framework to reconceptualise how learners, educators, and AI can function together as dynamic educational ecosystems. The paper explores mechanisms through which AI can facilitate personalised learning pathways, cognitive augmentation, collaborative problem-solving, and peer learning. We also examine the opportunities and challenges of using AI-CLEs in education; these include questions relating to equity, academic integrity, and data privacy. The implications for higher education pedagogy are mentioned, focusing on the need for curriculum redesign, the changing face of educators' roles, and innovative assessment methodologies. This paper provides a theoretical basis for the interaction between humans and artificial intelligence in educational ecosystems, thereby suggesting research directions and practical implementations of AI technologies that can make interactions much more effective before being deployed on a bigger scale.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Collaborative Learning, Educational Technology, Generative AI, Higher Education

INTRODUCTION

The higher education landscape is profoundly transformed, propelled by the rapid advancement of Generative Artificial Intelligence (AI) tools (Chiu, 2024; Hasmi & Bal, 2024; Kurtz et al., 2024). These sophisticated systems, exemplified by ChatGPT, Claude, and Google Gemini, represent a leap forward in AI capabilities, offering unprecedented potential to revolutionise teaching and learning practices (Zhai et al., 2023). Generative AI, built on large language models and deep learning algorithms, demonstrates remarkable natural language processing, content creation, and problem-solving abilities across diverse domains (Brown et al., 2020). These tools can engage in human-like dialogues, generate coherent text on complex topics, and even assist in creative endeavours, marking a significant evolution from earlier AI applications in education (Khare et al., 2018).

The integration of Generative AI in educational settings has initially focused on individual interactions between learners and AI systems. Students have leveraged these tools for personalised tutoring, writing assistance, and on-demand explanations of complex concepts (Bibi & Atta, 2024; Graefen & Fazal, 2024). However, a paradigm shift is emerging, moving beyond these one-on-one exchanges towards creating collaborative learning environments enhanced by AI. This transition reflects a growing recognition of the social nature of learning and the potential for AI to facilitate and enrich peer interactions. The shift aligns with established educational theories emphasising the importance of social constructivism and collaborative knowledge building (Vygotsky, 1978; Scardamalia & Bereiter, 2014). As AI tools become more sophisticated in understanding context and generating relevant responses, they offer new possibilities for fostering dynamic, interconnected learning communities that blend human creativity with machine intelligence (Luckin & Cukurova, 2019).

The central thesis of this paper posits that Generative AI tools can serve as catalysts for creating vibrant, collaborative learning ecosystems in higher education, fundamentally altering the landscape of teaching and learning. The paper introduces a novel conceptual framework to explore this proposition: AI-Enabled Collaborative Learning Ecosystems (AI-CLE). This framework synthesises socio-constructivist learning theory, connectivism, and the Community of Inquiry model to elucidate the potential synergies between human learners, educators, and AI systems in fostering rich, interactive learning environments. The paper is structured to comprehensively examine this concept, beginning with a review of the theoretical foundations underpinning AI-CLEs.

Subsequently, the components and dynamics of the AI-CLE model are delineated, followed by an exploration of the specific mechanisms through which AI can facilitate community building and collaborative learning. Critical attention is given to the challenges and ethical considerations inherent in implementing such systems, including issues of equity, academic integrity, and data privacy. The implications for higher education pedagogy are then discussed, emphasising the need for curriculum redesign, evolving educator roles, and innovative assessment strategies. The paper concludes by outlining future research directions and calling for proactive engagement from universities in shaping this emerging educational paradigm. Through this comprehensive analysis, the paper aims to contribute to the ongoing discourse on the role of AI in education and provide a theoretical foundation for leveraging Generative AI to enhance collaborative learning in higher education settings.

THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS

The conceptualisation of AI-enabled collaborative Learning Ecosystems (AI-CLEs) is grounded in three fundamental theoretical frameworks: Socio-constructivist Learning Theory, Connectivism, and the Community of Inquiry Framework. These theories provide a robust foundation for understanding the potential of generative AI tools in fostering collaborative learning environments in higher education.

Socio-constructivist Learning Theory

Socio-constructivist learning theory, rooted in the work of Lev Vygotsky (1978), posits that knowledge is constructed through social interaction and collaborative meaning-making (Kharroubi & ElMediouni, 2024; Sobko et al., 2020). This theory emphasises the importance of cultural and social contexts in cognitive development, highlighting the role of more knowledgeable others in facilitating learning within the learner's Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD) (Kirshner & Whitson, 2021; Mcleod, 2024; Shabani et al., 2010). In the context of AI-CLEs, generative AI tools can be conceptualised as dynamic scaffolds operating within learners' ZPDs to support knowledge construction.

Integrating AI in collaborative learning environments aligns with the socio-constructivist emphasis on dialogue and peer interaction (Smith, 2022). Woo and Reeves (2007) argue that meaningful interaction in web-based learning environments can lead to knowledge co-construction, a principle that can be extended to AI-enhanced spaces. Generative AI tools, with their ability to engage in nuanced dialogues and provide contextual information, can serve as catalysts for peer discussions and collaborative problem-solving (Luckin et al., 2016). Moreover, the adaptive nature of these AI systems allows for creating personalised learning experiences that respect individual differences while promoting social learning, addressing a fundamental tenet of socio-constructivism.

Connectivism

Connectivism, a learning theory for the digital age proposed by Siemens (2005) and further developed by Downes (2010), provides a framework for understanding learning in networked environments. This theory posits that knowledge resides not only in human minds but also in digital networks and technologies. Connectivism emphasises recognising patterns, making connections, and navigating information networks as crucial learning skills in the 21st century (Glaude, 2022; Goldie, 2016; Vokwana et al., 2024).

In the context of AI-CLEs, connectivism offers valuable insights into how generative AI tools can facilitate learning by enhancing connectivity and information flow within educational networks. These AI systems can serve as nodes in learning networks, helping students identify relevant connections between concepts, sources, and peers (Foroughi, 2015). The ability of generative AI to process and synthesise vast amounts of information aligns with the connectivist principle of seeing learning as a process of network formation, where the capacity to know is more critical than what is currently known (Siemens, 2005).

Furthermore, connectivism's emphasis on the rapid evolution of knowledge and the need for continual learning resonates with the dynamic nature of AI-enhanced learning environments. Generative AI tools, with their capacity for real-time information processing and adaptation, can support the development of what Siemens and Tittenberger (2009) term "networked learning ecologies," where learners, educators, and AI systems form a complex, interconnected ecosystem of knowledge creation and sharing.

Community of Inquiry Framework

The Community of Inquiry (CoI) framework, developed by Garrison, Anderson, and Archer (2000) and refined over the past two decades, provides a comprehensive model for understanding and creating meaningful educational experiences in online and blended learning environments (Cleveland-Innes et al., 2024; Wang et al., 2024; West et al., 2024). The CoI model identifies three essential elements that interact to create a deep and meaningful learning experience: cognitive presence, social presence, and teaching presence (Garrison, 2007).

In the context of AI-CLEs, the CoI framework offers a valuable lens through which to examine the role of generative AI in fostering collaborative learning (Baskara, 2023). Cognitive presence, which refers to how learners construct and confirm meaning through sustained reflection and discourse, can be enhanced by AI tools that prompt critical thinking, facilitate information synthesis, and support problem-solving processes (Delen et al., 2014). Social presence, the ability of participants to project their characteristics into the community, can be supported by AI systems that facilitate peer interactions, encourage diverse perspectives, and create a sense of belonging in virtual learning spaces (Lowenthal & Snelson, 2017).

Teaching presence, which involves designing, facilitating, and directing cognitive and social processes, takes on new dimensions in AI-enhanced environments. While human educators remain crucial in setting educational goals and guiding overall learning experiences, generative AI can augment teaching presence by providing timely feedback, answering student queries, and facilitating discussions (Poquet et al., 2018). The adaptive capabilities of AI systems align with the CoI framework's emphasis on creating a supportive discourse environment, potentially leading to more personalised and compelling learning experiences (Garrison & Arbaugh, 2007).

Integrating these three theoretical frameworks—socio-constructivist learning theory, connectivism, and the Community of Inquiry model—provides a robust foundation for conceptualising AI-enabled collaborative Learning Ecosystems. By leveraging the strengths of each theory, AI-CLEs can be designed to foster dynamic, interconnected learning communities that harness the power of generative AI while preserving the essential human elements of education.

CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK: AI-ENABLED COLLABORATIVE LEARNING ECOSYSTEMS (AI-CLE)

The AI-Enabled Collaborative Learning Ecosystem (AI-CLE) represents a novel conceptual framework that integrates generative AI tools into collaborative learning environments in higher education. This framework builds upon the theoretical foundations of socio-constructivism, connectivism, and the Community of Inquiry model to envision a dynamic, interconnected learning space where human intelligence and artificial intelligence synergistically interact to enhance the educational experience.

Components of AI-CLE

The AI-CLE framework comprises four primary components that work in concert to create a rich, interactive learning environment. The students are at the heart of the AI-CLE and engage in active, collaborative knowledge construction. In this ecosystem, learners are not merely consumers of information but co-creators of knowledge (Scardamalia & Bereiter, 2014). They interact with peers, educators, and AI systems, developing critical thinking skills, digital literacy, and the ability to navigate complex information landscapes (Gašević et al., 2015). The AI-CLE empowers learners to take ownership of their learning journey, fostering autonomy and self-regulation (Zimmerman & Schunk, 2011).

The role of educators in the AI-CLE evolves from traditional instruction to that of facilitators, mentors, and orchestrators of learning experiences. Educators design learning activities that leverage AI capabilities, guide student interactions, and ensure the alignment of AI-enhanced learning with pedagogical objectives (Williamson & Eynon, 2020). They also play a crucial role in developing students' AI literacy and fostering critical engagement with AI tools (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019).

Generative AI systems, such as large language models and adaptive learning platforms, serve as intelligent learning partners. These tools provide personalised scaffolding, generate thought-provoking prompts, offer real-time feedback, and facilitate knowledge synthesis (Luckin et al., 2016). AI tools in the AI-CLE are designed to augment human intelligence rather than replace it, supporting cognitive processes and enhancing collaborative activities (Holmes et al., 2019).

The AI-CLE is underpinned by sophisticated digital platforms integrating AI functionalities with collaborative learning tools. These platforms provide the technological infrastructure for seamless interaction between learners, educators, and AI systems. They incorporate AI-enhanced discussion forums, collaborative document editing with AI assistance, and intelligent content recommendation systems.

The interplay between these components creates a learning environment that is both highly personalised and deeply collaborative. For instance, AI tools can analyse learner interactions and provide educators with insights to tailor their facilitation strategies (Pardo et al., 2019). Simultaneously, learners can leverage AI assistance to enhance their contributions to group projects while educators ensure that AI interventions align with learning objectives and ethical considerations (Popenici & Kerr, 2017).

Dynamics of AI-CLE

The AI-enabled collaborative Learning Ecosystem is characterised by several fundamental dynamics that drive its effectiveness. The AI-CLE provides dynamic, personalised support to learners based on their needs and progress. AI tools continuously assess learner performance and engagement, adjusting the level of scaffolding in real-time. This adaptive support helps maintain learners within their Zone of Proximal Development, optimising the challenge level and fostering growth (Cukurova et al., 2020).

AI tools in the ecosystem enhance human cognitive capabilities rather than replace them. They assist in information processing, pattern recognition, and complex problem-solving, allowing learners to engage with higher-order thinking tasks more effectively (Fryer & Nakao, 2020). This augmentation enables learners to tackle more challenging projects and explore complex ideas collaboratively (Roll & Wylie, 2016).

The AI-CLE facilitates rich, multi-directional dialogues between learners, educators, and AI systems. These conversations go beyond simple question-answering, evolving into complex knowledge-building discourse. AI tools contribute to these dialogues by generating thought-provoking questions, offering alternative perspectives, and synthesising diverse viewpoints (Goel & Polepeddi, 2018).

Leveraging principles of connectivism, the AI-CLE promotes the creation and navigation of knowledge networks. With AI assistance, learners identify connections between concepts, sources, and peer contributions, fostering a more interconnected understanding of the subject matter (Siemens & Tittenberger, 2009). This networked approach to learning helps develop critical 21st-century skills such as information literacy and cross-disciplinary thinking.

The dynamics of the AI-CLE are governed by a robust ethical framework that ensures responsible and transparent use of AI in education. This includes data privacy considerations, algorithmic bias, and the maintenance of human agency in the learning process (Prinsloo & Slade, 2016). Educators and learners engage in ongoing dialogue about the ethical implications of AI in education, fostering critical awareness and responsible use of these technologies (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019).

The AI-CLE establishes iterative feedback mechanisms that inform and improve the learning process. AI tools provide immediate, formative feedback to learners while gathering data on learning patterns and outcomes (Pardo et al., 2019). When ethically analysed, this data offers insights to educators for refining teaching strategies and system designers to enhance AI functionalities.

The dynamics of the AI-CLE create a learning environment that is simultaneously structured and flexible, personalised and collaborative. By leveraging the strengths of both human and artificial intelligence, this ecosystem has the potential to transform higher education, fostering more profound learning, enhancing critical thinking skills, and preparing students for a future where human-AI collaboration is increasingly prevalent.

MECHANISMS OF AI-FACILITATED COMMUNITY BUILDING

Integrating Artificial Intelligence (AI) in collaborative learning environments offers innovative mechanisms for fostering community building and enhancing the learning experience. This section explores four critical mechanisms through which AI facilitates the development of vibrant learning communities: Personalized Learning Pathways, Cognitive Augmentation, Collaborative Problem-Solving, and Peer Learning and Teaching.

Personalised Learning Pathways

AI-enabled systems can create highly personalised learning pathways that cater to individual student needs while maintaining a collaborative learning environment. These systems analyse vast data to tailor educational content and activities, including learner performance, engagement patterns, and learning preferences (Pardo et al., 2019). Machine learning algorithms can predict learner needs and proactively offer resources or suggest learning activities that align with individual goals and learning styles.

In community building, personalised pathways facilitate more meaningful interactions among learners. AI systems can identify complementary skills and knowledge gaps among students, suggesting collaborative activities that leverage diverse strengths within the learning community (Cen et al., 2016). For instance, an AI-powered platform might recommend peer tutoring partnerships based on complementary expertise, fostering knowledge exchange and social connections (Khosravi et al., 2019).

Moreover, adaptive learning systems can dynamically adjust the difficulty level of collaborative tasks, ensuring that group activities challenge all participants appropriately (Näykki et al., 2017). This adaptive approach maintains engagement and motivation within the learning community, as students consistently work within their zone of proximal development while contributing to shared goals (Cukurova et al., 2020).

Cognitive Augmentation

AI tools serve as cognitive amplifiers in collaborative learning environments, enhancing students' ability to engage with complex ideas and contribute meaningfully to group discussions. Natural Language Processing (NLP) technologies can analyse and summarise vast information, helping learners quickly grasp key concepts and identify relevant resources. This augmentation allows students to engage in higher-order thinking and more substantive peer interactions as the cognitive load of information processing is reduced.

AI-powered concept mapping tools can visualise connections between ideas contributed by different community members, fostering a more holistic understanding of the subject matter (Wang et al., 2017). These tools aid in individual comprehension and facilitate the co-construction of knowledge within the learning community. As students interact with these AI-generated concept maps, they develop a deeper appreciation for diverse perspectives and the interconnectedness of ideas.

Furthermore, AI systems can provide real-time feedback on written contributions, offering suggestions for clarity, coherence, and argumentation strength (Zhu et al., 2020). This immediate feedback loop enhances the learning community's discourse quality, promoting more thoughtful and articulate peer exchanges. By augmenting cognitive processes, AI tools elevate collaboration and intellectual engagement within the learning ecosystem.

Collaborative Problem-Solving

AI systems facilitate collaborative problem-solving by structuring group interactions, providing intelligent scaffolding, and offering real-time analytics to support effective teamwork. Intelligent tutoring systems can guide group discussions, prompting students to consider different aspects of a problem and encouraging equitable participation. These systems can identify when groups are struggling and intervene with targeted hints or resources, ensuring that collaborative efforts remain productive.

Machine learning algorithms can analyse patterns of successful collaboration and use these insights to form optimal groups for specific tasks. AI can create balanced teams that maximise learning outcomes and foster positive social interactions by considering factors such as skill complementarity, communication styles, and past performance.

Additionally, AI-powered simulation environments provide rich contexts for collaborative problem-solving, allowing students to tackle complex, real-world scenarios together (Sottilare et al., 2018). These immersive experiences enhance domain-specific skills and develop crucial collaboration competencies. As students work through challenges in these AI-enhanced environments, they learn to coordinate efforts, negotiate solutions, and leverage collective intelligence (Fiore et al., 2017).

Peer Learning and Teaching

AI systems significantly enhance peer learning and teaching processes within collaborative learning communities. Intelligent algorithms can identify students who have mastered specific concepts and pair them with struggling peers, facilitating targeted peer tutoring (Chung et al., 2019). This AI-mediated peer matching supports knowledge transfer and fosters social connections and a sense of community among learners.

Natural Language Processing technologies enable the development of AI-powered discussion forums that can summarise key points, identify emerging themes, and prompt deeper exploration of topics (Tlili et al., 2021). These enhanced forums support more meaningful peer interactions, as students can quickly build upon each other's ideas and collectively construct knowledge. Additionally, AI chatbots can be discussion facilitators, posing thought-provoking questions and guiding students toward more critical and reflective exchanges (Winkler & Söllner, 2018).

Furthermore, AI systems can analyse student-generated content, such as explanations or tutorials, and provide feedback on its clarity and accuracy. This feature supports the development of high-quality peer-created learning resources, empowering students to take on teaching roles within the community. As students create and refine educational content with AI assistance, they deepen their understanding and contribute to a rich, collaborative knowledge base (Scardamalia & Bereiter, 2014).

These AI-facilitated mechanisms collectively create dynamic, supportive, and intellectually stimulating learning communities. AI tools foster an environment where students can thrive individually and collectively by personalising learning experiences, augmenting cognitive capabilities, enhancing collaborative problem-solving, and facilitating peer learning. As these technologies evolve, their potential to transform collaborative learning ecosystems in higher education becomes increasingly profound.

CHALLENGES AND ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS

While AI-enabled collaborative Learning Ecosystems (AI-CLEs) offer significant potential for enhancing higher education, their implementation and use raise challenges and ethical considerations that must be carefully addressed to ensure responsible and practical integration.

Ensuring Equity and Accessibility

Integrating AI tools in collaborative learning environments raises concerns about equity and accessibility. The digital divide, encompassing disparities in access to technology and digital literacy skills, may exacerbate existing educational inequalities (Reich & Ito, 2017). Students from disadvantaged backgrounds may lack the necessary devices or high-speed internet connections to fully engage with AI-enhanced learning platforms (Warschauer & Matuchniak, 2010).

Moreover, AI systems may inadvertently perpetuate biases in their training data, potentially disadvantaging certain groups of students (Holstein et al., 2019). For instance, natural language processing models may struggle with non-standard dialects or accents, impacting the effectiveness of AI-mediated communication for diverse student populations (Paullada et al., 2021).

To address these challenges, institutions must implement comprehensive strategies to ensure equitable access to AI-enhanced learning resources. This may include providing necessary hardware and internet access, offering digital literacy training, and continuously monitoring and adjusting AI systems to mitigate bias and ensure inclusivity (Czerkawski, 2015).

Maintaining Academic Integrity

Using AI tools in collaborative learning environments presents new challenges for maintaining academic integrity. As AI systems become more sophisticated in generating human-like text and solving complex problems, distinguishing between student-produced work and AI-generated content becomes increasingly difficult (Sharples, 2019).

There are concerns that students may over-rely on AI assistance, potentially compromising the development of critical thinking and writing skills (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019). Additionally, using AI tools may blur the lines between authorship and originality in collaborative projects, raising questions about appropriate attribution and intellectual property rights.

To address these issues, educational institutions must develop comprehensive policies and guidelines for the ethical use of AI in academic work. This may involve redesigning assessment methods to focus on process and reasoning rather than just final outputs, implementing AI-detection tools, and fostering a culture of academic integrity that emphasises the responsible use of AI as a learning aid rather than a substitute for original thought (Eaton & Dressler, 2019).

Balancing AI Assistance with Core Competencies

While AI tools can significantly enhance the learning process, there is a risk of over-dependence that may hinder the development of essential skills and competencies. The challenge lies in leveraging AI to augment human intelligence without diminishing students' ability to think critically, solve problems independently, and engage in deep learning (Luckin et al., 2016).

Concerns exist that excessive reliance on AI for information retrieval, writing assistance, and problem-solving may decline students' cognitive abilities and self-efficacy (Tondeur et al., 2017). Moreover, the ease of accessing AI-generated answers may reduce students' motivation to engage deeply with course material, potentially leading to superficial learning (Roll & Wylie, 2016).

Educators must carefully design learning activities that balance AI assistance and independent cognitive effort to address this challenge. This may involve creating tasks requiring higher-order thinking skills, encouraging metacognition, and explicitly teaching students how to use AI tools as supplements to, rather than replacements for, their intellectual capabilities (Holmes et al., 2019).

Data Privacy and Algorithmic Bias

Implementing AI-CLEs inevitably involves collecting and analysing vast amounts of student data, raising significant concerns about privacy and data security. The extensive tracking of student interactions, learning behaviours, and personal information necessary for personalised learning may infringe on students' privacy rights and autonomy (Prinsloo & Slade, 2016).

Furthermore, the algorithms underlying AI systems may perpetuate or amplify existing biases, leading to unfair or discriminatory outcomes for certain groups of students (O'Neil, 2016). These biases can manifest in various ways, from skewed content recommendations to biased assessments of student work, potentially reinforcing societal inequities in the educational context.

Addressing these challenges requires a multi-faceted approach. Institutions must implement robust data governance frameworks that ensure transparency, consent, and control over data collection and use (Drachsler & Greller, 2016). Regular audits of AI algorithms for bias and fairness are essential, as is the development of diverse and representative training datasets (Barocas et al., 2019). Additionally, fostering algorithmic literacy among students and educators can promote critical engagement with AI systems and their outputs (Williamson, 2017).

IMPLICATIONS FOR HIGHER EDUCATION PEDAGOGY

Integrating AI-enabled collaborative Learning Ecosystems (AI-CLEs) in higher education necessitates fundamentally reimagining pedagogical approaches. This shift impacts curriculum design, the roles of educators, and assessment strategies, requiring a comprehensive transformation of traditional educational paradigms.

Redesigning Curricula

Implementing AI-CLEs demands a significant overhaul of existing curricula to leverage the potential of AI-enhanced collaborative learning fully. This redesign process involves integrating AI tools and reconsidering learning objectives, content delivery methods, and the structure of educational experiences.

Curricula in the AI-CLE era must prioritise the development of AI literacy alongside domain-specific knowledge (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019). This includes understanding AI capabilities and limitations, ethical considerations in AI use, and the ability to critically evaluate AI-generated information (Long & Magerko, 2020). Furthermore, curricula should emphasise cultivating skills that complement AI capabilities, such as creative thinking, complex problem-solving, and emotional intelligence (Popenici & Kerr, 2017).

The structure of courses within AI-CLEs may shift towards more flexible, modular designs that allow for personalised learning pathways (Cope & Kalantzis, 2016). This could involve the creation of adaptive learning sequences that dynamically adjust based on individual and group progress and incorporating real-world, AI-assisted collaborative projects that span multiple disciplines (Luckin et al., 2016).

Moreover, curricula should foster a collaborative mindset, emphasising the importance of peer learning and knowledge co-construction in AI-enhanced environments (Scardamalia & Bereiter, 2014). This may involve structured opportunities for students to engage in AI-mediated group work, peer teaching, and collective problem-solving activities.

New Roles for Educators

The advent of AI-CLEs necessitates a redefinition of educator roles, shifting from traditional instructional paradigms to more facilitative and curatorial functions. Educators in these environments become orchestrators of learning experiences, leveraging AI tools to enhance student engagement and outcomes while providing crucial human guidance and support.

In AI-CLEs, educators take on the role of AI-human collaboration facilitators, helping students navigate the complex interplay between human and artificial intelligence in the learning process (Holmes et al., 2019). This involves guiding students in the effective use of AI tools, fostering critical thinking about AI-generated content, and encouraging the development of hybrid intelligence that combines human creativity with AI capabilities (Cukurova et al., 2020).

Educators also become learning designers, crafting AI-enhanced collaborative experiences that promote deep learning and skill development. This role requires proficiency in instructional design principles, an understanding of AI affordances, and the ability to create synergies between AI tools and pedagogical objectives (Williamson & Eynon, 2020).

Furthermore, the role of educators expands to include data interpretation and ethical oversight. As AI systems generate vast amounts of learning analytics data, educators must develop competencies in data literacy to inform instructional decisions and personalise learning experiences (Mandinach & Gummer, 2016). They must also serve as ethical guardians, ensuring the responsible use of AI in educational contexts and helping students navigate the ethical implications of AI in their learning and future careers (Prinsloo & Slade, 2016).

Assessment Strategies

Integrating AI-CLEs necessitates reimagining assessment strategies to align with the new modalities of learning and collaboration enabled by these technologies. Traditional assessment methods may prove inadequate in capturing the complex, collaborative, and AI-assisted nature of learning in these environments.

AI-CLEs enable the implementation of continuous, formative assessment strategies that provide real-time feedback on individual and group performance (Pardo et al., 2019). These systems can track and analyse student interactions, contributions to collaborative projects, and learning trajectories, offering insights that can inform learners and educators (Knight & Buckingham Shum, 2017).

Assessment in AI-CLEs should focus on evaluating content knowledge and meta-skills such as collaborative problem-solving, AI literacy, and adaptive learning abilities. This may involve using AI-enhanced performance tasks that simulate real-world scenarios, requiring students to demonstrate their ability to work effectively with human peers and AI tools (Sottilare et al., 2018).

Moreover, AI technologies enable the development of sophisticated peer and self-assessment tools that can scaffold students' evaluative skills while providing multiple perspectives on learning outcomes (Xiong & Suen, 2018). These tools can analyse the quality of peer feedback, track the evolution of students' self-assessment accuracy, and provide guidance for improvement.

The challenge lies in developing assessment frameworks that maintain academic integrity while acknowledging the collaborative and AI-assisted nature of learning in these ecosystems. This may involve a shift towards assessing the learning and problem-solving process rather than just the final product and developing methods to distinguish between AI-generated content and students' original contributions.

FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

As AI-Enabled Collaborative Learning Ecosystems (AI-CLEs) continue to evolve and reshape higher education, several key areas emerge as critical for future research. These directions encompass empirical studies on AI-CLEs, longitudinal research on graduate outcomes, and the development of comprehensive AI literacy frameworks.

Empirical Studies on AI-CLEs

The rapidly evolving nature of AI technologies necessitates rigorous empirical studies to evaluate the effectiveness and impact of AI-CLEs in higher education settings. Future research should focus on conducting large-scale, controlled studies that compare AI-enhanced collaborative learning environments with traditional approaches (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019). These studies should examine various aspects of AI-CLEs, including their impact on student engagement, knowledge retention, and skill development (Holstein et al., 2019). Additionally, research is needed to investigate the optimal balance between AI-mediated and human-led instruction in collaborative settings and the effectiveness of different AI tools and algorithms in facilitating group learning (Luckin et al., 2016). Empirical work should also address the challenges of implementing AI-CLEs, such as issues of equity, accessibility, and the digital divide, to ensure these systems benefit all learners (Reich & Ito, 2017).

Longitudinal Research on Graduate Outcomes

Longitudinal studies tracking graduate outcomes are essential to fully understanding the long-term impact of AI-CLEs on student success. These studies should examine how exposure to AI-enhanced collaborative learning environments influences graduates' career trajectories, adaptability to technological changes, and lifelong learning capabilities (Aoun, 2017). Research should investigate whether graduates from AI-CLEs demonstrate enhanced skills in areas such as complex problem-solving, critical thinking, and human-AI collaboration compared to those from traditional educational models (Popenici & Kerr, 2017). Additionally, longitudinal studies should explore how AI-CLE experiences shape graduates' attitudes towards AI, their ethical decision-making in AI-related scenarios, and their ability to navigate rapidly evolving technological landscapes in their professional lives (Holmes et al., 2019). Such research will provide valuable insights into the long-term efficacy of AI-CLEs and inform ongoing refinements to these educational approaches.

Developing AI Literacy Frameworks

Integrating AI into collaborative learning environments highlights the need for comprehensive AI literacy frameworks. Future research should focus on developing and validating frameworks that define the knowledge, skills, and competencies required for effective participation in AI-enhanced learning and working environments (Long & Magerko, 2020). These frameworks should encompass a technical understanding of AI systems, critical evaluation of AI-generated information, ethical considerations in AI use, and the ability to collaborate effectively with AI tools (Touretzky et al., 2019). Research is needed to explore how AI literacy can be effectively integrated into curricula across different disciplines and educational levels (Cukurova et al., 2020). Also, studies should investigate the most effective pedagogical approaches for developing AI literacy, including project-based learning, simulations, and real-world AI applications in educational contexts. Developing robust AI literacy frameworks will prepare students for a future where human-AI collaboration is increasingly prevalent.

CONCLUSION

Integrating AI-Enabled Collaborative Learning Ecosystems (AI-CLEs) in higher education represents a paradigm shift in pedagogical approaches. These systems leverage the power of artificial intelligence to enhance collaborative learning experiences, fostering environments where human and machine intelligence synergistically interact. AI-CLEs offer personalised learning pathways and cognitive augmentation and facilitate dynamic peer interactions. However, their implementation also presents challenges related to equity, academic integrity, and the balance between AI assistance and core competency development. The transformation brought about by AI-CLEs necessitates a reimagining of curricula, educator roles, and assessment strategies. As these systems evolve, they hold the potential to cultivate graduates who are adept at navigating complex, technology-rich environments and possess the critical thinking and collaborative skills essential for the 21st-century workforce.

The advent of AI-CLEs presents both opportunities and imperatives for universities. Higher education institutions must proactively engage with these emerging technologies, fostering environments that embrace innovation while maintaining a commitment to ethical and equitable learning practices. Universities should invest in infrastructure and professional development to support the integration of AI-enhanced collaborative learning. Simultaneously, they must prioritise developing comprehensive AI literacy programs, ensuring that students and educators are equipped to engage with and leverage AI technologies critically. Collaboration between academic institutions, industry partners, and policymakers is crucial to addressing the challenges and harnessing the full potential of AI-CLEs. By taking decisive action now, universities can shape the future of education, preparing students for a world where human-AI collaboration is integral to personal and professional success.

REFERENCES

Aoun Joseph, E. (2017). Robot-proof: Higher Education in the Age of Artificial Intelligence.

Barocas, S., Hardt, M., & Narayanan, A. (2019). Fairness and Machine Learning. farm book. org.

Baskara, R. (2023). Exploring the implications of ChatGPT for language learning in higher education. *Indonesian Journal of English Language Teaching and Applied Linguistics*, *7*(2), 343-358.

Bibi, Z., & Atta, A. (2024). The role of ChatGPT as AI English writing assistant: A study of student's perceptions, experiences, and satisfaction. *Annals of Human and Social Sciences*, *5*(1), 433-443.

Brown, T., Mann, B., Ryder, N., Subbiah, M., Kaplan, J. D., Dhariwal, P., ... & Amodei, D. (2020). Language models are few-shot learners. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, *33*, 1877-1901.

Cen, L., Ruta, D., Powell, L., Hirsch, B., & Ng, J. (2016). Quantitative approach to collaborative learning: Performance prediction, individual assessment, and group composition. *International Journal of Computer-Supported Collaborative Learning*, *11*, 187-225.

Chiu, T. K. (2024). Future research recommendations for transforming higher education with generative AI. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, *6*, 100197.

Chung, C. J., Hwang, G. J., & Lai, C. L. (2019). A review of experimental mobile learning research in 2010–2016 based on the activity theory framework. *Computers & education*, *129*, 1-13.

Cleveland-Innes, M. F., Stenbom, S., & Garrison, D. R. (Eds.). (2024). *The design of digital learning environments: Online and blended applications of the community of inquiry*. Taylor & Francis.

Cope, B., & Kalantzis, M. (2016). Big data comes to school: Implications for learning, assessment, and research. *Aera Open*, *2*(2), 2332858416641907.

Cukurova, M., Kent, C., & Luckin, R. (2019). Artificial intelligence and multimodal data in the service of human decision-making: A case study in debate tutoring. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, *50*(6), 3032-3046.

Cukurova, M., Luckin, R., & Kent, C. (2020). Impact of an artificial intelligence research frame on the perceived credibility of educational research evidence. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education*, *30*(2), 205-235.

Czerkawski, B. C. (2015). When learning analytics meets e-learning. *Online Journal of Distance Learning Administration*, *18*(2), 1-5.

Delen, E., Liew, J., & Willson, V. (2014). Effects of interactivity and instructional scaffolding on learning: Self-regulation in online video-based environments. *Computers & Education*, *78*, 312-320.

Downes, S. (2010). New technology supporting informal learning. *Journal of emerging technologies in web intelligence*, *2*(1), 27-33.

Drachsler, H., & Greller, W. (2016, April). Privacy and analytics: it's a DELICATE issue a checklist for trusted learning analytics. In *Proceedings of the sixth international conference on learning analytics & knowledge* (pp. 89-98).

Eaton, S. E., & Dressler, R. (2019). Multilingual essay mills: Implications for second language teaching and learning. *Notos*, *14*(2), 4-14.

Fiore, S. M., Graesser, A., Greiff, S., Griffin, P., Gong, B., Kyllonen, P., ... & von Davier, A. (2017). Collaborative problem solving: Considerations for the national assessment of educational progress.

Foroughi, A. (2015). The theory of connectivism: can it explain and guide learning in the digital age?. *Journal of higher education theory and practice*, *15*(5), 11.

Fryer, L. K., & Nakao, K. (2020). The future of survey self-report: an experiment contrasting Likert, VAS, slide, and swipe touch interfaces. *Frontline Learning Research*, *8*(3), 10-25.

Garrison, D. R. (2007). Online community of inquiry review: Social, cognitive, and teaching presence issues. *Journal of Asynchronous Learning Networks*, *11*(1), 61-72.

Garrison, D. R., & Arbaugh, J. B. (2007). Researching the community of inquiry framework: Review, issues, and future directions. *The Internet and higher education*, *10*(3), 157-172.

Garrison, D. R., Anderson, T., & Archer, W. (1999). Critical inquiry in a text-based environment: Computer conferencing in higher education. *The internet and higher education*, *2*(2-3), 87-105.

Gašević, D., Dawson, S., & Siemens, G. (2015). Let's not forget: Learning analytics are about learning. *TechTrends*, *59*, 64-71.

Glaude, J. P. (2022). *Essential Components of Connectivism, the Next Generation Digital Learning Environment, and the Substitution, Augmentation, Modification, and Redefinition Model: A Modified e-Delphi Study*. University of Arizona Global Campus.

Goel, A. K., & Polepeddi, L. (2018). Jill Watson: A virtual teaching assistant for online education. In *Learning engineering for online education* (pp. 120-143). Routledge.

Goldie, J. G. S. (2016). Connectivism: A knowledge learning theory for the digital age?. *Medical teacher*, *38*(10), 1064-1069.

Graefen, B., & Fazal, N. (2024). From Chat bots to Virtual Tutors: An Overview of Chat GPT's Role in the Future of Education. *Archives of Pharmacy Practice*, *15*(2-2024), 43-52.

Hashmi, N., & Bal, A. S. (2024). Generative AI in higher education and beyond. *Business Horizons*.

Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2019). *Artificial intelligence in education promises and implications for teaching and learning*. Center for Curriculum Redesign.

Holstein, K., McLaren, B. M., & Aleven, V. (2019). Co-designing a real-time classroom orchestration tool to support teacher-AI complementarity. *Grantee Submission*.

Holstein, K., Wortman Vaughan, J., Daumé III, H., Dudik, M., & Wallach, H. (2019, May). Improving fairness in machine learning systems: What do industry practitioners need?. In *Proceedings of the 2019 CHI conference on human factors in computing systems* (pp. 1-16).

Khare, K., Stewart, B., & Khare, A. (2018). Artificial intelligence and the student experience: An institutional perspective. The International Academic Forum (IAFOR).

Kharroubi, S., & ElMediouni, A. (2024). Conceptual Review: Cultivating Learner Autonomy Through Self-Directed Learning & Self-Regulated Learning: A Socio-Constructivist Exploration. *International Journal of Language and Literary Studies*, *6*(2), 276-296.

Khosravi, H., Kitto, K., & Williams, J. J. (2019). Ripple: A crowdsourced adaptive platform for recommendation of learning activities. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.05522*.

Kirshner, D., & Whitson, J. A. (Eds.). (2021). *Situated cognition: Social, semiotic, and psychological perspectives*. Taylor & Francis.

Knight, S., & Shum, S. B. (2017). Theory and learning analytics. *Handbook of learning analytics*, *1*, 17-22.

Kurtz, G., Amzalag, M., Shaked, N., Zaguri, Y., Kohen-Vacs, D., Gal, E., ... & Barak-Medina, E. (2024). Strategies for Integrating Generative AI into Higher Education: Navigating Challenges and Leveraging Opportunities. *Education Sciences*, *14*(5), 503.

Long, D., & Magerko, B. (2020, April). What is AI literacy? Competencies and design considerations. In *Proceedings of the 2020 CHI conference on human factors in computing systems* (pp. 1-16).

Lowenthal, P. R., & Snelson, C. (2020). In search of a better understanding of social presence: An investigation into how researchers define social presence. In *Social presence and identity in online learning* (pp. 5-23). Routledge.

Luckin, R., & Cukurova, M. (2019). Designing educational technologies in the age of AI: A learning sciences-driven approach. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, *50*(6), 2824-2838.

Luckin, R., & Holmes, W. (2016). Intelligence unleashed: An argument for AI in education.

Mandinach, E. B., & Gummer, E. S. (2016). *Data literacy for educators: Making it count in teacher preparation and practice*. Teachers College Press.

Mcleod, S. (2024). Vygotsky's theory of Cognitive Development. *Simply Psychology, updated on January, 24*.

Näykki, P., Isohätälä, J., Järvelä, S., Pöysä-Tarhonen, J., & Häkkinen, P. (2017). Facilitating socio-cognitive and socio-emotional monitoring in collaborative learning with a regulation macro script–an exploratory study. *International Journal of Computer-Supported Collaborative Learning*, *12*, 251-279.

O'neil, C. (2017). *Weapons of math destruction: How big data increases inequality and threatens democracy*. Crown.

Pardo, A., Jovanovic, J., Dawson, S., Gašević, D., & Mirriahi, N. (2019). Using learning analytics to scale the provision of personalised feedback. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, *50*(1), 128-138.

Paullada, A., Raji, I. D., Bender, E. M., Denton, E., & Hanna, A. (2021). Data and its (dis) contents: A survey of dataset development and use in machine learning research. *Patterns*, *2*(11).

Popenici, S. A., & Kerr, S. (2017). Exploring the impact of artificial intelligence on teaching and learning in higher education. *Research and practice in technology enhanced learning*, *12*(1), 22.

Poquet, O., Kovanović, V., de Vries, P., Hennis, T., Joksimović, S., Gašević, D., & Dawson, S. (2018). Social presence in massive open online courses. *The International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, *19*(3).

Prinsloo, P., & Slade, S. (2016). Student vulnerability, agency, and learning analytics: An exploration. *Journal of Learning Analytics*, *3*(1), 159-182.

Reich, J., Ito, M., & Team, M. S. (2017). From good intentions to real outcomes.

Roll, I., & Wylie, R. (2016). Evolution and revolution in artificial intelligence in education. *International journal of artificial intelligence in education*, *26*, 582-599.

Scardamalia, M., & Bereiter, C. (2014). Knowledge builgind and knowledge creation: Theory, pedagogy and technology. In *The cambridge handbook of the learning sciences* (pp. 397-417).

Shabani, K., Khatib, M., & Ebadi, S. (2010). Vygotsky's zone of proximal development: Instructional implications and teachers' professional development. *English language teaching*, *3*(4), 237-248.

Sharples, M. (2019). *Practical pedagogy: 40 new ways to teach and learn*. Routledge.

Siemens, G. E. O. R. G. E. (2005). Connectivism: A learning theory for the digital age. International Journal of Instructional Technology and Distance Learning. *Online] retrieved from: http://www. idtl. org/Journal/Jam _05/article01. html*.

Siemens, G., & Tittenberger, P. (2009). *Handbook of emerging technologies for learning* (p. 65). Canada: University of Manitoba.

Smith, C. (2022). Socio-constructivist pedagogy in physical and virtual spaces: the impacts and opportunities on dialogic learning in creative disciplines. *Architecture_MPS*, *22*(1).

Sobko, S., Unadkat, D., Adams, J., & Hull, G. (2020). Learning through collaboration: A networked approach to online pedagogy. *E-learning and Digital Media*, *17*(1), 36-55.

Sottilare, R. A., Shawn Burke, C., Salas, E., Sinatra, A. M., Johnston, J. H., & Gilbert, S. B. (2018). Designing adaptive instruction for teams: A meta-analysis. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education*, *28*, 225-264.

Tlili, A., Denden, M., Essalmi, F., Jemni, M., Chang, M., Kinshuk, & Chen, N. S. (2023). Automatic modeling learner’s personality using learning analytics approach in an intelligent Moodle learning platform. *Interactive Learning Environments*, *31*(5), 2529-2543.

Tondeur, J., Van Braak, J., Ertmer, P. A., & Ottenbreit-Leftwich, A. (2017). Understanding the relationship between teachers’ pedagogical beliefs and technology use in education: A systematic review of qualitative evidence. *Educational technology research and development*, *65*, 555-575.

Touretzky, D., Gardner-McCune, C., Martin, F., & Seehorn, D. (2019, July). Envisioning AI for K-12: What should every child know about AI?. In *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence* (Vol. 33, No. 01, pp. 9795-9799).

Vokwana, N., Baleni, L., & Nkonki, V. (2024). Positioning of Technology-Enhanced Learning Practice Within Connectivism Theory for Developing Blended Learning. In *Online Teaching and Learning in Higher Education: Issues and Challenges in an African Context* (pp. 45-58). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.

Vygotsky, L. S., & Cole, M. (1978). *Mind in society: Development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard university press.

Wang, M., Yuan, B., Kirschner, P. A., Kushniruk, A. W., & Peng, J. (2018). Reflective learning with complex problems in a visualization-based learning environment with expert support. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *87*, 406-415.

Wang, X., Pang, H., Wallace, M. P., Wang, Q., & Chen, W. (2024). Learners’ perceived AI presences in AI-supported language learning: A study of AI as a humanized agent from community of inquiry. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, *37*(4), 814-840.

Warschauer, M., & Matuchniak, T. (2010). New technology and digital worlds: Analyzing evidence of equity in access, use, and outcomes. *Review of research in education*, *34*(1), 179-225.

West, H., Hill, J., Abzhaparova, A., Cox, W., & Alexander, A. (2024). Pandemic pedagogies: Reflecting on online learning using the community of inquiry framework. *Journal of Geography in Higher Education*, *48*(2), 157-176.

Williamson, B. (2017). Big data in education: The digital future of learning, policy and practice.

Williamson, B., & Eynon, R. (2020). Historical threads, missing links, and future directions in AI in education. *Learning, Media and Technology*, *45*(3), 223-235.

Winkler, R., & Söllner, M. (2018, July). Unleashing the potential of chatbots in education: A state-of-the-art analysis. In *Academy of Management Proceedings* (Vol. 2018, No. 1, p. 15903). Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510: Academy of Management.

Woo, Y., & Reeves, T. C. (2007). Meaningful interaction in web-based learning: A social constructivist interpretation. *The Internet and higher education*, *10*(1), 15-25.

Xiong, Y., & Suen, H. K. (2018). Assessment approaches in massive open online courses: Possibilities, challenges and future directions. *International Review of Education*, *64*(2), 241-263.

Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education–where are the educators?. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, *16*(1), 1-27.

Zhai, X., Chu, X., Chai, C. S., Jong, M. S. Y., Istenic, A., Spector, M., ... & Li, Y. (2021). A Review of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Education from 2010 to 2020. *Complexity*, *2021*(1), 8812542.

Zhu, M., Sari, A. R., & Lee, M. M. (2020). A comprehensive systematic review of MOOC research: Research techniques, topics, and trends from 2009 to 2019. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, *68*, 1685-1710.

Zimmerman, B. J., & Schunk, D. H. (Eds.). (2011). *Handbook of self-regulation of learning and performance.* Routledge/Taylor & Francis Group.