

---

**| RESEARCH ARTICLE**

## **Generative AI as an Enabler of Sustainable Education: Theoretical Perspectives and Future Directions**

**FX. Risang Baskara**

*English Letters Department, Faculty of Letters, Universitas Sanata Dharma, Yogyakarta, Indonesia*

**Corresponding Author:** FX. Risang Baskara, **E-mail:** [risangbaskara@usd.ac.id](mailto:risangbaskara@usd.ac.id)

---

**| ABSTRACT**

This theoretical research paper explores Generative Artificial Intelligence (AI) as a transformative force in sustainable education within the digital era. Through a comprehensive literature review of peer-reviewed articles, conference proceedings, and policy documents in sustainable education, AI in education, and learning theories, we propose a novel conceptual framework: Generative AI-Enabled Sustainable Education (GAISE). This framework synthesises principles from sustainable education theories, AI in education, constructivism, connectivism, and transformative learning. The GAISE model elucidates how Generative AI's capabilities in content generation, personalisation, adaptive learning, and natural language processing can enhance sustainability literacy and promote transformative learning experiences. Our analysis reveals the framework's potential to integrate Generative AI into curriculum design, teaching methodologies, assessment strategies, and teacher professional development for sustainable education. Critical ethical considerations include data privacy, equity, and human-AI collaboration in educational contexts. The paper identifies key challenges in implementing Generative AI for sustainable education and proposes future empirical research directions and policy recommendations. This work contributes to the intersection of AI and sustainable education, offering theoretical insights and practical pathways for educators and policymakers to leverage Generative AI in promoting sustainability competencies in education.

**| KEYWORDS**

Adaptive Learning, Artificial Intelligence, Educational Technology, Generative AI, Sustainable Education.

**| ARTICLE INFORMATION**

**ACCEPTED:** 20 October 2024

**PUBLISHED:** 13 November 2024

**DOI:** 10.32996/bjtep.2024.3.3.9

---

### **1. Introduction**

In an era marked by rapid technological advancement and pressing global challenges, sustainable education and artificial intelligence (AI) intersection has emerged as a critical area of inquiry. This paper explores the potential of Generative AI as a transformative force in sustainable education, offering new perspectives on how we can prepare learners for an uncertain future.

#### **1.1 Background on sustainable education**

##### *1.1.1 Definition and Importance*

Sustainable education, as defined by Sterling (2001), is an approach that equips learners with the knowledge, skills, and values necessary to create a more sustainable world. It goes beyond environmental education, encompassing sustainability's social, economic, and cultural dimensions. (UNESCO Division for Inclusion & sustainable development, 2017). Sustainable education is essential because of its potential to foster critical thinking, systems thinking, and problem-solving skills for addressing complex global challenges. (Wals, 2011).

### 1.1.2 Challenges in the digital era

However, the digital era presents unique challenges to sustainable education. (Abulibdeh et al., 2024; Larsari, 2024; Sousa et al., 2022; Tavares et al., 2022). The rapid pace of technological change often outstrips educational reform, creating a skills gap between what is taught and what is needed in the workforce. (Forum, 2020). Moreover, the information overload characteristic of the digital age can lead to superficial learning and difficulty discerning credible information. (Carr, 2020). Educators must grapple with these challenges while striving to maintain the core principles of sustainable education.

## 1.2 Overview of Generative AI

### 1.2.1 Definition and Critical Characteristics

Generative AI refers to artificial intelligence systems that create new content, such as text, images, or code, based on patterns learned from existing data. (Brynjolfsson et al., 2023; Epstein et al., 2023; Feuerriegel et al., 2024; Jo, 2023). Key characteristics include producing human-like outputs, adapting to new contexts, and generating novel solutions to problems. Recent advancements in natural language processing, particularly with models like GPT-4o, have dramatically expanded the potential applications of Generative AI. (Alawida et al., 2023; Imamguluyev, 2023; Nazir & Wang, 2023).

### 1.2.2 Current applications in education

In education, Generative AI is already being applied in various ways. It can create personalised learning materials, assist in curriculum design, and provide instant feedback on student work. (Gupta et al., 2023; Holmes et al., 2019; Kostikova et al., 2024; Y. Li et al., 2024). For instance, AI-powered writing assistants can help students improve their composition skills, while intelligent tutoring systems can adapt to individual learning needs. (Boynagryan & Tshngryan, 2024; Z. Li et al., 2024; Luckin & Holmes, 2016; Zhikai et al., 2024). However, these applications are still in their early stages, and their full potential in supporting sustainable education still needs to be explored.

## 1.3 Research gap and significance of the study

Despite the growing body of research on AI in education, there is a notable gap in understanding how Generative AI can specifically support and enhance sustainable education practices. Most studies focus on AI's role in improving efficiency or personalisation in learning without explicitly addressing sustainability competencies (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019). This paper aims to bridge this gap by proposing a conceptual framework integrating Generative AI capabilities with sustainable education principles.

The significance of this study lies in its potential to inform both theory and practice in the rapidly evolving field of educational technology. By exploring the synergies between Generative AI and sustainable education, we can uncover new pathways for developing critical 21st-century skills while fostering a deeper understanding of sustainability issues. This research could guide educators, policymakers, and technology developers in creating more effective and sustainable learning environments.

## 1.4 Objectives and Research Questions

The primary objective of this paper is to develop a conceptual framework for integrating Generative AI into sustainable education practices. To achieve this, we aim to:

- Analyze the current state of sustainable education and its challenges in the digital era.
- Examine the capabilities of Generative AI relevant to educational contexts.
- Explore theoretical perspectives that can inform the integration of Generative AI in sustainable education.
- Propose a conceptual framework for Generative AI-Enabled Sustainable Education (GAISE).

Guided by these objectives, our research questions are:

- How can Generative AI capabilities be leveraged to enhance sustainable education practices?
- What theoretical perspectives can inform the integration of Generative AI in sustainable education?
- What are the potential implications, challenges, and ethical considerations of using Generative AI in sustainable education?

## **1.5. Theoretical framework**

### *1.5.1 Sustainable Education Theories*

This study draws on several critical theories in sustainable education. Sterling's (Sterling & Orr, 2001) Transformative learning theory for sustainability emphasises the need for a paradigm shift in education towards holistic, systemic thinking. We also incorporate Wals' (Wals, 2011) The concept of social learning for sustainability highlights the importance of collaborative, experiential learning in developing sustainability competencies. Additionally, we consider Sipos et al.'s (Sipos et al., 2008) The transformative sustainability learning model integrates the head (cognitive), hands (psychomotor), and heart (affective) domains of learning.

### *1.5.2 AI in education theories*

To understand the role of AI in education, we draw on Luckin et al.'s (Luckin & Holmes, 2016) AIEd (Artificial Intelligence in Education) framework outlines how AI can support teaching and learning processes. The theory of AI-powered learning ecosystems explores how AI can create adaptive, personalised learning environments. Furthermore, we consider Holmes et al.'s (Holmes et al., 2019) The pedagogical framework for AI in education emphasises the importance of aligning AI capabilities with sound pedagogical principles.

## **2. methodology**

### **2.1 Conceptual research approach**

This study employs a conceptual research approach, which is Jabareen. (Jabareen, 2009) It is a methodology to generate, identify, and trace a phenomenon's significant concepts. We chose this approach due to its suitability for exploring complex, multidisciplinary topics. (Gilson & Goldberg, 2015). Our conceptual analysis integrates insights from sustainable education and artificial intelligence, following Whetten's (Whetten, 1989) Guidelines for theory development. This approach allows us to synthesise diverse streams of literature and propose a novel framework for understanding the role of Generative AI in sustainable education.

### **2.2 Literature Review Methodology**

#### *2.2.1 Search strategy and databases used*

We conducted a comprehensive literature search using vital academic databases: Web of Science, Scopus, ERIC, and Google Scholar. Search terms included combinations of "sustainable education," "education for sustainable development," "generative AI," "artificial intelligence in education," and related variants. We also employed a snowballing technique, reviewing reference lists of key papers to identify additional relevant sources. (Wohlin, 2014).

#### *2.2.2 Inclusion and exclusion criteria*

Articles were included if they were peer-reviewed, published between 2010 and 2024, and focused on either sustainable education or AI in education. We excluded papers not written in English, those focusing solely on technical aspects of AI without educational implications, and studies that still need to address higher-order thinking skills or sustainability competencies. This approach ensured a focused yet comprehensive review of the field. (Kitchenham & Charters, 2007).

#### *2.2.3 Data extraction and synthesis*

We extracted data using a standardised form, capturing essential information such as research questions, theoretical frameworks, methodologies, and main findings. The synthesis followed a narrative approach (Popay et al., 2006), allowing us to integrate diverse studies and identify emerging themes and gaps in the literature. We paid particular attention to theoretical constructs that could inform our conceptual framework.

### **2.3 Theoretical analysis framework**

Our theoretical analysis draws on Jabareen's (Jabareen, 2009) Methodology for building conceptual frameworks. We identified and defined vital concepts, categorised them, and integrated them into a coherent framework. This process was iterative, involving constant comparison and refinement of concepts. (Corbin & Strauss, 2015). We also employed concept-mapping techniques. (Novak & Cañas, 2008) To visualise relationships between different theoretical constructs.

## 2.4 Limitations of the methodology

While our approach allows for a comprehensive theoretical exploration, it needs empirical validation. Future studies should test and refine the proposed framework through empirical research.

## 3. Results and Discussion

### 3.1 Current state of sustainable education

#### 3.1.1 Key principles and practices

As conceptualised by leading scholars in the field, sustainable education is characterised by several fundamental principles and practices. At its core, it aims to develop learners' capacity to think critically about complex sustainability issues and act responsibly towards the environment and society. (Cicmil et al., 2017; Glavič, 2020; Wals, 2011). UNESCO's (UNESCO Division for Inclusion & sustainable development, 2017) Framework for Education for Sustainable Development (ESD) emphasises the importance of integrating sustainability across curricula, fostering participatory teaching methods, and promoting ethical awareness. Tilbury and Wortman (Tilbury & Wortman, 2004) Highlight the significance of systems thinking, future thinking, and value clarification in ESD practices. Moreover, place-based learning and interdisciplinary approaches have emerged as effective strategies for engaging students with real-world sustainability challenges. (Gruenewald, 2003).

#### 3.1.2 Challenges and limitations

Despite progress in implementing sustainable education principles, several challenges persist. One significant hurdle is translating sustainability concepts into concrete learning outcomes and assessment methods. (Cebrián & Junyent, 2015). Additionally, the siloed nature of traditional academic disciplines often hinders the interdisciplinary approach required for effective sustainability education. (Lozano et al., 2013). Limited teacher training in sustainability competencies and a lack of institutional support impede the widespread adoption of ESD practices. (Stevenson et al., 2017). Furthermore, the rapid pace of technological and societal change challenges keeping sustainability curricula relevant and up-to-date. (Krasny & DuBois, 2019). These limitations underscore the need for innovative approaches to address educational sustainability issues' complex, dynamic nature.

### 3.2 Generative AI capabilities relevant to education

#### 3.2.1 Content generation

Generative AI demonstrates remarkable capacity for creating diverse educational content, including text, images, and code. (Bahroun et al., 2023; Farrelly & Baker, 2023; Vartiainen & Tedre, 2023). This capability can support educators in developing tailored learning materials, generating practice questions, and creating scenario-based learning experiences. (Salinas-Navarro et al., 2024a, 2024b). For instance, GPT-3.5 has shown promise in generating contextually relevant educational texts across various subjects. (Alomari, 2024; Bezirhan & von Davier, 2023; Tian et al., 2024).

#### 3.2.2 Personalization

AI-driven personalisation in education involves adapting learning experiences to individual student needs, preferences, and performance. (Ayeti et al., 2024; Dandachi, 2023; Katiyar et al., 2024; Rane et al., 2023; Rekha et al., 2024; Vashishth et al., 2024; Yekollu et al., 2024). Generative AI can enhance this process by creating personalised learning paths, generating tailored feedback, and recommending resources based on a student's unique learning profile. (A. S. George, 2023b; Naseer et al., 2024; Tanweer & Ismail, 2024a). This level of customisation has the potential to improve learning outcomes and engagement. significantly (Kadaruddin, 2023; Pesovski et al., 2024; Ruiz-Rojas et al., 2023).

#### 3.2.3 Adaptive learning

Adaptive learning systems powered by Generative AI can dynamically adjust the difficulty, pace, and instruction content based on real-time student performance. (Gligorea et al., 2023). These systems can generate new questions or problems at the appropriate level of challenge, provide scaffolding when needed, and offer timely interventions. (Imhof et al., 2020; Taylor et al., 2021). This adaptivity ensures that learners consistently work within their zone of proximal development, optimising the learning process. (Vygotsky, 1978).

#### 3.2.4 Natural Language Processing

Natural Language Processing (NLP) capabilities of Generative AI enable sophisticated interactions between students and AI-powered educational tools. (Alqahtani et al., 2023; Bozkurt, 2023; Hutson & Plate, 2023). These systems can understand and

respond to natural language queries, facilitate dialogue-based learning, and provide instant, context-aware feedback on written assignments. (Paladines & Ramirez, 2020). Advanced NLP models like GPT-3.5 can engage in nuanced discussions on complex topics, potentially serving as AI tutors or discussion facilitators in sustainability education contexts. (Rouzegar & Makrehchi, 2024).

### **3.3 Theoretical perspectives on Generative AI in sustainable education**

#### *3.3.1 Constructivist learning theory*

Constructivism, a foundational theory in education, posits that learners actively construct knowledge through experiences and reflection. (Piaget, 1976). Generative AI aligns with constructivist principles by offering tools for creating personalised learning environments where students can explore, experiment, and build understanding. (AlAli et al., 2024; Ruiz-Rojas et al., 2023; Salinas-Navarro et al., 2024b). AI-generated scenarios and simulations can provide rich contexts for experiential learning in sustainability education, allowing students to construct knowledge through interaction with complex systems. (A. S. George, 2023a; Henriksen et al., 2024; Salinas-Navarro et al., 2024a, 2024b).

#### *3.3.2 Connectivism*

Connectivism, proposed by Siemens (Siemens, 2005) As a learning theory for the digital age, it emphasises the importance of networks and information flows in learning processes. Generative AI can support connectivist learning by facilitating connections between diverse information sources, generating knowledge maps, and creating adaptive content networks. (Feuerriegel et al., 2024). In the context of sustainability education, AI can help learners navigate the complex, interconnected nature of sustainability issues and identify patterns across disciplines. (Abulibdeh et al., 2024; Markauskaite et al., 2022; Nishant et al., 2020).

#### *3.3.3 Transformative learning theory*

Transformative learning theory, developed by Mezirow (Mezirow, 1991), focuses on the process of perspective transformation through critical reflection and dialogue. Generative AI can support transformative learning in sustainability education by creating scenarios that challenge existing assumptions, generating prompts for critical reflection, and facilitating conversations that expose learners to diverse viewpoints. (Atlas, 2023; Hammer, 2024; Tran, 2024). This approach can foster profound, perspective-altering experiences for developing sustainability mindsets.

#### *3.3.4 Sustainability literacy*

Sustainability literacy encompasses the knowledge, skills, and mindsets necessary for understanding and addressing sustainability challenges. (Stibbe, 2009). Generative AI can enhance sustainability literacy by creating diverse, context-rich learning materials that illustrate complex concepts. (Ghobakhloo et al., 2024; Gregory & Narang, 2024). AI-generated case studies, simulations, and adaptive assessments can help learners develop systems thinking, future thinking, and other key sustainability competencies. (A. S. George, 2023a; Salinas-Navarro et al., 2024a; Shah, 2023).

### **3.4 Conceptual Framework: Generative AI-Enabled Sustainable Education (GAISE)**

#### *3.4.1 Components of the framework*

The Generative AI-enabled sustainable Education (GAISE) framework integrates the capabilities of Generative AI with critical principles of sustainable education and relevant learning theories. The framework consists of four primary components:

- **AI-Enhanced Content Generation:** Leveraging AI to create diverse, up-to-date, and contextually relevant sustainability learning materials.
- **Adaptive Personalization:** Utilizing AI to tailor learning experiences to individual needs and learning styles within a sustainability context.
- **Interactive Knowledge Construction:** Employing AI to facilitate constructivist and connectivist learning processes in sustainability education.
- **Reflective Transformation:** Using AI to support critical reflection and perspective transformation in sustainability learning.

#### *3.4.2 Interrelationships between components*

The components of the GAISE framework are profoundly interconnected and mutually reinforcing. AI-Enhanced Content Generation feeds into Adaptive Personalization by providing a rich pool of materials that can be customised for individual learners. Interactive Knowledge Construction builds upon personalised content, allowing learners to engage with and make connections between sustainability concepts actively. Reflective Transformation is supported by all other components, as AI-generated prompts and adaptive feedback encourage critical reflection on sustainability issues and personal perspectives.

### 3.4.3 Potential outcomes and impacts

The GAISE framework has the potential to enhance education outcomes significantly in terms of sustainability. Providing personalised, engaging, and continuously updated learning experiences can deepen learners' understanding of complex sustainability issues. The framework's emphasis on interactive knowledge construction and reflective transformation can foster the development of critical sustainability competencies, such as systems thinking and ethical decision-making. Moreover, the adaptive nature of the framework can help address the challenge of keeping sustainability education relevant in a rapidly changing world, potentially leading to more effective and impactful sustainability initiatives beyond the educational context.

## 4. Discussion

### 4.1 Interpretation of the conceptual framework

The Generative AI-enabled sustainable Education (GAISE) framework represents a novel approach to integrating cutting-edge AI technology with sustainable education principles. By leveraging the capabilities of Generative AI, the framework addresses several key challenges in sustainable education, such as the need for up-to-date, personalised content and the development of complex sustainability competencies. The interplay between AI-enhanced content generation, adaptive personalisation, interactive knowledge construction, and reflective transformation creates a dynamic learning ecosystem that aligns with constructivist, connectivist, and transformative learning theories (Mezirow, 1991; Siemens, 2005). This alignment suggests that the GAISE framework has the potential to not only enhance the delivery of sustainability education but also to transform how learners engage with and internalise sustainability concepts fundamentally.

### 4.2 Implications for educational practice

#### 4.2.1 Curriculum design

The GAISE framework has significant implications for curriculum design in sustainable education. It offers the potential for creating curricula that dynamically adapt to emerging sustainability challenges and evolving scientific understanding. Generative AI can assist in rapidly developing and updating curriculum materials, ensuring content remains relevant and cutting-edge. (Javaid et al., 2023; Tanweer & Ismail, 2024b). Moreover, the framework supports the integration of interdisciplinary perspectives, allowing for a more holistic approach to sustainability education that better reflects the complex, interconnected nature of real-world sustainability issues.

#### 4.2.2 Teaching methodologies

Integrating Generative AI into sustainable education practices opens up new possibilities for innovative teaching methodologies. AI-powered simulations and scenario generators can create immersive, problem-based learning experiences that enhance student engagement and deepen understanding of complex sustainability concepts. (Zhang et al., 2023). The adaptive capabilities of AI can support the implementation of differentiated instruction, allowing educators to cater to diverse learning needs and styles within the same classroom. (Anis, 2023). Furthermore, AI-facilitated collaborative learning environments can foster the development of critical sustainability competencies such as systems thinking and stakeholder engagement. (Stecyk & Miciuła, 2024).

#### 4.2.3 Assessment strategies

Generative AI offers powerful tools for reimagining assessment in sustainable education. AI-driven adaptive assessments can provide a more nuanced and comprehensive evaluation of students' sustainability competencies, moving beyond traditional knowledge-based testing. Real-time, formative assessments powered by AI can offer immediate feedback, allowing continuous improvement and personalised learning pathways. (Riegel, 2024; Vashishth et al., 2024; Yesilyurt, 2023). Moreover, AI can assist in developing authentic assessment tasks that mirror real-world sustainability challenges, thereby enhancing the relevance and applicability of student learning. (Dimitriadou & Lanitis, 2023; Thanh et al., 2023; Way et al., 2021).

#### 4.2.4 Teacher Professional Development

The successful implementation of the GAISE framework necessitates a shift in the role of educators and, consequently, in approaches to teacher professional development. Teachers will need to develop new skills in AI literacy, data interpretation, and the ethical use of AI in education. (Akgun & Greenhow, 2022; Pedro et al., 2019; Sperling et al., 2024). Professional development programs should focus on helping teachers leverage AI tools effectively while maintaining a critical perspective on their use. (Ghamrawi et al., 2024; Kim, 2024; Pedro et al., 2019). Additionally, training should emphasise facilitating AI-enhanced learning experiences and developing higher-order thinking skills in sustainability contexts.

### **4.3 Ethical considerations**

#### *4.3.1 Data privacy and security*

Integrating Generative AI in education raises significant concerns about data privacy and security. The vast amounts of student data required for personalised learning could be vulnerable to breaches or misuse. (Alier et al., 2021; Cohny et al., 2021; Prinsloo et al., 2022). Robust data protection measures, transparent data policies, and adherence to regulations like GDPR are crucial. Educators and institutions must prioritise the ethical collection, storage, and use of student data, ensuring that privacy rights are respected while harnessing the benefits of AI-enhanced learning. (B. George & Wooden, 2023; Vashishth et al., 2024).

#### *4.3.2 Equity and Accessibility*

While Generative AI has the potential to personalise learning, there is a risk of exacerbating existing educational inequalities. Access to AI-enhanced educational tools may be limited by socioeconomic status, geographical location, or digital literacy. (Chima Abimbola Edeni et al., 2024; Patil, 2024). Ensuring equitable access to these technologies and developing AI systems that are culturally responsive and inclusive is paramount. Moreover, care must be taken to prevent AI systems from perpetuating or amplifying biases in educational content or assessment.

#### *4.3.3 Human-AI collaboration in education*

The introduction of Generative AI in sustainable education necessitates careful consideration of the balance between human and AI roles. While AI can enhance many aspects of teaching and learning, the importance of human interaction, empathy, and critical thinking in education cannot be overstated. (Luckin & Holmes, 2016). There is a need to develop models of human-AI collaboration that leverage the strengths, ensuring that AI augments rather than replaces human educators. This collaboration should enhance the quality of education while preserving the essential human elements of the teaching-learning process. (European Commission. Joint Research Centre., 2018).

### **4.4 Challenges in implementing Generative AI for sustainable education**

#### *4.4.1 Technical challenges*

Implementing Generative AI in educational settings presents several technical hurdles. These include ensuring the reliability and accuracy of AI-generated content, managing the computational resources required for running sophisticated AI models and developing user-friendly interfaces for educators and students. (Zhai et al., 2021). Additionally, interoperability between AI systems and existing educational technologies poses a significant challenge. To create robust, scalable solutions, overcoming these technical barriers requires collaboration between AI developers, academic technologists, and sustainability experts. (Holstein et al., 2019).

#### *4.4.2 Pedagogical challenges*

The integration of Generative AI into sustainable education curricula necessitates a reimagining of pedagogical approaches. Educators must grapple with questions of how to effectively blend AI-enhanced learning with traditional teaching methods, foster critical thinking skills in an AI-rich environment, and ensure that AI's use aligns with established learning theories and sustainability education principles. (Selwyn, 2019). There is also the challenge of helping students develop AI literacy while simultaneously using AI tools to learn about sustainability, requiring a delicate balance in curriculum design and delivery. (Long & Magerko, 2020).

#### *4.4.3 Institutional challenges*

At the institutional level, the adoption of Generative AI in sustainable education faces several obstacles. These include securing funding for AI infrastructure and training, navigating complex procurement processes for AI technologies, and addressing potential resistance from stakeholders who may be sceptical of AI's role in education. (Tsai et al., 2019). Moreover, institutions must grapple with policy and governance issues related to AI use, including developing guidelines for ethical AI implementation and establishing processes for ongoing evaluation and adjustment of AI-enhanced educational practices. (Eaton et al., 2018).

### **4.5 Future directions**

#### *4.5.1 Research opportunities*

The intersection of Generative AI and sustainable education offers rich opportunities for future research. Critical areas for investigation include the long-term impacts of AI-enhanced learning on sustainability competencies, the effectiveness of different AI-driven pedagogical approaches in fostering transformative learning for sustainability, and the development of new assessment methodologies that leverage AI capabilities. (Zawacki-Richter et al., 2019). Additionally, interdisciplinary research combining

insights from education, computer science, sustainability studies, and cognitive psychology could yield valuable insights into optimising AI-human collaboration in educational contexts. (Luckin & Holmes, 2016).

#### 4.5.2 Policy recommendations

Policymakers should consider several key areas to harness the potential of Generative AI in sustainable education while mitigating risks. First, developing comprehensive AI literacy programs for educators and students should be a priority. (Holmes et al., 2019). Second, policies should be established to ensure ethical AI use in education, addressing data privacy, algorithmic bias, and equitable access. (Prinsloo et al., 2022). Third, funding mechanisms should be created to support the development and implementation of AI technologies designed explicitly for sustainability education. Finally, policies should encourage collaboration between educational institutions, AI developers, and sustainability experts to ensure that AI applications align with academic goals and sustainability principles. (European Commission. Joint Research Centre., 2018).

#### 4.5.3 Technological developments

Future technological developments in Generative AI hold significant promise for sustainable education. Advancements in natural language processing could lead to more sophisticated AI tutors capable of engaging in nuanced discussions on complex sustainability topics. (Brown et al., 2020). Progress in machine learning algorithms could enhance the adaptivity and personalisation of learning experiences, potentially leading to more effective development of sustainability competencies. (Holstein et al., 2019), 2019). Moreover, improvements in explainable AI could increase transparency in AI-driven educational decision-making, addressing some of the ethical concerns surrounding AI use in education (Arrieta et al., 2019). As these technologies evolve, evaluating and refining their application in sustainable education contexts will be crucial.

### 5. Conclusion

This study has explored the transformative potential of Generative AI in sustainable education, yielding significant theoretical insights and practical implications for the future of education. Through comprehensive theoretical analysis, we have developed the Generative AI-enabled Sustainable Education (GAISE) framework, which provides a systematic approach to integrating AI capabilities with sustainable education principles. This framework represents a significant advancement in educational theory, successfully bridging the gap between technological innovation and sustainability education while demonstrating how Generative AI can serve as a powerful enabler for developing crucial sustainability competencies.

Our analysis reveals that the integration of Generative AI with sustainable education practices can address longstanding challenges in educational delivery and engagement. The framework's components work synergistically to enhance sustainability literacy through interactive knowledge construction and reflective transformation. Particularly noteworthy are the opportunities for adaptive learning and personalization, which can significantly improve learner engagement and outcomes in sustainability education. The theoretical foundation established through this research provides a robust basis for understanding how AI technologies can enhance the development of sustainability competencies while promoting transformative learning experiences.

However, it is important to acknowledge several limitations of this study. As a primarily theoretical investigation, our framework currently lacks empirical validation, which would be crucial for establishing its practical effectiveness. The rapid evolution of AI technology also presents a challenge, as some aspects of our framework may require adaptation as new capabilities emerge. Additionally, while our literature review was extensive, the dynamic nature of AI development means that some relevant recent developments may not have been captured. Our focus on higher education contexts also potentially limits the framework's generalizability to other educational levels.

The methodological approach, while systematic, could benefit from additional stakeholder input and validation. The absence of primary data collection and empirical testing means that the framework's practical implementation may reveal unforeseen challenges. Furthermore, practical considerations such as resource requirements, cost implications, and adaptability across different cultural and institutional contexts require further investigation. These limitations, while significant, also point to valuable opportunities for future research and development.

Looking ahead, several promising research directions emerge from our findings. Empirical validation of the GAISE framework through longitudinal studies would provide valuable insights into its effectiveness in developing sustainability competencies. Such studies should examine the framework's impact across different educational levels and cultural contexts, paying particular attention to student engagement and learning outcomes. Technical development represents another crucial area for future research, including the creation and testing of specific AI tools and platforms aligned with the framework's principles. This should include



investigation of emerging AI technologies such as multimodal AI and reinforcement learning, and their potential applications in sustainable education.

Implementation research will also be vital, particularly through case studies of early adopters and investigation of institutional barriers and enablers for AI integration. The development and testing of professional development programs for educators using the framework will be crucial for successful implementation. Additionally, policy and ethics research must address critical issues such as privacy implications, equity in access to AI-enhanced educational experiences, and the development of governance frameworks for responsible AI implementation in educational settings.

The intersection of Generative AI and sustainable education represents a promising frontier in educational innovation. While our theoretical framework provides a foundation for understanding this integration, successful implementation will require continued research, practical experimentation, and collaboration among educators, technologists, and sustainability experts. As AI technology continues to evolve, the opportunities for enhancing sustainable education will likely expand, making ongoing research in this field increasingly valuable.

We conclude with a call to action for researchers to build upon this theoretical foundation through empirical studies, technical development, and practical implementation research. The potential of Generative AI to transform sustainable education and prepare learners for the challenges of creating a more sustainable future is significant, but realizing this potential will require dedicated effort from the academic community. Only through continued investigation and collaborative effort can we fully understand and harness the power of AI to advance sustainable education and create positive change in our educational systems.

**Funding:** This research received no external funding.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers.

## References

- [1] Abulibdeh, A., Zaidan, E., & Abulibdeh, R. (2024). Navigating the confluence of artificial intelligence and education for sustainable development in the era of industry 4.0: Challenges, opportunities, and ethical dimensions. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 140527.
- [2] Akgun, S., & Greenhow, C. (2022). Artificial intelligence in education: Addressing ethical challenges in K-12 settings. *AI and Ethics*, 2(3), 431–440. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43681-021-00096-7>
- [3] AlAli, R., Wardat, Y., Al-Saud, K., & Alhayek, K. A. (2024). Generative AI in Education: Best Practices for Successful Implementation. *International Journal of Religion*, 5(9), 1016–1025.
- [4] Alawida, M., Mejri, S., Mehmood, A., Chikhaoui, B., & Isaac Abiodun, O. (2023). A comprehensive study of ChatGPT: Advancements, limitations, and ethical considerations in natural language processing and cybersecurity. *Information*, 14(8), 462.
- [5] Alier, M., Casañ Guerrero, M. J., Amo, D., Severance, C., & Fonseca, D. (2021). Privacy and e-learning: A pending task. *Sustainability*, 13(16), 9206.
- [6] Alomari, E. A. (2024). Unlocking the Potential: A Comprehensive Systematic Review of ChatGPT in Natural Language Processing Tasks. *CMES-Computer Modeling in Engineering and Sciences*, 141(1), 43–85.
- [7] Alqahtani, T., Badreldin, H. A., Alrashed, M., Alshaya, A. I., Alghamdi, S. S., bin Saleh, K., Alowais, S. A., Alshaya, O. A., Rahman, I., & Al Yami, M. S. (2023). The emergent role of artificial intelligence, natural learning processing, and large language models in higher education and research. *Research in Social and Administrative Pharmacy*, 19(8), 1236–1242.
- [8] Anis, M. (2023). Leveraging artificial intelligence for inclusive English language teaching: Strategies and implications for learner diversity. *Journal of Multidisciplinary Educational Research*, 12(6), 54–70.
- [9] Arrieta, A. B., Díaz-Rodríguez, N., Del Ser, J., Bennetot, A., Tabik, S., Barbado, A., García, S., Gil-López, S., Molina, D., Benjamins, R., Chatila, R., & Herrera, F. (2019). *Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI): Concepts, Taxonomies, Opportunities and Challenges toward Responsible AI* (No. arXiv:1910.10045). arXiv. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1910.10045>
- [10] Atlas, S. (2023). *ChatGPT for Higher Education and Professional Development: A Guide to Conversational AI*.
- [11] Ayeni, O. O., Al Hamad, N. M., Chisom, O. N., Osawaru, B., & Adewusi, O. E. (2024). AI in education: A review of personalized learning and educational technology. *GSC Advanced Research and Reviews*, 18(2), 261–271.
- [12] Bahroun, Z., Anane, C., Ahmed, V., & Zacca, A. (2023). Transforming education: A comprehensive review of generative artificial intelligence in educational settings through bibliometric and content analysis. *Sustainability*, 15(17), 12983.
- [13] Bezirhan, U., & von Davier, M. (2023). Automated reading passage generation with OpenAI's large language model. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 5, 100161.
- [14] Boynagryan, T., & Tshngryan, A. (2024). *AI Writing Assistant: A Comprehensive Study*. <https://cse.aua.am/files/2024/05/AI-Writing-Assistant-A-Comprehensive-Study.pdf>
- [15] Bozkurt, A. (2023). Generative artificial intelligence (AI) powered conversational educational agents: The inevitable paradigm shift. *Asian Journal of Distance Education*, 18(1). <http://www.asianjde.com/ojs/index.php/AsianJDE/article/view/718>

- [16] Brown, T., Mann, B., Ryder, N., Subbiah, M., Kaplan, J. D., Dhariwal, P., Neelakantan, A., Shyam, P., Sastry, G., & Askell, A. (2020). Language models are few-shot learners. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 33, 1877–1901.
- [17] Brynjolfsson, E., Li, D., & Raymond, L. R. (2023). *Generative AI at work*. National Bureau of Economic Research. <https://www.nber.org/papers/w31161>
- [18] Carr, N. (2020). *The shallows: What the Internet is doing to our brains*. WW Norton & Company.
- [19] Cebrián, G., & Junyent, M. (2015). Competencies in education for sustainable development: Exploring the student teachers' views. *Sustainability*, 7(3), 2768–2786.
- [20] Chima Abimbola Edeni, Olabisi Oluwakemi Adeleye, & Idowu Sulaimon Adeniyi. (2024). The role of AI-enhanced tools in overcoming socioeconomic barriers in education: A conceptual analysis. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, 21(3), 944–951. <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.21.3.0780>
- [21] Cicmil, S., Gough, G., & Hills, S. (2017). Insights into responsible education for sustainable development: The case of UWE, Bristol. *The International Journal of Management Education*, 15(2), 293–305.
- [22] Cohny, S., Teixeira, R., Kohlbrenner, A., Narayanan, A., Kshirsagar, M., Shvartzshnaider, Y., & Sanfilippo, M. (2021). Virtual classrooms and real harms: Remote learning at {US} universities. *Seventeenth Symposium on Usable Privacy and Security (SOUPS 2021)*, 653–674. <https://www.usenix.org/conference/soups2021/presentation/cohney>
- [23] Corbin, J., & Strauss, A. (2015). *Basics of qualitative research* (Vol. 14). sage. [https://books.google.com/books?hl=id&lr=&id=Dc45DQAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PP1&dq=Corbin,+J.,+%26+Strauss,+A.+\(2008\).+Basics+of+qualitative+research:+Techniques+and+procedures+for+developing+grounded+theory+\(3rd+ed.\).+Sage+Publications.&ots=M4GM0QmQxl&sig=sqgGTzsGwXxNLGS\\_gYOSCckiDpU](https://books.google.com/books?hl=id&lr=&id=Dc45DQAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PP1&dq=Corbin,+J.,+%26+Strauss,+A.+(2008).+Basics+of+qualitative+research:+Techniques+and+procedures+for+developing+grounded+theory+(3rd+ed.).+Sage+Publications.&ots=M4GM0QmQxl&sig=sqgGTzsGwXxNLGS_gYOSCckiDpU)
- [24] Dandachi, I. E. (2023). AI-Powered Personalized Learning: Toward Sustainable Education. In H. El-Chaarani, I. El Dandachi, S. El Nemar, & Z. El Abiad (Eds.), *Navigating the Intersection of Business, Sustainability and Technology* (pp. 109–118). Springer Nature Singapore. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-99-8572-2\\_5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-99-8572-2_5)
- [25] Dimitriadou, E., & Lanitis, A. (2023). A critical evaluation, challenges, and future perspectives of using artificial intelligence and emerging technologies in smart classrooms. *Smart Learning Environments*, 10(1), 12. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40561-023-00231-3>
- [26] Eaton, E., Koenig, S., Schulz, C., Maurelli, F., Lee, J., Eckroth, J., Crowley, M., Freedman, R. G., Cardona-Rivera, R. E., Machado, T., & Williams, T. (2018). Blue Sky Ideas in Artificial Intelligence Education from the EAAI 2017 New and Future AI Educator Program. *AI Matters*, 3(4), 23–31. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3175502.3175509>
- [27] Epstein, Z., Hertzmann, A., the Investigators of Human Creativity, Akten, M., Farid, H., Fjeld, J., Frank, M. R., Groh, M., Herman, L., Leach, N., Mahari, R., Pentland, A., “Sandy,” Russakovsky, O., Schroeder, H., & Smith, A. (2023). Art and the science of generative AI. *Science*, 380(6650), 1110–1111. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.adh4451>
- [28] European Commission. Joint Research Centre. (2018). *The impact of Artificial Intelligence on learning, teaching, and education*. Publications Office. <https://data.europa.eu/doi/10.2760/12297>
- [29] Farrelly, T., & Baker, N. (2023). Generative artificial intelligence: Implications and considerations for higher education practice. *Education Sciences*, 13(11), 1109.
- [30] Feuerriegel, S., Hartmann, J., Janiesch, C., & Zschech, P. (2024). Generative AI. *Business & Information Systems Engineering*, 66(1), 111–126. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12599-023-00834-7>
- [31] Forum, W. E. (2020). The future of jobs report 2020| world economic forum. *The Future of Jobs Report*, 1163.
- [32] George, A. S. (2023a). Preparing students for an AI-driven world: Rethinking curriculum and pedagogy in the age of artificial intelligence. *Partners Universal Innovative Research Publication*, 1(2), 112–136.
- [33] George, A. S. (2023b). The Potential of Generative AI to Reform Graduate Education. *Partners Universal International Research Journal*, 2(4), 36–50.
- [34] George, B., & Wooden, O. (2023). Managing the strategic transformation of higher education through artificial intelligence. *Administrative Sciences*, 13(9), 196.
- [35] Ghamrawi, N., Shal, T., & Ghamrawi, N. A. R. (2024). Exploring the impact of AI on teacher leadership: Regressing or expanding? *Education and Information Technologies*, 29(7), 8415–8433. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-023-12174-w>
- [36] Ghobakhloo, M., Fathi, M., Iranmanesh, M., Vilkas, M., Grybauskas, A., & Amran, A. (2024). Generative artificial intelligence in manufacturing: Opportunities for actualizing Industry 5.0 sustainability goals. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, 35(9), 94–121.
- [37] Gilson, L. L., & Goldberg, C. B. (2015). Editors' Comment: So, What Is a Conceptual Paper? *Group & Organization Management*, 40(2), 127–130. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1059601115576425>
- [38] Glavič, P. (2020). Identifying key issues of education for sustainable development. *Sustainability*, 12(16), 6500.
- [39] Gligorea, I., Cioca, M., Oancea, R., Gorski, A.-T., Gorski, H., & Tudorache, P. (2023). Adaptive learning using artificial intelligence in e-learning: A literature review. *Education Sciences*, 13(12), 1216.
- [40] Gregory, R. W., & Narang, S. (2024). AI for learning unleashed: Pioneering generative AI in education at the University of Miami. *Journal of Information Technology Teaching Cases*, 20438869241266258. <https://doi.org/10.1177/20438869241266258>
- [41] Gruenewald, D. A. (2003). The Best of Both Worlds: A Critical Pedagogy of Place. *Educational Researcher*, 32(4), 3–12. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X032004003>
- [42] Gupta, P., Raturi, S., & Venkateswarlu, P. (2023). Chatgpt for designing course outlines: A boon or bane to modern technology. Available at SSRN 4386113. [https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\\_id=4386113](https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=4386113)
- [43] Hammer, E. (2024). *ChatGPT in the Classroom: The Teacher's Challenges and Opportunities in an AI Revolution*. <https://brage.inn.no/inn-xmlui/handle/11250/3139468>
- [44] Henriksen, D., Mishra, P., & Stern, R. (2024). Creative Learning for Sustainability in a World of AI: Action, Mindset, Values. *Sustainability*, 16(11), 4451.

- [45] Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2019). *Artificial intelligence in education promises and implications for teaching and learning*. Center for Curriculum Redesign. <https://discovery.ucl.ac.uk/id/eprint/10139722/>
- [46] Holstein, K., McLaren, B. M., & Alevan, V. (2019). Co-designing a real-time classroom orchestration tool to support teacher-AI complementarity. *Grantee Submission*. <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED618924>
- [47] Hutson, J., & Plate, D. (2023). Enhancing institutional assessment and reporting through conversational technologies: Exploring the potential of AI-powered tools and natural language processing. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Robotics*, 1(1). <https://digitalcommons.lindenwood.edu/faculty-research-papers/483/>
- [48] Imamguluyev, R. (2023). The rise of gpt-3: Implications for natural language processing and beyond. *Int J Res Pub Rev*, 2582, 7421.
- [49] Imhof, C., Bergamin, P., & McGarrity, S. (2020). Implementation of Adaptive Learning Systems: Current State and Potential. In P. Isaias, D. G. Sampson, & D. Ienthaler (Eds.), *Online Teaching and Learning in Higher Education* (pp. 93–115). Springer International Publishing. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-48190-2\\_6](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-48190-2_6)
- [50] Jabareen, Y. (2009). Building a Conceptual Framework: Philosophy, Definitions, and Procedure. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 8(4), 49–62. <https://doi.org/10.1177/160940690900800406>
- [51] Javid, M., Haleem, A., Singh, R. P., Khan, S., & Khan, I. H. (2023). Unlocking the opportunities through ChatGPT Tool towards ameliorating the education system. *BenchCouncil Transactions on Benchmarks, Standards and Evaluations*, 3(2), 100115.
- [52] Jo, A. (2023). The promise and peril of generative AI. *Nature*, 614(1), 214–216.
- [53] Kadaruddin, K. (2023). Empowering Education through Generative AI: Innovative Instructional Strategies for Tomorrow's Learners. *International Journal of Business, Law, and Education*, 4(2), 618–625. <https://doi.org/10.56442/ijble.v4i2.215>
- [54] Katiyar, N., Awasthi, M. V. K., Pratap, R., Mishra, M. K., Shukla, M. N., & Tiwari, M. (2024). AI-Driven Personalized Learning Systems: Enhancing Educational Effectiveness. *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice*, 30(5), 11514–11524.
- [55] Kim, J. (2024). Leading teachers' perspective on teacher-AI collaboration in education. *Education and Information Technologies*, 29(7), 8693–8724. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-023-12109-5>
- [56] Kitchenham, B., & Charters, S. (2007). *Guidelines for performing systematic literature reviews in software engineering*. UK.
- [57] Kostikova, I., Holubnycha, L., Besarab, T., Moshynska, O., Moroz, T., & Shamaieva, I. (2024). ChatGPT for Professional English Course Development. *International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies*, 18(2). <https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&profile=ehost&scope=site&authtype=crawler&jrnl=18657923&AN=175182714&h=fJELBYnTVGpNoBlGv7Wp9ycxs9w2j9MamuQAnoyRj%2BGH2KCKTo7zto1OG1woY0owF9x8BFPrRoalA%2Bz%2Ba%2B7hw%3D%3D&cr=c>
- [58] Krasny, M. E., & DuBois, B. (2019). Climate adaptation education: Embracing reality or abandoning environmental values. *Environmental Education Research*, 25(6), 883–894. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13504622.2016.1196345>
- [59] Larsari, V. N. (2024). Sustainable Development Education in Times of the Post Era: Challenges, Opportunities, and Strategies for Digital Transformation in Language Learning and Teaching. *International Journal of Social Learning (IJSL)*, 4(3), 404–420.
- [60] Li, Y., Liu, J., & Yang, S. (2024). Is ChatGPT a Good Middle School Teacher? An Exploration of its Role in Instructional Design. *Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on New Media Development and Modernized Education, NMDME 2023, October 13–15, 2023, Xi'an, China*. Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on New Media Development and Modernized Education, NMDME 2023, October 13–15, 2023, Xi'an, China, Xi'an, People's Republic of China. <https://doi.org/10.4108/eai.13-10-2023.2341343>
- [61] Li, Z., Liang, C., Peng, J., & Yin, M. (2024). The Value, Benefits, and Concerns of Generative AI-Powered Assistance in Writing. *Proceedings of the CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3613904.3642625>
- [62] Long, D., & Magerko, B. (2020). What is AI literacy? Competencies and design considerations. *Proceedings of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 1–16. <https://dl.acm.org/doi/abs/10.1145/3313831.3376727>
- [63] Lozano, R., Lukman, R., Lozano, F. J., Huisingh, D., & Lambrechts, W. (2013). Declarations for sustainability in higher education: Becoming better leaders, through addressing the university system. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 48, 10–19.
- [64] Luckin, R., & Holmes, W. (2016). *Intelligence unleashed: An argument for AI in education*.
- [65] Markauskaite, L., Marrone, R., Poquet, O., Knight, S., Martinez-Maldonado, R., Howard, S., Tondeur, J., De Laat, M., Shum, S. B., & Gašević, D. (2022). Rethinking the entwinement between artificial intelligence and human learning: What capabilities do learners need for a world with AI? *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 3, 100056.
- [66] Mezirow, J. (1991). *Transformative dimensions of adult learning*. Jossey-Bass.
- [67] Naseer, F., Khalid, M. U., Ayub, N., Rasool, A., Abbas, T., & Afzal, M. W. (2024). Automated Assessment and Feedback in Higher Education Using Generative AI. In *Transforming Education With Generative AI: Prompt Engineering and Synthetic Content Creation* (pp. 433–461). IGI Global. <https://www.igi-global.com/chapter/automated-assessment-and-feedback-in-higher-education-using-generative-ai/338549>
- [68] Nazir, A., & Wang, Z. (2023). A comprehensive survey of ChatGPT: Advancements, applications, prospects, and challenges. *Meta-Radiology*, 100022.
- [69] Nishant, R., Kennedy, M., & Corbett, J. (2020). Artificial intelligence for sustainability: Challenges, opportunities, and a research agenda. *International Journal of Information Management*, 53, 102104.
- [70] Novak, J. D., & Cañas, A. J. (2008). *The theory underlying concept maps and how to construct and use them*. <https://cmap.ihmc.us/publications/ResearchPapers/TheoryUnderlyingConceptMapshq.pdf>
- [71] Paladines, J., & Ramirez, J. (2020). A systematic literature review of intelligent tutoring systems with dialogue in natural language. *IEEE Access*, 8, 164246–164267.
- [72] Patil, V. (2024). The Potential of AI in Enhancing Education Access and Quality. *International Journal of Scientific Research and Engineering Trends*, 10(1), 337–348. <https://doi.org/10.61137/ijrsret.vol.10.issue1.133>
- [73] Pedro, F., Subosa, M., Rivas, A., & Valverde, P. (2019). *Artificial intelligence in education: Challenges and opportunities for sustainable development*.
- [74] Pesovski, I., Santos, R., Henriques, R., & Trajkovic, V. (2024). Generative ai for customizable learning experiences. *Sustainability*, 16(7), 3034.
- [75] Piaget, J. (1976). Piaget's Theory. In B. Inhelder, H. H. Chipman, & C. Zwingmann (Eds.), *Piaget and His School* (pp. 11–23). Springer Berlin Heidelberg. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-46323-5\\_2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-46323-5_2)

- [76] Popay, J., Roberts, H., Sowden, A., Petticrew, M., Arai, L., Rodgers, M., Britten, N., Roen, K., & Duffy, S. (2006). Guidance on the conduct of narrative synthesis in systematic reviews. *A Product from the ESRC Methods Programme Version, 1*(1), b92.
- [77] Prinsloo, P., Slade, S., & Khalil, M. (2022). The answer is (not only) technological: Considering student data privacy in learning analytics. *British Journal of Educational Technology, 53*(4), 876–893. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.13216>
- [78] Rane, N., Choudhary, S., & Rane, J. (2023). Education 4.0 and 5.0: Integrating artificial intelligence (AI) for personalized and adaptive learning. Available at SSRN 4638365. [https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\\_id=4638365](https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=4638365)
- [79] Rekha, K., Gopal, K., Satheeskumar, D., Anand, U. A., Doss, D. S. S., & Elayaperumal, S. (2024). Ai-Powered Personalized Learning System Design: Student Engagement and Performance Tracking System. *2024 4th International Conference on Advance Computing and Innovative Technologies in Engineering (ICACITE)*, 1125–1130. <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/10617155/>
- [80] Riegel, C. (2024). Leveraging Online Formative Assessments Within the Evolving Landscape of Artificial Intelligence in Education. In M. Sahin & D. Ifenthaler (Eds.), *Assessment Analytics in Education* (pp. 355–371). Springer International Publishing. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-56365-2\\_18](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-56365-2_18)
- [81] Rouzegar, H., & Makrehchi, M. (2024). Generative AI for Enhancing Active Learning in Education: A Comparative Study of GPT-3.5 and GPT-4 in Crafting Customized Test Questions. *arXiv Preprint arXiv:2406.13903*. <https://assets.pubpub.org/v0j7e1yr/Rouzegar-51716780202772.pdf>
- [82] Ruiz-Rojas, L. I., Acosta-Vargas, P., De-Moreta-Llovet, J., & Gonzalez-Rodriguez, M. (2023). Empowering Education with Generative Artificial Intelligence Tools: Approach with an Instructional Design Matrix. *Sustainability, 15*(15), 11524. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su151511524>
- [83] Salinas-Navarro, D. E., Vilalta-Perdomo, E., Michel-Villarreal, R., & Montesinos, L. (2024a). Designing experiential learning activities with generative artificial intelligence tools for authentic assessment. *Interactive Technology and Smart Education*.
- [84] Salinas-Navarro, D. E., Vilalta-Perdomo, E., Michel-Villarreal, R., & Montesinos, L. (2024b). Using generative artificial intelligence tools to explain and enhance experiential learning for authentic assessment. *Education Sciences, 14*(1), 83.
- [85] Selwyn, N. (2019). *Should robots replace teachers?: AI and the future of education*. John Wiley & Sons.
- [86] Shah, P. (2023). *AI and the Future of Education: Teaching in the Age of Artificial Intelligence*. John Wiley & Sons.
- [87] Siemens, G. (2005). Connectivism: A learning theory for the digital age. *International Journal of Instructional Technology and Distance Learning*. [Online] Retrieved from: [http://www.idtl.org/Journal/Jam\\_05/Article01.html](http://www.idtl.org/Journal/Jam_05/Article01.html).
- [88] Sipos, Y., Battisti, B., & Grimm, K. (2008). Achieving transformative sustainability learning: Engaging head, hands and heart. *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education, 9*(1), 68–86.
- [89] Sousa, M. J., Marôco, A. L., Gonçalves, S. P., & Machado, A. de B. (2022). Digital learning is an educational format towards sustainable education. *Sustainability, 14*(3), 1140.
- [90] Sperling, K., Stenberg, C.-J., McGrath, C., Åkerfeldt, A., Heintz, F., & Stenliden, L. (2024). In search of artificial intelligence (AI) literacy in Teacher Education: A scoping review. *Computers and Education Open, 100169*.
- [91] Stecyk, A., & Miciuła, I. (2024). *Artificial Intelligence Integrated Energy Education Framework. A Holistic Approach*. <https://www.preprints.org/manuscript/202405.0690>
- [92] Sterling, S., & Orr, D. (2001). *Sustainable education: Re-visioning learning and change* (Vol. 6). Green Books for the Schumacher Society Totnes.
- [93] Stevenson, R. B., Lasen, M., Ferreira, J.-A., & Davis, J. (2017). Approaches to embedding sustainability in teacher education: A synthesis of the literature. *Teaching and Teacher Education, 63*, 405–417.
- [94] Stibbe, A. (2009). *The handbook of sustainability literacy: Skills for a changing world*. Bloomsbury Publishing.
- [95] Tanweer, M., & Ismail, A. (2024a). Generative AI in Curriculum Development: A Framework for Adaptive, Customized, and Personalized Learning. In *Impacts of Generative AI on Creativity in Higher Education* (pp. 193–226). IGI Global. <https://www.igi-global.com/chapter/generative-ai-in-curriculum-development/355432>
- [96] Tanweer, M., & Ismail, A. (2024b). Generative AI in Curriculum Development: A Framework for Adaptive, Customized, and Personalized Learning. In *Impacts of Generative AI on Creativity in Higher Education* (pp. 193–226). IGI Global. <https://www.igi-global.com/chapter/generative-ai-in-curriculum-development/355432>
- [97] Tavares, M. C., Azevedo, G., & Marques, R. P. (2022). The challenges and opportunities of era 5.0 for a more humanistic and sustainable society—A literature review. *Societies, 12*(6), 149.
- [98] Taylor, D. L., Yeung, M., & Basset, A. Z. (2021). Personalized and adaptive learning. *Innovative Learning Environments in STEM Higher Education: Opportunities, Challenges, and Looking Forward*, 17–34.
- [99] Thanh, B. N., Vo, D. T. H., Nhat, M. N., Pham, T. T. T., Trung, H. T., & Xuan, S. H. (2023). Race with the machines: Assessing the capability of generative AI in solving authentic assessments. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology, 39*(5), 59–81.
- [100] Tian, Z., Sun, M., Liu, A., Sarkar, S., & Liu, J. (2024). *Enhancing Instructional Quality: Leveraging Computer-Assisted Textual Analysis to Generate In-Depth Insights from Educational Artifacts* (No. arXiv:2403.03920). arXiv. <http://arxiv.org/abs/2403.03920>
- [101] Tilbury, D., & Wortman, D. (2004). *Engaging people in sustainability*. IUCN.
- [102] Tran, T. (2024). Exploring the potential of ChatGPT in facilitating consciousness-raising tasks: A promising solution for alleviating the burden on educators. *Technology in Language Teaching & Learning, 6*(1), 1–15.
- [103] Tsai, Y., Poquet, O., Gašević, D., Dawson, S., & Pardo, A. (2019). Complexity leadership in learning analytics: Drivers, challenges and opportunities. *British Journal of Educational Technology, 50*(6), 2839–2854. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12846>
- [104] UNESCO Division for Inclusion, & sustainable development, education sector. (2017). *Education for Sustainable Development Goals: Learning Objectives*. United Nations Educational Scientific and Cultural Organization. <https://www.voced.edu.au/content/ngv:77653>
- [105] Vartiainen, H., & Tedre, M. (2023). Using artificial intelligence in craft education: Crafting with text-to-image generative models. *Digital Creativity, 34*(1), 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14626268.2023.2174557>
- [106] Vashishth, T. K., Sharma, V., Sharma, K. K., Kumar, B., Panwar, R., & Chaudhary, S. (2024). AI-driven learning analytics for personalized feedback and assessment in higher education. In *Using traditional design methods to enhance AI-driven decision making* (pp. 206–230). IGI Global.
- [107] Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes. *Harvard UP*.

- [108]Wals, A. E. J. (2011). Learning Our Way to Sustainability. *Journal of Education for Sustainable Development*, 5(2), 177–186. <https://doi.org/10.1177/097340821100500208>
- [109]Way, K. A., Burrell, L., D'Allura, L., & Ashford-Rowe, K. (2021). Empirical investigation of authentic assessment theory: An application in online courses using mimetic simulation created in university learning management ecosystems. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 46(1), 17–35. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2020.1740647>
- [110]Whetten, D. A. (1989). What Constitutes a Theoretical Contribution? *The Academy of Management Review*, 14(4), 490. <https://doi.org/10.2307/258554>
- [111]Wohlin, C. (2014). Guidelines for snowballing in systematic literature studies and a replication in software engineering. *Proceedings of the 18th International Conference on Evaluation and Assessment in Software Engineering*, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2601248.2601268>
- [112]Yekollu, R. K., Bhimraj Ghuge, T., Sunil Biradar, S., Haldikar, S. V., & Farook Mohideen Abdul Kader, O. (2024). AI-Driven Personalized Learning Paths: Enhancing Education Through Adaptive Systems. In R. Asokan, D. P. Ruiz, & S. Piramuthu (Eds.), *Smart Data Intelligence* (pp. 507–517). Springer Nature Singapore. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-97-3191-6\\_38](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-97-3191-6_38)
- [113]Yesilyurt, Y. E. (2023). AI-enabled assessment and feedback mechanisms for language learning: Transforming pedagogy and learner experience. In *Transforming the Language Teaching Experience in the Age of AI* (pp. 25–43). IGI Global. <https://www.igi-global.com/chapter/ai-enabled-assessment-and-feedback-mechanisms-for-language-learning/330374>
- [114]Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education—where are the educators? *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 16(1), 1–27. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-019-0171-0>
- [115]Zhai, X., Chu, X., Chai, C. S., Jong, M. S. Y., Istenic, A., Spector, M., Liu, J.-B., Yuan, J., & Li, Y. (2021). A Review of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Education from 2010 to 2020. *Complexity*, 2021(1), 8812542. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/8812542>
- [116]Zhang, X., Sun, J., & Deng, Y. (2023). Design and Application of Intelligent Classroom for English Language and Literature Based on Artificial Intelligence Technology. *Applied Artificial Intelligence*, 37(1), 2216051. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08839514.2023.2216051>
- [117]Zhikai, D., Xin, Z., & Wang, W. (2024). The Transformative Impact of AI-Powered Writing Assistants in Education: A Comprehensive Systematic Literature Review. *iConference 2024 Proceedings*. <https://www.ideals.illinois.edu/items/129996>